

# **HORTICULTURAL REPORT**

## **2006 WEED CONTROL RESEARCH ON FRUIT & VEGETABLE CROPS**

**NUMBER 68**

**NOVEMBER 2006**

**By**

**Bernard H. Zandstra  
Eric J. Ott  
William R. Chase  
Sylvia Morse  
Rebecca Baughan  
Robert E. Uhlig**

**Department of Horticulture  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, Michigan**



**WEED CONTROL IN HORTICULTURAL CROPS - 2006**  
**FORWARD**

This report summarizes the results of weed control experiments on horticultural crops in Michigan in 2006. It is intended to inform industry and university research and extension colleagues of our current results.

We greatly appreciate the support for our weed control research and extension program from commodity groups, chemical companies, MSU Extension, and the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station. The following companies and organizations provided financial support, chemicals, equipment, seeds, plants, or other support for our program:

<b>AMVAC CHEMICAL CO.</b>	<b>MICHIGAN ONION COMMITTEE</b>
<b>ARVESTA CHEMICAL CO.</b>	<b>MICHIGAN STATE HORT SOCIETY</b>
<b>BASF CORP.</b>	<b>MICHIGAN VEGETABLE COUNCIL, INC.</b>
<b>BAYER CROPSCIENCE</b>	<b>MICRO FLO CHEMICAL CO.</b>
<b>BEJO SEEDS</b>	<b>MONSANTO CHEMICAL CO.</b>
<b>CEREXAGRI</b>	<b>NUFARM AMERICAS</b>
<b>CHEMTURA CHEMICAL CO.</b>	<b>NOURSE FARMS</b>
<b>DOW AGROSCIENCES</b>	<b>SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, INC.</b>
<b>DUPONT CHEMICAL CO.</b>	<b>SYNGENTA SEEDS</b>
<b>FMC CORP.</b>	<b>PICKLE PACKERS INTERNATIONAL</b>
<b>GERBER PRODUCTS CO.</b>	<b>PROJECT GREEEN OF MSU</b>
<b>GOWAN CHEMICAL CO.</b>	<b>RISPENS SEED CO.</b>
<b>HARRIS MORAN SEED CO.</b>	<b>SEEDWAY INC.</b>
<b>HELM AGRO</b>	<b>SEMINIS SEED CO.</b>
<b>IR-4 PROJECT OF USDA</b>	<b>SPRAYING SYSTEMS CO.</b>
<b>KUMIAI CHEMICAL CO.</b>	<b>STOKES SEED CO.</b>
<b>KHRONE PLANT FARM</b>	<b>TEEJET NORTHEAST</b>
<b>MICHIGAN AGRI-BUSINESS ASSOCIATION</b>	<b>UNITED AGRI-PRODUCTS CO.</b>
<b>MICHIGAN ASPARAGUS RESEARCH BOARD</b>	<b>UNITED PHOSPHORUS CO.</b>
<b>MICHIGAN CARROT COMMITTEE</b>	<b>VALENT USA CORP.</b>
<b>MICHIGAN CELERY RESEARCH, INC.</b>	<b>WILBUR ELLIS CO.</b>
<b>MICHIGAN MINT COMMITTEE</b>	

**For Additional Information, Contact the Following Researchers:**

Bernard H. Zandstra, A440 Plant and Soil Science Building, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1325. (517) 355-5191 Ext. 418.  
zandstra@msu.edu

Eric Ott, A438 Plant and Soil Science Building, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1325. (517) 355-5191 Ext. 415. otter@msu.edu



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
FORWARD.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iii
METHODS.....	v
WEED LIST.....	vi
CHEMICAL AND ADJUVANT LIST.....	viii
ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE REPORT.....	xi

### **WEATHER DATA**

Horticulture Teaching and Research Center (HTRC), East Lansing.....	xii
MSU Muck Farm, Laingsburg.....	xiv
Clarksville Horticultural Experiment Station (CHES), Clarksville.....	xvi
City of Fremont, Fremont.....	xviii
Asparagus Research Farm, Hart.....	xx
Michigan Celery Cooperative, Hudsonville.....	xxii
Trevor Nichols Research Complex (TNRC), Fennville.....	xxiv

### **WEED CONTROL RESULTS:**

#### **A. VEGETABLE CROPS**

##### Asparagus

Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart.....	1
Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill.....	8
Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill .....	19

##### Bean

Weed Control in Snap Bean - HTRC.....	30
---------------------------------------	----

##### Beets

Weed Control in Beets, Swiss Chard, and Spinach - HTRC .....	33
--	----

##### Broccoli and Cabbage

Weed Control in Broccoli and Cabbage - HTRC .....	36
---	----

##### Cantaloupe

Weed Control in Cantaloupe with Matrix - HTRC .....	40
---	----

##### Carrot

Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Fremont .....	45
Preemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Muck Farm .....	47
Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Muck Farm .....	49
Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - HTRC .....	51

##### Celery

Weed Control in Celery - Muck Farm.....	53
Weed Control in Celery - Hudsonville.....	56

##### Corn

Weed Control in Sweet Corn - HTRC.....	59
Weed Control in Poast Tolerant Sweet Corn - HTRC .....	63

##### Cucurbits

Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC .....	66
--	----

##### Eggplant and Tomatillo

Weed Control in Eggplant and Tomatillo - HTRC .....	72
---	----

<u>Herbs</u>	
Weed Control in Herbs (Cilantro, Dill, Fennel, and Parsley) - HTRC .....	77
<u>Lettuce</u>	
Weed Control in Lettuce - Muck Farm.....	80
<u>Mint</u>	
Weed Control in Mint - St. Johns.....	82
<u>Onion</u>	
Preemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm .....	84
Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm .....	87
Onion Postemergence Weed Control with Chateau - Muck Farm .....	90
Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Grant .....	93
Weed Control in Green Onion and Leek - Muck Farm .....	96
Preemergence Nutsedge Control with V-10142 - Muck Farm .....	99
<u>Parsnip</u>	
Weed Control in Parsnip - Grant.....	101
<u>Pea</u>	
Weed Control in Pea - HTRC.....	103
<u>Pepper</u>	
Weed Control in Transplanted Pepper - HTRC .....	107
<u>Rhubarb</u>	
Weed Control in Rhubarb - CHES.....	111
<u>Spinach</u>	
Weed Control in Spinach - Muck Farm.....	114
<u>Strawberry</u>	
Weed Control in Strawberry - HTRC.....	116
<u>Tomato</u>	
Weed Control in Transplanted Tomato - HTRC .....	118
<b>B. <u>Fruit Crops</u></b>	
<u>Apple</u>	
Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES.....	123
Weed Control in Apple 2 - CHES.....	129
<u>Blueberry</u>	
Weed Control in Blueberry - HTRC.....	132
Weed Control in Blueberry - TNRC.....	135
<u>Cherry</u>	
Weed Control in Cherry - CHES.....	137
Weed Control in Third Year Cherry and Peach - HTRC .....	140
<u>Grape</u>	
Weed Control in Grape - HTRC.....	143
<u>Raspberry</u>	
Weed Control in Raspberry - CHES.....	146
<b>C. <u>Carryover Study</u></b>	
Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005 - HTRC .....	148

## METHODS

### **Chemical Application**

Herbicides were applied with a small plot sprayer using carbon dioxide as a source of pressure. Spray volumes are specified in each experiment. All herbicide rates are expressed as pounds of active ingredient per acre.

### **Visual Evaluations**

In most instances, weed control ratings were made on individual weed species. General ratings for broad-leaved weeds and grasses were sometimes used in orchard studies or for late-season assessments.

Weed control and crop injury are rated on a 1 to 10 scale; 1 = no visible injury or reduction in growth; 10 = complete kill of plants. The ratings can be roughly translated into percentages as follows:

10 = 100% kill, all the plants are dead or none are visible.

9 = 90-100% kill or reduction in growth and stand.

8 = 80-90% kill or reduction in growth and stand.

7 = 70-80% kill or reduction in growth and stand.

This is a still commercially acceptable control.

6 = 60-70% kill or reduction in growth and stand.

5 = 50% kill or reduction in growth and stand.

4 = 30-40% kill or reduction in growth and stand.

3 = 20-30% reduction in growth and stand.

2 = 10-20% reduction in growth and stand.

1 = 0-10% reduction in growth, no obvious effect of herbicide.

### **Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis**

Experiments were set up and analyzed in the program Agriculture Research Manager (ARM) version 7.1.1, from Gylling Data Management, Inc. (RR 4 405 Martin Boulevard, Brookings, SD 57006). Unless otherwise specified, the experiments were laid out as randomized complete blocks. The data were subjected to analysis of variance and the means were compared with the LSD test at the 5% level. Since data transformations were not used, the coefficient of variation for skewed ratings or weed densities may be misleading. In some instances, yields for weeded check plots may be low because of severe early weed competition. In these cases, it may be more desirable to compare new herbicides with standard treatments.

## WEED LIST

Abbreviations for the common names of weeds correspond to those presented in the NCWSS proceedings volume 28 (1973), 143.

<b>Abbr.</b>	<b>Common Name</b>	<b>Botanical Name</b>
<b>ANBG</b>	annual bluegrass	<i>Poa annua</i> L.
<b>BABR</b>	bald brome (upright brome)	<i>Bromus racemosus</i> L.
<b>BFTF</b>	birdsfoot trefoil	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> L.
<b>BHPL</b>	buckhorn plantain	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.
<b>BLDO</b>	broadleaf dock	<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i> L.
<b>BLME</b>	black medic	<i>Medicago lupulina</i> L.
<b>BRFB</b>	British fleabane	<i>Inula britannica</i> L.
<b>BRPL</b>	broadleaf plantain	<i>Plantago major</i> L.
<b>BSPL</b>	blackseed plantain	<i>Plantago rugelii</i> Dcne.
<b>BYGR</b>	barnyardgrass	<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (L.) Beauv.
<b>CATH</b>	Canada thistle	<i>Cirsium arvense</i> (L.) Scop.
<b>CAWE</b>	carpetweed	<i>Mollugo verticillata</i> L.
<b>CLGC</b>	clammy groundcherry	<i>Physalis heterophylla</i> Nees.
<b>COBU</b>	cocklebur	<i>Xanthium strumarium</i> L.
<b>COCW</b>	common chickweed	<i>Stellaria media</i> (L.) Cyrillo
<b>COGR</b>	common groundsel	<i>Senecio vulgaris</i> L.
<b>COLQ</b>	common lambsquarters	<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.
<b>COMW</b>	common milkweed	<i>Asclepias syriaca</i> L.
<b>COPU</b>	common purslane	<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.
<b>CORW</b>	common ragweed	<i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i> L.
<b>CUDO</b>	curly dock	<i>Rumex crispus</i> L.
<b>CWBS</b>	catchweed bedstraw	<i>Galium aparine</i> L.
<b>DAND</b>	dandelion	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Weber
<b>DOBG</b>	downy bromegrass	<i>Bromus tectorum</i> L.
<b>EBNS</b>	eastern black nightshade	<i>Solanum ptycanthum</i> Dun.
<b>FAPA</b>	fall panicum	<i>Panicum dichotomiflorum</i> Michx.
<b>FIBW</b>	field bindweed	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.
<b>FIPA</b>	field pansy	<i>Viola rafinesquii</i> Greene
<b>FIPC</b>	field pennycress	<i>Thlaspi arvense</i> L.
<b>FISB</b>	field sandbur	<i>Cenchrus incertus</i> M.A.Curtis
<b>GIRW</b>	giant ragweed	<i>Ambrosia trifida</i> L.
<b>GOGR</b>	goosegrass	<i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.
<b>GORO</b>	goldenrod	<i>Solidago nemoralis</i> Ait.
<b>GIFT</b>	giant foxtail	<i>Setaria faberii</i> Hermm.
<b>GRFT</b>	green foxtail	<i>Setaria viridis</i> (L.) Beauv.
<b>GFPW</b>	greenflower pepperweed	<i>Lepidium densiflorum</i> Schmd.
<b>HANS</b>	hairy nightshade	<i>Solanum sarrachoides</i> Sendtner
<b>HOAL</b>	hoary alyssum	<i>Berteroia incana</i> (L.) DC.
<b>HONE</b>	horsenettle	<i>Solanum carolinense</i> L.
<b>HOWE</b>	horseweed (maretail)	<i>Conyza canadensis</i> (L.) Scop.
<b>IRFB</b>	Irish fleabane	<i>Inula salicina</i>
<b>JIWE</b>	jimsonweed	<i>Datura stramonium</i> L.
<b>LACG</b>	large crabgrass	<i>Digitaria sanguinalis</i> (L.) Scop
<b>LATH</b>	ladysthumb	<i>Polygonum persicaria</i> L.
<b>MATA</b>	maretail (horseweed)	<i>Conyza canadensis</i> (L.) Scop.
<b>MAYC</b>	marsh yellowcress	<i>Rorippa islandica</i> (Oeder) Barbs

**WEED LIST**

<b>Abbr.</b>	<b>Common Name</b>	<b>Botanical Name</b>
<b>MECW</b>	mouseear chickweed	<i>Cerastium vulgatum</i> L.
<b>MECR</b>	mouseear cress	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> (L.) Heynh
<b>MONO</b>	monolepis	<i>Monolepis nuttaliane</i> Greene
<b>MWCH</b>	mayweed chamomile	<i>Anthemis cotula</i> L.
<b>NLLQ</b>	narrowleaf lambsquarters	<i>Chenopodium desiccatum</i> A. Nels
<b>OEDA</b>	oxeye daisy	<i>Chrysanthemum leucanthemum</i> L.
<b>ORGR</b>	orchardgrass	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.
<b>PAWE</b>	pineappleweed	<i>Matricaria matricarioides</i> (Less) C.L.Porter
<b>PESW</b>	Pennsylvania smartweed	<i>Polygonum pennsylvanicum</i> L.
<b>POIV</b>	poison ivy	<i>Rhus radicans</i> L.
<b>PRKW</b>	prostrate knotweed	<i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L.
<b>PRLE</b>	prickly lettuce	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L.
<b>PRSP</b>	prostrate spurge	<i>Euphorbia maculata</i> L.
<b>PRPW</b>	prostrate pigweed	<i>Amaranthus blitoides</i> S. Wats.
<b>PUDN</b>	purple deadnettle	<i>Lamium purpureum</i> L.
<b>PUSW</b>	purslane speedwell	<i>Veronica serpyllifolia</i> L.
<b>PUVI</b>	puncturevine	<i>Tribulus terrestris</i> L.
<b>QUGR</b>	quackgrass	<i>Agropyron repens</i> (L.) Beauv.
<b>RECL</b>	red clover	<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.
<b>REFE</b>	red fescue	<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.
<b>RESO</b>	red sorrel	<i>Rumex acetosella</i> L.
<b>ROFB</b>	rough fleabane	<i>Erigeron strigosus</i> Muhl. ex Willd.
<b>RRPW</b>	redroot pigweed	<i>Amaranthus retroflexus</i> L.
<b>RSFI</b>	redstem filaree	<i>Erodium cicutarium</i> (L.) L'Hér. ex Ait.
<b>RUTH</b>	Russian thistle	<i>Salsola iberica</i> L.
<b>SHPU</b>	shepherdspurse	<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> (L.) Medic.
<b>SPKW</b>	spotted knapweed	<i>Centaurea biebersteinii</i> DC.
<b>STGR</b>	stinkgrass	<i>Eragrostis cilianensis</i> (All.) E. Mosher
<b>SWSW</b>	swamp smartweed	<i>Polygonum coccineum</i> Muhl. ex Willd.
<b>TAFE</b>	tall fescue	<i>Festuca arundinacea</i> Schreb.
<b>TLSW</b>	thymeleaf sandwort	<i>Arenaria serpyllifolia</i> L.
<b>TUPW</b>	tumble pigweed	<i>Amaranthus albus</i> L.
<b>VELE</b>	velvetleaf	<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> Medic.
<b>VICR</b>	Virginia creeper	<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch.
<b>VIPW</b>	Virginia pepperweed	<i>Lepidium virginicum</i> L.
<b>VOAS</b>	volunteer asparagus	<i>Asparagus officinalis</i> L.
<b>WESA</b>	western salsify	<i>Tragopogon dubius</i> Scop.
<b>WHCA</b>	white campion	<i>Silene alba</i> (Mill.) E.H.L. Krause
<b>WHCL</b>	white clover	<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.
<b>WIBW</b>	wild buckwheat	<i>Polygonum convolvulus</i> L.
<b>WICA</b>	wild carrot	<i>Daucus carota</i> L.
<b>WICH</b>	wild chamomile	<i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> L.
<b>WIGR</b>	witchgrass	<i>Panicum capillare</i> L.
<b>WIMU</b>	wild mustard	<i>Sinapis arvensis</i> L.
<b>WIRA</b>	wild radish	<i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> L.
<b>WLDGRP</b>	wild grape	<i>Vitis</i> sp.
<b>WLDRASP</b>	wild raspberry	<i>Rubus</i> sp.
<b>YEFC</b>	yellow fieldcress (kiek)	<i>Rorippa sylvestris</i> L.
<b>YEFT</b>	yellow foxtail	<i>Setaria glauca</i> (L.) Beauv.
<b>YEHW</b>	yellow hawkweed	<i>Hieracium caespitosum</i> Dumort.
<b>YENS</b>	yellow nutsedge	<i>Cyperus esculentus</i> L.
<b>YERO</b>	yellow rocket	<i>Barbarea vulgaris</i> R. Br.

## CHEMICAL LIST

<b>COMMON NAME</b>	<b>TRADE NAME</b>	<b>FORMULATION</b>	<b>MANUFACTURER</b>
2,4-D	PCC 1133	2.5 L	UAP
2,4-D amine	Weedar 64	3.8 L	Nufarm Inc.
atrazine	Aatrex	4 L	Syngenta
atrazine	Aatrex	90 DF	Syngenta
bensulide	Prefar	4 EC	Gowan
bentazon	Basagran	4 L	Micro Flo
bromoxynil	Buctril	4 EC	Bayer CropScience
butafenacil	Inspire	0.8 L	Syngenta
carfentrazone	Aim	2.0 EC	FMC
chlorimuron-ethyl	Classic	25 WDG	DuPont
clethodim	Envoy	0.94 L	Valent
clethodim	Select	2 EC	Valent
clethodim	Select Max	0.97 EC	Valent
clethodim	V 10137	1 EC	Valent
clethodim	V 10139	1.6 EC	Valent
clethodim	V 10180	1.6 EC	Valent
clethodim	V 10181	1 EC	Valent
clomazone	Command	3 ME	FMC
clopyralid	Clopyr Ag	3 L	United Phosphorus
clopyralid	Stinger	3 EC	Dow Agrosciences
clopyralid 0.42 lb ai + MCPA 2.35 lb ai	Curtail M	2.7L	Dow Agrosciences
cloransulam-methyl	Firstrate	84 WDG	Dow Agrosciences
cycloate	Ro-Neet	6 EC	Helm Agro
DCPA	Dacthal	75 WP	Amvac Chemical
dicamba	Clarity	4 L	BASF
diclobenil	Casoron CS	1.38 CS	Chemtura
diclobenil	Casoron G	4 G	Chemtura
diflufenzopyr 21.4% + dicamba 55%	Distinct	76.4 WG	BASF
dimethenamid-p	Outlook	6 EC	BASF
diquat	Reglone	2 EC	Syngenta
diuron	Karmex	80 DF	DuPont
endothall	Desiccate II	2 L	Cerexagri.
EPTC	Eptam	7 EC	Gowan
ethalfluralin	Curbit	3 EC	UAP
ethalfluralin 1.6 lb ai + clomazone 0.5 lb ai	Strategy	2.1 EC	UAP
ethofumesate	Nortron SC	4 SC	Bayer CropScience
fluazifop-P	Fusilade DX	2 EC	Syngenta
flucarbazone	Everest	70 WDG	Arysta
flufenacet	Define	60 DF	Bayer CropScience
flufenacet 24% + metribuzin 36%	Domain	60 DF	Bayer CropScience
flufenacet 54.4% + metribuzin 13.6%	Axiom	68 DF	Bayer CropScience
flumetsulam	Python	80 WDG	Dow Agrosciences
flumioxazin	Chateau	51 WDG	Valent

<u>COMMON NAME</u>	<u>TRADE NAME</u>	<u>FORMULATION</u>	<u>MANUFACTURER</u>
flumioxazin	SureGuard	51 WG	Valent
flumioxazin	Valor	51 WG	Valent
fluroxypyr	Starane	1.5 L	Dow Agrosciences
fomesafen	Reflex	2 EC	Syngenta
foramsulfuron	Option	35 WG	Bayer CropScience
glufosinate	Rely	1 L	Bayer CropScience
glufosinate	Liberty	1.67 EC	Bayer CropScience
glyphosate	Roundup	5.5 L	Monsanto
	WeatherMax		
glyphosate	Touchdown	4 L	Syngenta
glyphosate	Roundup Original	4 L	Monsanto
glyphosate	Roundup Ultra	4 L	Monsanto
glyphosate	Roundup Ultramax	5 L	Monsanto
halosulfuron	Permit	75 WG	Gowan
halosulfuron	Sandeal	75 WG	Gowan
hexazinone	Velpar ULV	75 SG	DuPont
imazamox	Raptor	1 AS	BASF
imazapic	Plateau	70 WG	BASF
imazethapyr	Pursuit	2 EC	BASF
imazosulfuron	V 10142	75 WDG	Valent
isoxaben	Gallery	75 DF	Dow Agrosciences
KIH-485	KIH-485	60 WG	Kumiai Chemical Co.
linuron	Lorox	50 DF	DuPont
mesotrione	Callisto	4 SC	Syngenta
metribuzin	Sencor	75 DF	Bayer CropScience
napropamide	Devrinol	50 DF	United Phosphorus
naptalam	Alanap	2 EC	Uniroyal
norflurazon	Solicam	80 DF	Syngenta
oryzalin	Surflan	4 AS	United Phosphorus
oxyfluorfen	Goal XL	2 L	Dow Agrosciences
oxyfluorfen	Goaltender	4 SC	Dow Agrosciences
paraquat	Firestorm	3 L	Chemtura
paraquat	Gramoxone Max	3 L	Syngenta
paraquat	Gramoxone Inteon	2 L	Syngenta
pendimethalin	Prowl	3.3 EC	BASF
pendimethalin	Prowl H2O	3.8 ACS	BASF
penoxsulam	Grasp SC	2 SC	Dow Agrosciences
phenmedipham	Spin-Aid	1.3 L	Bayer CropScience
phenmedipham 0.6 lb ai+	Progress	1.8 L	Bayer CropScience
desmedipham 0.6 lb ai +			
ethofumesate 0.6 lb ai			
prometryn	Caparol	4 L	Syngenta
pronamide	Kerb	50 WP	Dow Agrosciences
pyraflufen-ethyl	PCC 1195	0.2 EC	UAP
pyrazon	Pyramin	68 DF	Micro Flo
pyridate	Tough	3.75 EC	
quizalofop p-ethyl	Assure II	0.88 EC	DuPont
quizalofop p-ethyl	Targa	0.88 EC	Gowan
rimsulfuron	Matrix	25 DF	DuPont
sethoxydim	Poast	1.53 EC	Micro Flo
sethoxydim	Poast Plus	1 EC	Micro Flo

<u>COMMON NAME</u>	<u>TRADE NAME</u>	<u>FORMULATION</u>	<u>MANUFACTURER</u>
simazine	Princep	90 DF	Syngenta
s-metolachlor	Dual Magnum	7.62 EC	Syngenta
s-metolachlor 2.68 lb ai + mesotriione 0.268 lb ai + atrazine 1.0 lb ai	Lumax	3.948 L	Syngenta
s-metolachlor 3.34 lb ai + mesotriione 0.33 lb ai	Camix	3.67 L	Syngenta
s-metolachlor II	Dual II Magnum	7.64 EC	Syngenta
sulfentrazone	Spartan	4 F	FMC
sulfentrazone	Spartan	75 DF	FMC
sulfosulfuron	Maverick	75 WG	Monsanto
terbacil	Sinbar	80 WP	DuPont
triclopyr	Garlon	3 SC	Dow Agrosciences
trifloxysulfuron	Envolve	75 WG	Syngenta
trifluralin	Treflan	4 EC	Dow Agrosciences
triallate	Far-Go	4 EC	Gowan
triflusulfuron	Upbeet	50 WDG	DuPont

#### ADJUVANTS

<u>TRADE NAME</u>	<u>ABBREVIATION</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>MANUFACTURER</u>
Activator 90	NIS	nonionic surfactant	Loveland
ammonium nitrate		100% salt	
ammonium sulfate	AMS	spray grade fertilizer	
copper sulfate		100% salt	
Freeway		organosilicone surfactant	Loveland
Herbimax	COC	80% paraffin base petroleum oil 20% surfactant	Loveland
MSO		Methylated Seed Oil	Loveland
28% Nitrogen	UAN	28% urea ammonia nitrate solution	
Silwet L-77		organosilicone surfactant	Loveland
Sylgard 309		Organosilicone surfactant	DowCorning

**ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE REPORT**

<b>A</b> =	Acre	<b>N/A</b> =	Not Applicable / Not Available
<b>ai</b> =	Active Ingredient	<b>No.</b> =	Number
<b>Amt</b> =	Amount	<b>OM</b> =	Organic Matter
<b>ACS</b> =	Aqueous Capsule Suspension	<b>oz</b> =	Ounce
<b>AS</b> =	Aqueous Solution	<b>P</b> =	Probability
<b>ASPA</b> =	Asparagus	<b>POH</b> =	Post harvest
<b>CEC</b> =	Cation Exchange Capacity	<b>PO1</b> =	Postemergence 1
<b>CS</b> =	Capsule Suspension	<b>PO2</b> =	Postemergence 2
<b>CV</b> =	Coefficient of Variability	<b>POT</b> =	Post Transplant
<b>DF</b> =	Dry Flowable	<b>PPI</b> =	Preplant Incorporated
<b>DS</b> =	Designator	<b>PRE</b> =	Preemergence
<b>EC</b> =	Emulsifiable Concentrate	<b>PREC.</b> =	Precipitation (inches)
<b>F</b> =	Flowable	<b>PRT</b> =	Pretransplant
<b>FORM</b> =	Formulation	<b>PSI</b> =	Pounds per square inch
<b>FM</b> =	Formulation	<b>PT PR</b> =	Pint Product
<b>FT</b> =	Distance in Feet	<b>QT</b> =	Quart
<b>g / gr</b> =	Gram	<b>QT PR</b> =	Quart Product
<b>GAL</b> =	Gallon	<b>RCBD</b> =	Randomized Complete Block Design
<b>GPA</b> =	Gallons per acre	<b>RH</b> =	Relative Humidity
<b>GROW STG</b> =	Growth Stage at time of application	<b>REPS</b> =	Replication
<b>HTRC</b> =	Horticulture Teaching and Research Station	<b>SNBE</b> =	Snapbean
<b>IN</b> =	Inch	<b>SP</b> =	Soluble Powder
<b>KG</b> =	Kilogram	<b>STBE</b> =	Strawberry
<b>L</b> =	Liquid	<b>SURF</b> =	Surface
<b>LPRE</b> =	Late PRE	<b>T</b> =	Temperature
<b>LO</b> =	Low Odor	<b>TRT</b> =	Treatment
<b>LSD</b> =	Least Significant Difference	<b>UNMKTBL</b> =	Unmarketable
<b>LB</b> =	Pounds	<b>VOAS</b> =	Volunteer Asparagus
<b>ME</b> =	Microencapsulated	<b>WDG</b> =	Water Dispersible Granule
<b>MKTBL</b> =	Marketable	<b>WG</b> =	Water Soluble Granule
<b>MPH</b> =	Mile(s) per hour	<b>WP</b> =	Wettable Powder
<b>MSU</b> =	Michigan State University	<b>WT</b> =	Weight
<b>N</b> =	No	" =	Inches
		<b>Y</b> =	Yes

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Horticulture Teaching and Research Center**

Recorded at  
 MSU Horticulture Teaching and Research Center (HTRC)  
 East Lansing, Michigan  
 2006

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.
<b>1</b>	48.0	41.7		<b>1</b>	68.1	51.3	0.04	<b>1</b>	78.3	60.5	
<b>2</b>	53.8	40.3	0.01	<b>2</b>	58.3	49.5	0.24	<b>2</b>	77.8	55.6	
<b>3</b>	54.1	35.4	0.19	<b>3</b>	75.4	48.5	0.01	<b>3</b>	74.0	50.6	0.07
<b>4</b>	44.2	28.2		<b>4</b>	66.8	45.8		<b>4</b>	76.5	47.6	
<b>5</b>	56.3	34.2		<b>5</b>	64.5	40.8		<b>5</b>	81.6	44.9	
<b>6</b>	61.1	33.7		<b>6</b>	57.9	36.5		<b>6</b>	82.0	48.9	
<b>7</b>	49.6	34.2	0.80	<b>7</b>	68.8	36.0		<b>7</b>	72.4	60.5	0.30
<b>8</b>	43.1	26.3		<b>8</b>	72.8	38.3		<b>8</b>	80.6	60.0	
<b>9</b>	54.2	24.9		<b>9</b>	73.6	48.1		<b>9</b>	72.1	54.7	
<b>10</b>	64.4	30.1		<b>10</b>	75.1	54.4	0.94	<b>10</b>	68.2	49.6	
<b>11</b>	74.0	40.7		<b>11</b>	60.3	40.0	0.93	<b>11</b>	69.0	41.3	
<b>12</b>	65.6	47.4	0.28	<b>12</b>	44.3	38.3	0.19	<b>12</b>	71.0	43.6	
<b>13</b>	73.0	45.7		<b>13</b>	N/A	41.4	0.19	<b>13</b>	75.3	48.1	
<b>14</b>	79.9	51.4	0.21	<b>14</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>14</b>	77.8	50.8	
<b>15</b>	69.0	47.5		<b>15</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>15</b>	80.9	47.1	
<b>16</b>	58.0	40.9		<b>16</b>	65.8	51.4	0.15	<b>16</b>	87.4	60.6	
<b>17</b>	59.5	36.0		<b>17</b>	69.8	46.5		<b>17</b>	90.3	62.5	
<b>18</b>	66.5	35.3		<b>18</b>	54.2	40.9	0.10	<b>18</b>	78.7	67.0	1.50
<b>19</b>	71.7	40.2		<b>19</b>	61.9	41.5	0.24	<b>19</b>	83.1	64.9	0.01
<b>20</b>	73.4	39.9		<b>20</b>	67.3	38.9		<b>20</b>	77.3	55.7	
<b>21</b>	76.0	45.8		<b>21</b>	55.1	38.1	0.11	<b>21</b>	78.9	58.5	0.84
<b>22</b>	67.8	39.9		<b>22</b>	60.3	36.2		<b>22</b>	79.9	62.0	
<b>23</b>	54.7	41.3	0.13	<b>23</b>	70.1	33.1		<b>23</b>	74.2	57.2	
<b>24</b>	67.9	45.8		<b>24</b>	75.5	39.3		<b>24</b>	78.2	49.1	
<b>25</b>	57.3	31.0		<b>25</b>	80.1	56.1	0.32	<b>25</b>	78.5	52.8	
<b>26</b>	62.6	25.5		<b>26</b>	76.4	60.0	0.26	<b>26</b>	82.7	55.1	
<b>27</b>	61.2	36.5		<b>27</b>	83.7	54.4		<b>27</b>	80.6	60.0	
<b>28</b>	62.5	31.6		<b>28</b>	89.9	64.6		<b>28</b>	78.7	54.5	0.07
<b>29</b>	65.9	37.6		<b>29</b>	92.4	67.5		<b>29</b>	7.7	53.6	
<b>30</b>	66.0	50.3		<b>30</b>	86.1	65.7	0.64	<b>30</b>	79.7	50.7	
				<b>31</b>	81.3	63.6					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Horticulture Teaching and Research Center**

Recorded at  
 MSU Horticulture Teaching and Research Center (HTRC)  
 East Lansing, Michigan  
 2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. In.
<b>1</b>	87.5	53.7		<b>1</b>	94.7	77.6		<b>1</b>	72.1	54.8	
<b>2</b>	80.6	68.2		<b>2</b>	92.7	71.7	0.12	<b>2</b>	71.0	53.1	
<b>3</b>	79.3	64.1		<b>3</b>	76.5	61.4	1.23	<b>3</b>	74.7	49.5	
<b>4</b>	82.1	62.0	0.11	<b>4</b>	85.3	58.0		<b>4</b>	78.0	49.0	
<b>5</b>	73.9	48.3		<b>5</b>	81.3	56.8		<b>5</b>	76.4	52.4	0.59
<b>6</b>	77.0	49.0		<b>6</b>	82.6	59.6		<b>6</b>	78.7	54.4	
<b>7</b>	81.2	49.3		<b>7</b>	84.5	67.2		<b>7</b>	79.9	60.9	
<b>8</b>	81.0	53.2		<b>8</b>	79.0	58.0		<b>8</b>	81.2	58.0	
<b>9</b>	83.2	67.0		<b>9</b>	80.9	56.7		<b>9</b>	65.7	54.2	0.06
<b>10</b>	80.2	62.0	0.17	<b>10</b>	80.8	60.8		<b>10</b>	59.5	52.4	
<b>11</b>	79.4	62.3	0.90	<b>11</b>	72.9	55.1		<b>11</b>	64.0	49.6	0.37
<b>12</b>	80.4	65.5	0.04	<b>12</b>	78.4	45.2		<b>12</b>	66.6	56.2	0.38
<b>13</b>	86.3	59.5		<b>13</b>	80.3	47.8		<b>13</b>	68.4	61.4	0.26
<b>14</b>	83.6	60.1		<b>14</b>	80.1	58.0		<b>14</b>	69.9	55.1	0.23
<b>15</b>	91.2	66.8		<b>15</b>	80.3	55.0		<b>15</b>	73.2	49.0	
<b>16</b>	91.0	62.8		<b>16</b>	81.5	48.5		<b>16</b>	74.0	48.3	
<b>17</b>	90.4	65.4	0.57	<b>17</b>	82.5	57.3		<b>17</b>	80.2	56.7	
<b>18</b>	81.6	62.3	0.22	<b>18</b>	81.8	65.0	0.01	<b>18</b>	72.1	57.1	0.06
<b>19</b>	83.8	57.3		<b>19</b>	79.4	66.2	0.23	<b>19</b>	59.6	48.1	0.01
<b>20</b>	82.0	68.2		<b>20</b>	77.1	56.5		<b>20</b>	60.2	40.0	0.01
<b>21</b>	77.8	62.1		<b>21</b>	79.5	50.5		<b>21</b>	66.3	36.8	
<b>22</b>	78.2	61.0		<b>22</b>	83.3	53.5		<b>22</b>	62.5	49.2	0.37
<b>23</b>	78.9	53.0		<b>23</b>	82.1	49.5		<b>23</b>	72.0	59.2	0.24
<b>24</b>	84.9	53.3		<b>24</b>	74.4	60.4	0.80	<b>24</b>	61.6	42.9	0.18
<b>25</b>	83.1	68.8	0.01	<b>25</b>	80.4	63.8		<b>25</b>	67.9	39.8	
<b>26</b>	80.6	70.2	0.07	<b>26</b>	82.1	68.0	0.29	<b>26</b>	68.4	38.4	
<b>27</b>	86.9	65.5	0.87	<b>27</b>	75.2	62.3		<b>27</b>	70.2	48.6	0.01
<b>28</b>	84.9	65.9	0.01	<b>28</b>	71.0	61.0	0.75	<b>28</b>	56.7	42.2	0.02
<b>29</b>	89.7	66.9		<b>29</b>	75.2	61.3	0.21	<b>29</b>	55.9	32.2	
<b>30</b>	85.2	67.5	0.19	<b>30</b>	72.0	55.4		<b>30</b>	57.6	45.5	0.15
<b>31</b>	94.6	68.7		<b>31</b>	72.9	51.8					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Muck Research Station**

Recorded at  
 MSU Muck Research Station (Muck Farm)  
 Laingsburg, Michigan  
 2006

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.
<b>1</b>	47.2	42.1		<b>1</b>	69.1	52.5	0.02	<b>1</b>	79.0	60.6	
<b>2</b>	53.7	40.2	0.01	<b>2</b>	60.6	53.4	0.35	<b>2</b>	79.2	53.3	0.14
<b>3</b>	56.3	35.4	0.20	<b>3</b>	77.4	55.1		<b>3</b>	72.9	46.7	0.28
<b>4</b>	42.5	26.8		<b>4</b>	67.9	42.3		<b>4</b>	75.9	44.4	
<b>5</b>	55.4	34.1		<b>5</b>	65.1	37.3		<b>5</b>	82.2	40.3	
<b>6</b>	60.1	29.1		<b>6</b>	58.2	34.1		<b>6</b>	83.6	45.3	
<b>7</b>	49.6	34.4	0.75	<b>7</b>	70.7	34.0		<b>7</b>	72.8	60.7	0.32
<b>8</b>	43.6	26.3		<b>8</b>	74.4	33.1		<b>8</b>	82.0	54.4	
<b>9</b>	54.4	23.6		<b>9</b>	74.8	50.1		<b>9</b>	73.0	53.5	
<b>10</b>	64.0	27.9		<b>10</b>	75.6	55.8	0.80	<b>10</b>	69.2	46.1	
<b>11</b>	73.2	43.0		<b>11</b>	61.4	41.3	0.95	<b>11</b>	70.1	36.0	
<b>12</b>	66.4	43.6	0.30	<b>12</b>	45.4	38.9	0.23	<b>12</b>	70.6	39.6	
<b>13</b>	72.0	40.6		<b>13</b>	53.6	42.3	0.12	<b>13</b>	75.5	45.0	
<b>14</b>	80.7	52.1	0.23	<b>14</b>	59.2	47.1	0.52	<b>14</b>	77.5	46.4	
<b>15</b>	69.5	39.7		<b>15</b>	59.4	49.0	0.26	<b>15</b>	81.3	42.1	
<b>16</b>	58.9	37.2		<b>16</b>	66.1	49.5	0.17	<b>16</b>	88.0	60.6	
<b>17</b>	58.9	34.8		<b>17</b>	68.6	46.6	0.07	<b>17</b>	92.0	63.9	
<b>18</b>	67.5	29.3		<b>18</b>	52.6	41.4	0.11	<b>18</b>	80.4	67.6	0.79
<b>19</b>	72.7	31.4		<b>19</b>	60.6	38.6	0.25	<b>19</b>	82.7	61.9	
<b>20</b>	74.1	33.1		<b>20</b>	67.7	34.4	0.02	<b>20</b>	76.6	53.5	
<b>21</b>	76.7	39.7		<b>21</b>	53.7	36.4	0.10	<b>21</b>	77.0	56.8	1.38
<b>22</b>	67.5	33.8		<b>22</b>	60.6	32.8		<b>22</b>	80.2	60.1	
<b>23</b>	52.7	38.1	0.17	<b>23</b>	71.1	29.3		<b>23</b>	73.4	53.5	
<b>24</b>	68.2	46.1		<b>24</b>	77.0	35.7	0.37	<b>24</b>	76.4	44.4	
<b>25</b>	58.5	26.8	0.01	<b>25</b>	79.3	56.8	0.31	<b>25</b>	77.8	49.9	
<b>26</b>	63.8	20.2		<b>26</b>	77.5	59.4	0.13	<b>26</b>	83.3	49.7	
<b>27</b>	61.4	30.4		<b>27</b>	83.6	52.4		<b>27</b>	81.0	58.5	
<b>28</b>	63.4	26.4		<b>28</b>	90.4	66.2		<b>28</b>	79.8	51.9	0.02
<b>29</b>	67.4	32.8		<b>29</b>	92.4	63.9		<b>29</b>	78.9	50.1	
<b>30</b>	68.3	51.4		<b>30</b>	84.8	64.0	0.72	<b>30</b>	81.5	48.2	
				<b>31</b>	81.2	62.7					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Muck Research Station**

Recorded at  
 MSU Muck Research Station (Muck Farm)  
 Laingsburg, Michigan  
 2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.
1	89.3	49.6		1	95.2	78.5		1	72.6	52.8	
2	83.3	65.8		2	93.3	72.1	0.94	2	73.0	48.7	
3	81.2	57.2		3	76.9	61.3	0.99	3	75.1	45.5	
4	82.1	55.5	0.18	4	85.4	56.8		4	79.4	46.1	
5	74.5	43.8		5	81.5	55.2		5	76.7	47.1	
6	76.9	42.7		6	82.6	59.5		6	79.5	54.3	
7	83.4	45.3		7	83.2	64.7		7	79.9	48.1	
8	82.7	48.9		8	80.0	53.3		8	82.3	54.6	0.03
9	85.3	65.3	0.01	9	80.7	53.5		9	64.5	54.3	0.15
10	80.0	59.0	0.06	10	81.1	60.0	0.02	10	60.0	51.7	
11	80.6	57.6	1.21	11	73.0	50.7		11	63.2	49.6	0.50
12	80.5	63.4	0.17	12	79.3	41.6		12	68.1	56.3	0.28
13	87.0	56.3		13	81.7	45.0		13	67.5	61.0	0.37
14	82.5	57.1	0.02	14	81.2	55.1		14	69.3	54.0	0.16
15	91.9	61.6		15	80.4	52.0		15	72.4	45.8	0.01
16	92.0	59.5		16	81.7	44.6		16	74.4	44.8	
17	91.6	65.9	0.78	17	82.3	52.9		17	80.4	57.1	
18	80.5	57.7	0.15	18	78.8	65.6	0.02	18	72.8	57.2	0.06
19	83.3	51.3		19	79.8	64.2	0.08	19	60.2	48.3	0.04
20	82.0	67.3		20	79.1	53.7		20	59.2	38.1	
21	76.9	55.8		21	80.0	47.2		21	66.5	33.4	
22	78.9	56.0		22	83.6	52.1		22	62.8	50.3	0.27
23	78.6	48.7		23	82.0	45.8		23	71.8	58.8	0.28
24	85.8	50.6		24	74.0	56.8	0.62	24	61.6	39.6	0.03
25	84.1	70.5	0.01	25	81.4	63.3		25	66.8	35.1	
26	79.8	66.5	0.82	26	82.8	64.7	0.15	26	66.9	33.4	
27	85.7	63.9	3.36	27	76.1	61.0		27	68.8	47.5	0.06
28	85.1	64.7		28	72.6	59.6	0.28	28	57.2	35.7	
29	89.5	63.5		29	75.8	62.8	0.05	29	55.7	28.6	
30	84.8	65.1	0.32	30	72.2	54.1		30	58.0	40.3	0.07
31	94.6	67.5		31	73.5	48.3					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Clarksville Horticulture Research Station**

Recorded at  
 MSU Clarksville Horticulture Research Station (Clarksville)  
 Clarksville, Michigan  
 2006

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.
1	46.9	40.2	0.01	1	65.3	51.5	0.11	1	80.5	60.8	
2	51.1	39.9	0.13	2	56.8	48.7	0.55	2	76.5	55.0	0.05
3	55.1	33.3	0.32	3	75.8	46.1	0.01	3	73.3	50.5	0.29
4	42.3	26.6		4	63.8	46.2		4	77.4	49.1	0.01
5	56.0	31.6		5	62.9	43.3		5	81.0	48.6	
6	62.5	36.5		6	59.2	33.1		6	78.6	50.2	0.01
7	53.7	31.3	0.82	7	69.4	35.7		7	73.8	59.7	0.61
8	45.9	23.8		8	73.3	41.9		8	80.4	57.4	0.01
9	54.0	24.4		9	74.8	49.1		9	72.8	53.3	
10	64.5	32.5		10	74.6	56.6	0.14	10	68.9	49.1	
11	73.5	41.1		11	56.6	36.7	1.19	11	69.1	42.0	
12	65.0	51.2	0.20	12	42.9	34.4	0.22	12	72.2	46.0	
13	70.2	47.3		13	49.7	39.6	0.04	13	74.7	50.3	
14	79.2	51.5	0.14	14	58.3	43.8	0.33	14	77.4	51.7	
15	71.3	42.8		15	59.8	48.6		15	80.1	65.9	
16	59.7	41.5		16	68.3	47.6	0.08	16	85.3	63.8	
17	57.9	36.5		17	68.9	44.6		17	89.1	68.3	
18	67.0	34.5		18	50.6	40.6	0.20	18	78.7	65.0	0.58
19	72.9	39.3		19	61.8	40.8	0.05	19	80.1	63.2	
20	73.5	44.9		20	67.6	37.2	0.05	20	76.4	54.3	
21	71.1	45.2		21	52.6	37.7	0.05	21	81.6	59.1	0.01
22	67.7	40.7		22	60.9	33.7		22	77.5	61.5	
23	51.1	39.1	0.02	23	69.6	35.0		23	76.4	56.9	
24	66.6	40.7		24	74.6	44.6		24	78.7	51.4	
25	56.0	31.6		25	78.8	56.7		25	72.7	55.6	
26	60.7	27.1		26	79.6	60.1		26	80.2	57.8	
27	64.9	37.7		27	84.7	52.9		27	78.6	57.8	
28	62.7	31.9		28	91.7	65.5		28	76.1	55.1	
29	66.1	38.2		29	92.4	66.8		29	77.7	52.5	
30	61.8	51.4		30	88.8	63.7	1.25	30	80.0	53.7	
				31	78.6	64.3	0.11				

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Clarksville Horticulture Research Station**

Recorded at  
 MSU Clarksville Horticulture Research Station (Clarksville)  
 Clarksville, Michigan  
 2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. In.
<b>1</b>	89.3	54.9		<b>1</b>	92.1	75.8		<b>1</b>	71.2	54.4	
<b>2</b>	80.5	66.3	0.40	<b>2</b>	91.7	75.4		<b>2</b>	75.8	54.3	
<b>3</b>	80.5	63.7		<b>3</b>	76.2	64.4	0.54	<b>3</b>	75.6	47.1	
<b>4</b>	80.6	59.2		<b>4</b>	84.0	58.5		<b>4</b>	79.5	49.1	
<b>5</b>	74.9	47.9		<b>5</b>	82.2	57.9		<b>5</b>	75.9	54.6	0.01
<b>6</b>	77.8	51.0		<b>6</b>	81.7	61.7		<b>6</b>	79.3	55.9	0.02
<b>7</b>	81.9	52.2		<b>7</b>	82.5	63.7		<b>7</b>	80.6	49.9	0.01
<b>8</b>	82.4	56.8		<b>8</b>	81.0	54.4		<b>8</b>	82.2	59.0	0.02
<b>9</b>	84.1	67.5	0.02	<b>9</b>	82.5	56.5		<b>9</b>	63.5	53.2	0.03
<b>10</b>	79.6	59.0		<b>10</b>	81.9	63.1		<b>10</b>	60.4	51.0	
<b>11</b>	79.3	61.2	1.11	<b>11</b>	74.1	54.5		<b>11</b>	57.7	48.3	0.71
<b>12</b>	83.0	66.3	0.14	<b>12</b>	79.4	48.3		<b>12</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>13</b>	86.9	60.2		<b>13</b>	80.6	51.7		<b>13</b>	64.1	59.6	0.03
<b>14</b>	82.3	62.6		<b>14</b>	82.1	59.7		<b>14</b>	69.5	57.4	0.02
<b>15</b>	91.3	66.7		<b>15</b>	80.1	55.9		<b>15</b>	76.5	51.1	0.01
<b>16</b>	90.4	66.3		<b>16</b>	81.0	47.6		<b>16</b>	74.9	48.9	
<b>17</b>	91.6	64.0	0.87	<b>17</b>	82.9	56.5	0.03	<b>17</b>	79.3	56.6	
<b>18</b>	81.6	62.0	0.06	<b>18</b>	84.0	65.6		<b>18</b>	71.5	56.4	0.13
<b>19</b>	84.0	59.0		<b>19</b>	81.5	61.5	0.02	<b>19</b>	57.6	47.6	0.10
<b>20</b>	80.9	69.4	0.08	<b>20</b>	79.6	53.4		<b>20</b>	58.0	40.7	
<b>21</b>	75.8	59.0		<b>21</b>	80.8	51.1		<b>21</b>	66.1	40.2	
<b>22</b>	78.7	59.5		<b>22</b>	84.2	57.1		<b>22</b>	61.7	51.4	0.68
<b>23</b>	78.0	54.2		<b>23</b>	81.1	49.9		<b>23</b>	70.8	55.8	0.98
<b>24</b>	85.5	55.4		<b>24</b>	75.7	60.2	0.12	<b>24</b>	59.2	41.7	0.14
<b>25</b>	85.8	68.3	0.03	<b>25</b>	82.2	63.6		<b>25</b>	66.2	40.5	
<b>26</b>	76.7	69.3	0.25	<b>26</b>	83.2	65.5	0.01	<b>26</b>	66.7	41.3	
<b>27</b>	85.8	66.5	0.87	<b>27</b>	81.0	64.1		<b>27</b>	64.4	46.6	0.09
<b>28</b>	84.7	66.6	0.02	<b>28</b>	72.4	62.4	0.28	<b>28</b>	57.9	39.1	
<b>29</b>	89.3	67.9		<b>29</b>	77.6	60.3	0.23	<b>29</b>	53.7	33.4	0.03
<b>30</b>	82.3	66.9	0.23	<b>30</b>	74.7	54.4	0.01	<b>30</b>	60.2	46.1	0.18
<b>31</b>	93.3	71.8		<b>31</b>	74.8	50.3					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**Fremont & Grant**

Recorded at  
City of Fremont  
Fremont, Michigan  
2006

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.
	F	F	in.		F	F	in.		F	F	in.
<b>1</b>	43.6	39.4		<b>1</b>	64.9	52.3	0.19	<b>1</b>	81.3	55.6	
<b>2</b>	50.9	39.3	0.33	<b>2</b>	61.9	52.9	0.08	<b>2</b>	80.3	55.1	0.01
<b>3</b>	48.6	31.2	1.33	<b>3</b>	74.4	45.9		<b>3</b>	76.3	51.9	0.18
<b>4</b>	46.8	25.3		<b>4</b>	63.4	47.6		<b>4</b>	80.4	48.5	0.01
<b>5</b>	55.7	30.1		<b>5</b>	62.8	43.9		<b>5</b>	81.6	46.7	
<b>6</b>	61.4	37.1		<b>6</b>	61.0	30.5		<b>6</b>	78.0	44.2	0.27
<b>7</b>	54.1	28.6	1.08	<b>7</b>	65.9	32.8		<b>7</b>	74.2	59.8	0.96
<b>8</b>	47.5	24.9		<b>8</b>	73.8	40.0		<b>8</b>	82.7	57.4	
<b>9</b>	51.8	23.3		<b>9</b>	72.6	49.5		<b>9</b>	71.6	52.6	
<b>10</b>	62.5	30.1		<b>10</b>	74.3	58.0		<b>10</b>	70.2	43.4	
<b>11</b>	71.2	46.8		<b>11</b>	60.2	41.1	1.37	<b>11</b>	70.4	39.1	
<b>12</b>	64.8	51.3	0.41	<b>12</b>	45.1	36.4	0.51	<b>12</b>	72.1	44.7	
<b>13</b>	70.3	41.3		<b>13</b>	52.0	39.6	0.41	<b>13</b>	76.9	46.9	
<b>14</b>	77.3	51.8	0.37	<b>14</b>	54.9	45.0	0.25	<b>14</b>	78.1	49.2	
<b>15</b>	69.3	42.1		<b>15</b>	66.9	50.4		<b>15</b>	80.7	50.4	
<b>16</b>	62.3	44.0		<b>16</b>	69.7	48.6	0.03	<b>16</b>	85.1	62.6	
<b>17</b>	62.8	38.6		<b>17</b>	69.2	45.8	0.11	<b>17</b>	89.6	73.0	
<b>18</b>	66.8	36.7		<b>18</b>	48.1	41.6	0.06	<b>18</b>	80.6	62.8	0.35
<b>19</b>	73.1	41.2		<b>19</b>	60.4	40.7		<b>19</b>	78.9	60.4	
<b>20</b>	73.9	48.9		<b>20</b>	67.0	37.4	0.08	<b>20</b>	77.5	49.5	
<b>21</b>	67.0	39.8		<b>21</b>	51.6	37.2		<b>21</b>	79.1	60.2	0.45
<b>22</b>	62.3	40.7		<b>22</b>	60.0	30.2		<b>22</b>	78.1	63.2	
<b>23</b>	50.9	40.5	0.05	<b>23</b>	67.3	31.8		<b>23</b>	77.4	49.7	
<b>24</b>	66.8	37.5		<b>24</b>	73.9	40.9	0.08	<b>24</b>	79.2	49.3	
<b>25</b>	53.2	32.4	0.06	<b>25</b>	71.3	57.4		<b>25</b>	70.8	58.4	0.11
<b>26</b>	61.4	24.8		<b>26</b>	80.2	58.4		<b>26</b>	81.0	58.5	0.07
<b>27</b>	67.3	33.4		<b>27</b>	84.5	54.1		<b>27</b>	76.7	56.4	
<b>28</b>	63.4	31.6		<b>28</b>	86.9	67.5		<b>28</b>	74.0	53.5	
<b>29</b>	65.0	41.7		<b>29</b>	88.8	64.8		<b>29</b>	79.1	52.7	
<b>30</b>	60.2	52.2	0.04	<b>30</b>	85.8	64.0		<b>30</b>	79.1	51.6	
				<b>31</b>	73.1	59.6	0.19				

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**Fremont & Grant**

Recorded at  
City of Fremont  
Fremont, Michigan  
2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. In.
1	83.7	51.9	0.07	1	90.7	78.4		1	73.7	N/A	
2	82.1	66.7	0.05	2	88.8	73.7		2	77.8	N/A	
3	82.0	62.6		3	83.2	62.2	0.56	3	78.7	48.0	
4	78.4	57.9	0.13	4	86.2	57.5		4	80.7	50.8	
5	76.0	47.9		5	82.7	56.3		5	78.4	55.5	0.02
6	81.1	48.9		6	81.5	64.0	0.01	6	81.4	52.0	0.13
7	80.8	48.3		7	81.5	63.4		7	78.9	56.2	0.01
8	80.3	52.4	0.01	8	82.1	53.0		8	80.9	57.8	0.02
9	83.6	66.1		9	79.7	77.6		9	63.2	51.8	0.16
10	74.6	54.7		10	79.5	77.2		10	63.2	N/A	0.02
11	79.2	55.0	1.79	11	76.0	56.1		11	54.4	N/A	1.12
12	88.4	65.7		12	78.3	74.9		12	63.6	N/A	0.51
13	89.0	63.6		13	78.5	74.9		13	66.9	N/A	0.12
14	81.6	65.7		14	77.5	76.2		14	72.7	N/A	
15	93.1	N/A		15	78.7	75.3		15	74.9	N/A	
16	89.9	63.3		16	84.8	47.5		16	74.0	N/A	
17	89.8	66.3	1.10	17	80.7	56.8		17	79.3	60.9	0.02
18	85.6	60.3	0.01	18	82.2	66.4		18	69.6	N/A	0.21
19	82.9	58.2		19	81.5	62.7	0.02	19	56.1	48.2	0.03
20	82.3	69.5	0.58	20	82.3	56.8		20	59.5	40.1	0.01
21	75.2	61.3		21	80.2	49.5		21	65.8	37.2	0.02
22	80.5	55.8		22	84.9	55.2		22	61.1	51.9	0.05
23	79.3	54.1		23	79.6	48.3		23	69.2	N/A	1.26
24	83.6	56.7	0.04	24	69.1	61.0	0.08	24	58.6	N/A	0.07
25	84.0	65.9	0.02	25	81.0	62.8	0.01	25	67.3	N/A	
26	79.2	70.2	0.39	26	82.5	N/A		26	66.3	N/A	
27	82.7	67.0	0.11	27	83.4	N/A	0.01	27	63.2	N/A	0.22
28	84.1	69.2		28	71.9	61.4	0.02	28	59.9	N/A	0.01
29	90.3	67.7		29	83.6	57.8	0.01	29	52.3	46.5	0.11
30	82.8	67.4	0.55	30	79.3	55.3		30	60.1	43.5	0.56
31	91.9	68.8		31	77.9	51.0					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**Hart**

Recorded at  
Asparagus Research Farm  
Hart, Michigan  
2006

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.
1	43.7	37.7		1	65.6	52.1	0.35	1	77.3	51.5	
2	50.4	38.6	0.41	2	61.5	48.2	0.01	2	78.1	51.0	
3	47.0	26.6	1.19	3	73.1	43.6	0.01	3	72.1	51.9	
4	45.8	25.8		4	63.5	45.4		4	74.8	45.1	
5	50.1	30.9		5	54.8	37.8		5	77.8	42.9	
6	61.5	33.1		6	55.2	27.0		6	77.1	48.6	0.32
7	53.0	27.2	0.71	7	66.3	37.1		7	70.6	54.3	0.08
8	41.4	23.1		8	71.4	43.8		8	76.4	49.2	
9	51.9	22.6		9	71.6	52.1	0.03	9	66.6	50.3	
10	61.7	33.0		10	72.1	53.2	0.12	10	65.9	39.1	
11	71.6	42.0		11	57.8	42.4	1.59	11	66.5	36.1	
12	66.6	48.3	0.15	12	45.4	35.9	0.24	12	66.8	41.6	
13	66.4	46.5		13	51.9	39.1	0.30	13	71.5	44.0	
14	75.7	51.8	0.18	14	57.8	46.1	0.26	14	74.3	47.1	
15	61.4	37.4		15	63.5	48.9		15	80.5	51.2	
16	63.5	45.2		16	66.6	43.3	0.02	16	83.6	67.1	
17	64.8	36.4		17	65.4	41.4	0.14	17	88.4	71.5	
18	67.9	36.4		18	48.4	42.3	0.02	18	81.0	62.8	1.97
19	75.3	43.0		19	58.6	36.5		19	77.0	62.3	
20	71.4	45.8	0.01	20	66.7	34.4	0.15	20	73.8	47.0	
21	66.3	40.3		21	51.9	36.4		21	79.1	61.5	0.32
22	57.7	43.9	0.10	22	57.2	27.6		22	79.4	59.8	
23	52.2	39.7	0.04	23	67.9	32.2		23	73.4	47.7	
24	66.4	34.9		24	73.6	45.7		24	77.3	47.6	
25	48.3	29.2	0.13	25	73.0	57.8		25	70.4	58.5	0.26
26	62.0	27.2		26	67.0	47.5		26	77.5	55.6	0.14
27	63.0	34.8		27	86.2	52.0		27	76.5	54.3	
28	65.4	31.6		28	85.7	65.3	0.08	28	70.6	52.0	0.21
29	66.6	43.4		29	87.9	69.4		29	75.3	51.2	
30	61.1	52.9	0.10	30	83.6	62.7	1.79	30	79.0	55.5	
				31	73.3	57.2	0.01				

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**Hart**

Recorded at  
Asparagus Research Farm  
Hart, Michigan  
2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. In.
<b>1</b>	81.6	55.1	0.23	<b>1</b>	92.0	72.7	0.08	<b>1</b>	75.8	49.3	
<b>2</b>	82.4	65.5		<b>2</b>	86.9	67.9	0.39	<b>2</b>	77.2	51.3	
<b>3</b>	82.9	62.8		<b>3</b>	82.4	61.8	0.03	<b>3</b>	74.1	49.6	
<b>4</b>	75.5	59.1	0.13	<b>4</b>	86.0	60.2		<b>4</b>	77.6	50.8	
<b>5</b>	72.7	45.7		<b>5</b>	84.8	60.3		<b>5</b>	79.1	51.2	
<b>6</b>	77.7	49.3		<b>6</b>	82.6	65.2	0.01	<b>6</b>	79.5	49.9	
<b>7</b>	82.0	51.1		<b>7</b>	79.4	60.8		<b>7</b>	79.5	52.8	0.01
<b>8</b>	81.7	54.6		<b>8</b>	79.5	52.1		<b>8</b>	80.6	59.9	
<b>9</b>	84.7	62.4	0.18	<b>9</b>	82.6	55.5	0.12	<b>9</b>	61.6	51.3	0.22
<b>10</b>	68.9	53.3		<b>10</b>	84.5	63.8		<b>10</b>	63.9	45.1	
<b>11</b>	79.4	52.1	0.38	<b>11</b>	78.0	55.6		<b>11</b>	54.3	48.9	0.76
<b>12</b>	81.3	65.3		<b>12</b>	76.3	48.7		<b>12</b>	62.8	52.9	0.56
<b>13</b>	84.9	60.6		<b>13</b>	80.0	52.3		<b>13</b>	64.2	54.6	0.01
<b>14</b>	81.2	65.8		<b>14</b>	76.1	57.5	0.01	<b>14</b>	70.5	50.7	0.01
<b>15</b>	91.9	66.0		<b>15</b>	77.1	51.7		<b>15</b>	74.5	48.8	
<b>16</b>	91.8	66.6		<b>16</b>	81.5	50.0		<b>16</b>	74.9	55.5	
<b>17</b>	90.4	68.1	0.66	<b>17</b>	78.9	58.7		<b>17</b>	78.9	61.0	0.05
<b>18</b>	84.3	58.1		<b>18</b>	80.0	65.9		<b>18</b>	68.2	54.2	0.29
<b>19</b>	83.9	59.0		<b>19</b>	79.4	63.0		<b>19</b>	57.9	47.6	0.06
<b>20</b>	83.1	68.5	0.76	<b>20</b>	75.9	48.8		<b>20</b>	57.3	42.4	0.12
<b>21</b>	73.2	57.6		<b>21</b>	79.3	64.8		<b>21</b>	65.0	37.4	
<b>22</b>	78.3	55.3		<b>22</b>	82.1	55.0		<b>22</b>	61.5	55.5	
<b>23</b>	76.1	56.7		<b>23</b>	75.6	51.1	0.07	<b>23</b>	68.2	55.1	0.37
<b>24</b>	85.4	59.7	0.03	<b>24</b>	68.9	60.0	0.03	<b>24</b>	58.5	39.2	0.03
<b>25</b>	84.3	66.1	0.13	<b>25</b>	79.4	62.3	0.04	<b>25</b>	65.9	38.2	
<b>26</b>	78.2	68.3	1.77	<b>26</b>	80.8	65.8	0.02	<b>26</b>	65.8	36.6	
<b>27</b>	85.6	65.8		<b>27</b>	79.9	62.3		<b>27</b>	62.7	48.1	0.27
<b>28</b>	85.0	67.5		<b>28</b>	72.9	56.8	0.02	<b>28</b>	57.2	37.6	0.03
<b>29</b>	88.5	70.8		<b>29</b>	82.1	53.2		<b>29</b>	53.5	35.9	0.28
<b>30</b>	82.4	67.8	0.71	<b>30</b>	77.8	53.8		<b>30</b>	59.6	43.0	0.58
<b>31</b>	91.8	70.1		<b>31</b>	77.8	47.4					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**Hudsonville**

Recorded at  
Michigan Celery Cooperative  
Hudsonville, Michigan  
2005

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.	Date	High Temp F	Low Temp F	Total Prec. in.
1	48.6	40.5		1	66.4	53.1	0.13	1	83.2	59.1	
2	52.8	40.5	0.07	2	61.1	49.1	0.57	2	81.1	56.7	0.14
3	53.5	31.7	0.60	3	75.6	40.6	0.01	3	75.5	49.8	0.20
4	44.9	27.7		4	63.8	48.8		4	78.3	48.1	0.01
5	52.5	29.2		5	62.4	46.3		5	78.9	45.4	
6	65.5	38.7		6	58.0	35.4		6	80.9	47.8	0.01
7	56.5	32.9	1.07	7	67.2	34.7		7	75.9	60.7	0.50
8	47.5	26.5		8	74.9	41.0		8	81.4	56.3	
9	51.6	25.6		9	75.1	52.7		9	73.6	54.2	
10	66.6	32.7		10	75.3	58.2	0.16	10	70.0	50.2	
11	76.2	46.3		11	58.4	36.1	2.02	11	69.9	44.7	
12	64.1	50.4	0.23	12	43.5	36.4	0.57	12	71.7	44.6	
13	68.8	45.7		13	51.0	41.5	0.13	13	73.3	45.1	
14	81.0	53.0	0.24	14	59.1	44.7	0.28	14	80.1	46.7	
15	67.2	41.6		15	63.2	50.6	0.08	15	83.0	70.0	
16	60.2	45.6		16	64.7	47.0		16	87.3	68.3	
17	61.8	41.9		17	66.0	43.3	0.01	17	91.5	68.9	
18	68.8	37.2		18	52.6	43.3	0.11	18	80.5	65.5	0.07
19	75.8	43.2		19	59.9	39.4		19	80.9	62.0	
20	74.1	47.7		20	68.8	35.8	0.07	20	79.8	56.9	
21	68.3	40.8		21	53.3	40.4	0.05	21	82.6	63.6	0.21
22	65.5	38.1		22	58.2	34.8		22	77.8	61.0	0.05
23	51.2	37.6	0.05	23	67.6	34.2		23	79.8	55.8	
24	63.4	40.5		24	75.2	40.8		24	81.9	54.0	
25	56.3	33.8		25	76.4	60.5		25	75.5	58.6	
26	59.7	25.4		26	73.9	55.5	0.01	26	81.1	61.6	
27	68.9	38.8		27	86.2	50.4		27	78.5	56.8	
28	65.5	35.9		28	90.7	68.5		28	76.1	56.0	
29	66.7	42.2		29	91.1	69.2		29	77.3	50.8	
30	60.8	52.2	0.07	30	88.5	64.6	0.31	30	81.1	52.7	
				31	74.8	65.0	0.21				

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**Hudsonville**

Recorded at  
 Michigan Celery Cooperative  
 Hudsonville, Michigan  
 2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.
	F	F	in.		F	F	in.		F	F	In.
<b>1</b>	90.0	54.0		<b>1</b>	91.4	77.9		<b>1</b>	71.7	58.0	----
<b>2</b>	80.1	66.5		<b>2</b>	90.4	76.4		<b>2</b>	77.2	57.9	----
<b>3</b>	80.9	64.8		<b>3</b>	81.5	63.5	N/A	<b>3</b>	75.9	48.2	----
<b>4</b>	83.0	57.7		<b>4</b>	87.9	58.5		<b>4</b>	80.3	47.7	----
<b>5</b>	76.6	47.0		<b>5</b>	83.8	57.3		<b>5</b>	78.2	55.5	----
<b>6</b>	81.7	48.7		<b>6</b>	81.4	65.4		<b>6</b>	80.7	50.8	----
<b>7</b>	82.1	48.2		<b>7</b>	83.8	64.7		<b>7</b>	80.3	48.3	----
<b>8</b>	82.8	56.5		<b>8</b>	81.2	56.8		<b>8</b>	81.6	60.2	----
<b>9</b>	85.0	69.6		<b>9</b>	82.8	57.5		<b>9</b>	65.2	56.5	0.24
<b>10</b>	80.9	60.2		<b>10</b>	82.2	66.4		<b>10</b>	60.9	53.9	----
<b>11</b>	79.5	60.3	1.69	<b>11</b>	N/A	N/A		<b>11</b>	58.3	50.6	0.96
<b>12</b>	85.6	68.2	0.03	<b>12</b>	80.6	N/A		<b>12</b>	65.5	56.4	0.07
<b>13</b>	88.5	62.6		<b>13</b>	81.1	N/A		<b>13</b>	67.3	60.9	0.60
<b>14</b>	82.8	68.8		<b>14</b>	79.3	56.7		<b>14</b>	73.1	55.8	0.03
<b>15</b>	91.4	65.8		<b>15</b>	77.0	53.9		<b>15</b>	74.2	50.5	----
<b>16</b>	91.0	66.3		<b>16</b>	83.1	48.0		<b>16</b>	75.5	53.1	----
<b>17</b>	91.3	66.2	1.81	<b>17</b>	82.5	57.6	0.01	<b>17</b>	80.7	60.8	----
<b>18</b>	83.5	63.1	0.08	<b>18</b>	83.6	67.5	0.01	<b>18</b>	72.0	56.2	0.25
<b>19</b>	85.1	59.9		<b>19</b>	81.0	59.9		<b>19</b>	57.1	48.8	0.26
<b>20</b>	80.3	68.8	0.19	<b>20</b>	79.3	52.1		<b>20</b>	59.5	42.3	0.14
<b>21</b>	78.0	61.8		<b>21</b>	78.5	48.5		<b>21</b>	67.2	41.8	----
<b>22</b>	80.9	59.3		<b>22</b>	84.8	56.0		<b>22</b>	64.5	54.1	0.19
<b>23</b>	78.9	56.0		<b>23</b>	81.6	49.7		<b>23</b>	70.4	55.8	1.08
<b>24</b>	84.4	57.6		<b>24</b>	80.9	63.9	0.29	<b>24</b>	61.1	41.3	0.14
<b>25</b>	87.0	71.5	0.01	<b>25</b>	82.2	65.5		<b>25</b>	67.8	41.2	----
<b>26</b>	79.5	70.6	0.11	<b>26</b>	81.4	67.5		<b>26</b>	69.0	40.5	----
<b>27</b>	86.7	68.8	1.85	<b>27</b>	83.9	65.3		<b>27</b>	64.6	47.3	0.08
<b>28</b>	84.3	69.3		<b>28</b>	72.8	63.2	0.44	<b>28</b>	59.0	38.3	0.16
<b>29</b>	89.9	68.9		<b>29</b>	79.2	60.9	0.08	<b>29</b>	54.0	34.6	0.36
<b>30</b>	85.1	69.0	0.44	<b>30</b>	77.1	59.4		<b>30</b>	62.3	48.0	0.15
<b>31</b>	94.1	73.8		<b>31</b>	76.5	54.8					

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Trevor Nichols Research Complex**

Recorded at  
 MSU Trevor Nichols Research Complex (Fennville)  
 Fennville, Michigan  
 2006

<b>APRIL</b>				<b>MAY</b>				<b>JUNE</b>			
Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.
	F	F	in.		F	F	in.		F	F	in.
<b>1</b>	43.6	34.6		<b>1</b>	67.2	52.4	0.11	<b>1</b>	77.5	58.6	
<b>2</b>	53.7	35.1	0.06	<b>2</b>	60.2	43.6	0.59	<b>2</b>	77.3	55.1	
<b>3</b>	53.3	33.6	0.47	<b>3</b>	73.7	39.0		<b>3</b>	72.8	52.1	
<b>4</b>	43.8	27.8		<b>4</b>	64.0	48.1		<b>4</b>	75.5	47.6	
<b>5</b>	47.6	32.5		<b>5</b>	55.4	44.6		<b>5</b>	78.9	45.2	
<b>6</b>	65.8	37.0		<b>6</b>	55.1	35.0		<b>6</b>	80.0	48.5	0.16
<b>7</b>	55.0	33.4	1.02	<b>7</b>	65.7	33.9		<b>7</b>	71.2	55.4	0.79
<b>8</b>	42.9	25.9		<b>8</b>	74.1	41.2		<b>8</b>	73.9	54.0	
<b>9</b>	48.6	24.1		<b>9</b>	76.1	50.3		<b>9</b>	67.3	52.9	
<b>10</b>	64.6	31.5		<b>10</b>	72.2	58.0	0.10	<b>10</b>	66.6	47.7	0.01
<b>11</b>	74.3	43.9		<b>11</b>	58.2	36.1	2.03	<b>11</b>	67.5	44.4	
<b>12</b>	63.5	48.7	0.16	<b>12</b>	43.0	36.0	0.94	<b>12</b>	67.6	46.8	
<b>13</b>	69.4	46.0		<b>13</b>	50.4	41.4	0.47	<b>13</b>	69.5	44.7	
<b>14</b>	80.5	51.8	0.03	<b>14</b>	54.8	42.9	0.11	<b>14</b>	77.9	47.0	
<b>15</b>	64.7	41.8		<b>15</b>	59.7	46.9	0.14	<b>15</b>	82.6	49.1	
<b>16</b>	65.3	41.5	0.02	<b>16</b>	57.5	44.3		<b>16</b>	86.7	64.7	
<b>17</b>	63.2	39.8		<b>17</b>	61.9	45.0	0.13	<b>17</b>	91.3	67.2	
<b>18</b>	68.8	36.4		<b>18</b>	54.3	43.3	0.06	<b>18</b>	79.5	64.2	0.10
<b>19</b>	76.3	45.9		<b>19</b>	55.7	43.5		<b>19</b>	79.3	60.7	
<b>20</b>	69.8	46.3		<b>20</b>	67.2	38.1	0.01	<b>20</b>	77.1	56.5	
<b>21</b>	66.4	42.6		<b>21</b>	51.7	38.9	0.07	<b>21</b>	82.5	63.9	
<b>22</b>	64.3	38.7	0.01	<b>22</b>	56.5	33.3		<b>22</b>	74.8	61.1	0.05
<b>23</b>	53.5	39.6	0.01	<b>23</b>	65.8	32.4		<b>23</b>	77.4	55.5	
<b>24</b>	62.1	39.5		<b>24</b>	77.3	44.0		<b>24</b>	77.8	48.6	
<b>25</b>	56.1	33.8	0.02	<b>25</b>	73.4	60.5	0.01	<b>25</b>	76.2	54.5	
<b>26</b>	56.9	25.8		<b>26</b>	63.2	49.9	0.05	<b>26</b>	76.6	59.8	
<b>27</b>	62.3	45.3		<b>27</b>	86.1	47.6		<b>27</b>	74.5	57.4	0.03
<b>28</b>	68.3	38.6		<b>28</b>	87.2	64.2		<b>28</b>	72.8	56.5	
<b>29</b>	66.0	44.7	0.02	<b>29</b>	88.5	65.7		<b>29</b>	72.7	52.3	
<b>30</b>	59.1	51.0	0.23	<b>30</b>	88.2	64.4	0.43	<b>30</b>	79.4	49.5	
				<b>31</b>	69.4	59.8	0.03				

**TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DATA**

**MSU Trevor Nichols Research Complex**

Recorded at  
 MSU Trevor Nichols Research Complex (Fennville)  
 Fennville, Michigan  
 2006

<b>JULY</b>				<b>AUGUST</b>				<b>SEPTEMBER</b>			
Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.	Date	High Temp	Low Temp	Total Prec.
	F	F	in.		F	F	in.		F	F	in.
<b>1</b>	87.0	58.6		<b>1</b>	91.8	79.7		<b>1</b>	69.3	56.3	
<b>2</b>	77.4	63.6	0.01	<b>2</b>	91.2	73.8	0.19	<b>2</b>	78.0	56.0	
<b>3</b>	80.5	60.2	0.06	<b>3</b>	78.4	63.0	0.20	<b>3</b>	73.1	49.2	
<b>4</b>	79.1	60.0	0.02	<b>4</b>	84.8	60.3		<b>4</b>	74.2	49.5	0.06
<b>5</b>	73.8	48.8		<b>5</b>	86.0	56.9		<b>5</b>	72.1	54.5	0.01
<b>6</b>	78.1	48.8		<b>6</b>	82.7	63.4		<b>6</b>	79.5	51.2	
<b>7</b>	78.7	49.5		<b>7</b>	84.5	63.6		<b>7</b>	78.8	51.1	
<b>8</b>	81.0	55.9		<b>8</b>	82.0	55.3		<b>8</b>	79.1	57.7	
<b>9</b>	83.8	68.5		<b>9</b>	84.3	56.3		<b>9</b>	65.0	55.4	
<b>10</b>	74.8	61.2		<b>10</b>	82.8	65.7		<b>10</b>	58.2	53.2	
<b>11</b>	79.0	60.7	1.01	<b>11</b>	78.0	59.5		<b>11</b>	61.1	50.3	0.66
<b>12</b>	83.5	66.4	0.01	<b>12</b>	81.4	50.0		<b>12</b>	68.9	56.8	0.03
<b>13</b>	87.6	61.7		<b>13</b>	81.5	51.4		<b>13</b>	69.1	59.6	0.45
<b>14</b>	79.4	68.2	0.02	<b>14</b>	78.7	59.4		<b>14</b>	73.9	54.6	0.01
<b>15</b>	85.9	63.8		<b>15</b>	78.1	53.8		<b>15</b>	73.5	51.1	
<b>16</b>	90.0	64.1		<b>16</b>	84.7	46.9		<b>16</b>	77.4	52.8	
<b>17</b>	90.2	66.9	0.84	<b>17</b>	84.9	56.8	0.01	<b>17</b>	81.6	58.3	
<b>18</b>	84.3	63.5	0.98	<b>18</b>	85.5	67.2	0.50	<b>18</b>	71.4	56.8	0.23
<b>19</b>	87.3	60.5		<b>19</b>	77.9	62.6	0.02	<b>19</b>	58.4	49.8	0.13
<b>20</b>	78.1	68.7	0.24	<b>20</b>	76.7	55.2		<b>20</b>	58.5	40.1	0.16
<b>21</b>	76.5	61.1		<b>21</b>	75.5	49.9		<b>21</b>	68.4	39.6	
<b>22</b>	76.4	60.1		<b>22</b>	81.5	54.8		<b>22</b>	65.3	53.3	0.39
<b>23</b>	78.4	54.8		<b>23</b>	82.7	51.6	0.15	<b>23</b>	69.8	56.9	0.98
<b>24</b>	84.3	57.4		<b>24</b>	81.3	63.1	0.57	<b>24</b>	60.7	42.7	0.08
<b>25</b>	88.3	72.1	0.01	<b>25</b>	82.7	63.6		<b>25</b>	66.3	41.8	
<b>26</b>	81.2	69.8	0.24	<b>26</b>	81.2	66.9		<b>26</b>	68.4	40.0	
<b>27</b>	85.4	67.6		<b>27</b>	79.8	66.0		<b>27</b>	65.9	47.7	0.18
<b>28</b>	83.3	69.7		<b>28</b>	70.9	59.7	0.71	<b>28</b>	58.4	39.6	0.02
<b>29</b>	87.2	68.3		<b>29</b>	74.3	59.6	0.29	<b>29</b>	56.6	36.4	0.47
<b>30</b>	85.5	69.0	0.12	<b>30</b>	77.3	58.5		<b>30</b>	60.6	47.2	0.13
<b>31</b>	93.3	73.2		<b>31</b>	75.9	52.2					



## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Project Code: WC 120-06-01

Location: Hart, MI Res. Station

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Asparagus Variety: Millennium (Guelph)

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 4/30/04

Spacing: 12 IN Row Spacing: 4.5 FT

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 4 ft wide x 50 ft long

Soil Type: Spinks Loamy Fine Sand  
Sand: 84% Silt: 12%

OM: 1.4%  
Clay: 4%

pH: 6.7  
CEC: 6.1

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/18/06	1:00 pm	56/55	°F	Dry	5 W	27	Clear	N
PO1	6/6/06	9:00 a,	72/67	°F	Dry	4 S	41	40% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/6	Asparagus			
6/6	VIPW = Virginia pepperweed			
6/6	FISB = field sandbur			
6/6	HAVE = hairy vetch			
6/6	HOWE - horseweed			
6/6	RUTH = Russian thistle			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 120-06-01  
Location: Hart

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			ASPA	FISB	HAVE	HOWE	RUTH	VIPW		
			Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	6/6/06 RATING	6/6/06 RATING	6/6/06 RATING
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	9.3	10.0	6.3	5.7	7.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	6.3	10.0	9.3	8.0	8.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	2.3	5.0	7.0	1.3	4.0	1.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.7	10.0	9.3	10.0	9.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	8.7	10.0	3.3	9.0	5.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.3	9.0	1.7	10.0	4.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE						
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.0	7.0	2.7	10.0	2.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE						
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	7.0	2.7	10.0	7.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE						
10	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1	2.0	9.3	9.7	10.0	9.7	10.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1						
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE						
11	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	2.0	2.3	1.3	4.0	2.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
LSD (P=.05)							1.01	2.64	3.21	2.73	4.07	3.00
Standard Deviation							0.59	1.55	1.89	1.60	2.39	1.76
CV							42.53	19.61	22.55	30.42	29.1	29.4

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 6/13/06	FISB 6/13/06	HOWE 6/13/06	RUTH 6/13/06	VIPW 6/13/06	ASPA 6/23/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Data Type										
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth					
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage				
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	7.0	8.0	7.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	6.7	9.0	7.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.7	10.0	10.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	9.3	6.0	9.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	9.0	10.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	7.3	10.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	1.7	10.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE				
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	1.7	10.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE				
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	2.7	10.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE				
10	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1				
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE				
11	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	4.3	10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1				
LSD (P=.05)						0.00	2.93	2.27	3.48	2.93
Standard Deviation						0.00	1.72	1.33	2.05	1.72
CV						0.0	19.12	21.08	21.64	23.38
										52.33

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				HOWE 6/23/06	ASPA 5/1/06	ASPA 5/4/06	ASPA 5/9/06	ASPA 5/11/06
Rating Date				RATING	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	227.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	430.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	209.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	8.0	149.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	318.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	204.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	298.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	229.7
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	7.3	315.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
10	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1	10.0	158.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1		
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
11	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	332.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
LSD (P=.05)					1.06	268.76	198.62	187.03
Standard Deviation					0.63	157.79	116.62	109.81
CV					7.4	60.42	38.02	39.34
								50.33

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/20/06	ASPA 5/25/06	ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/28/06
Rating Date				HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	121.0	97.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	149.3	169.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	164.0	78.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	216.7	135.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	181.3	105.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	137.3	108.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a	PRE	172.0	87.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	71.0	65.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	183.3	78.7
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
10	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1	162.7	84.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1		
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
11	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	234.7	132.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
LSD (P=.05)						158.52	126.61	160.96
Standard Deviation						93.07	74.34	94.51
CV						57.09	71.66	55.41
							58.11	52.52

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/29/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 6/2/06	ASPA 6/3/06
Rating Date				HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	54.3	108.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	113.3	198.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	79.3	111.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	76.3	111.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	33.7	124.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	66.7	62.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a	PRE	75.7	86.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	46.7	84.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	95.0	127.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
10	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1	59.3	129.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1		
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE		
11	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	95.7	110.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
LSD (P=.05)				62.14	84.50	151.49	179.35	97.57
Standard Deviation				36.48	49.61	88.95	105.30	57.29
CV				50.42	43.54	78.59	74.17	57.74

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Hart

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 6/5/06	ASPA 6/7/06	ASPA 6/9/06	ASPA 6/11/06	ASPA
Rating Date					HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	HARVEST G/PLOT	TOTAL KG/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	90.0	71.7	73.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	179.0	203.0	109.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	116.3	82.0	51.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	38.0	125.0	66.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	123.7	112.7	82.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	84.0	112.7	73.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a	PRE	66.7	101.0	57.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE			
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	65.7	192.7	90.7
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE			
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	165.7	226.0	108.0
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE			
10	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1	117.0	111.7	91.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE, PO1			
	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE			
11	glyphosate	5	L	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	127.7	231.0	114.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						99.95	146.69	90.14	106.59
Standard Deviation						58.68	86.12	52.92	62.58
CV						55.0	60.37	63.35	59.62
									1.1520
									43.87

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Project Code: WC 120-06-03

Location: HTRC, Sandhill

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Asparagus Variety: Jersey Giant

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 4/20/99

Spacing: 12 IN Row Spacing: 6 FT

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 50 ft long

Soil Type: Riddles Sandy Loam OM: 1.0% pH: 8.1  
Sand: 83% Silt: 6% Clay: 8% CEC: 13.7

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/13/06	10:00 am	57/50	°F	Dry	5 W	64	25% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/5/06	1:30 am	80/75	°F	Dry	4 N	25	Clear	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/5	QUGR = quackgrass	10-12"		moderate
6/5	COMW = common milkweed	10-24"		few
6/5	WICA = wild carrot	2-6"		few

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 120-06-03  
Location: HTRC Sandhill

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					ASPA	QUGR	CLGC	COMW	WICA	ASPA		
Rating Date					6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/14/06		
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING		
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit							
					Stage							
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	7.3	7.7	7.0	9.0	1.0
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	6.3	9.3	9.0	5.0	1.0
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	6.3	10.0	10.0	7.0	1.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE						
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	1.3
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	7.7	10.0	7.0	1.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.7	10.0	8.3	10.0	1.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	6.7	10.0	6.7	10.0	1.7
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	5.7	9.0	8.3	4.3	1.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE						
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	9.7	9.3	7.7	7.0	1.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	7.3	10.0	9.3	5.0	1.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1						
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1						
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1						
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.0	9.7	10.0	5.3	1.3
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1						
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1						
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1						
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1						
LSD (P=.05)					0.72	2.51	2.78	3.39	5.38	0.57		
Standard Deviation					0.42	1.48	1.64	2.00	3.18	0.34		
CV					33.14	18.88	17.46	22.61	42.65	26.97		

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					QUGR 6/14/06	COMW 6/14/06	WICA 6/14/06	ASPA 5/3/06	ASPA 5/3/06	ASPA 5/3/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type										
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage				
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	8.0	7.0	2.7
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	9.0	4.7	15.0
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	10.0	7.3	10.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE				0.0
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	9.7	10.0	9.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	6.3	8.3	7.0	7.0
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	7.7	6.3	14.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	10.0	10.0	4.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	10.0	7.0	8.0
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	9.7	6.0	5.3
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE				0.3
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	9.0	6.0	8.3
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	10.0	9.3	6.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				0.0
	COC		L	1	% v/v	PO1				
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1				
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	10.0	7.7	10.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1				0.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				181.3
	COC		L	1	% v/v	PO1				
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1				
LSD (P=.05)						2.76	3.17	4.93	9.11	0.70
Standard Deviation						1.63	1.87	2.91	5.38	0.41
CV						24.59	20.2	39.55	63.92	186.47
										62.38
Pest Code						ASPA 5/3/06	ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/5/06
Rating Date						BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Data Type						G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage				
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	5.7	12.3	0.3	210.7
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	28.7	4.3	494.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	19.3	1.7	375.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE				44.0
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	12.3	24.0	1.7	464.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	13.0	14.7	2.3	279.0
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	27.0	0.7	494.3
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	11.3	0.7	220.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	15.7	1.7	284.0
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7	19.0	1.3	303.3
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE				15.7
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	16.3	1.3	259.7
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	14.7	0.0	282.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				0.0
	COC		L	1	% v/v	PO1				
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1				
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	6.3	19.3	0.7	312.3
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1				10.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
	COC		L	1	% v/v	PO1				
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1				
LSD (P=.05)						14.80	18.49	2.67	342.30	50.30
Standard Deviation						8.74	10.92	1.58	202.14	29.70
CV						206.98	58.93	113.44	60.95	106.61

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/10/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	17.0	1.7	304.7
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	25.3	7.3	396.0
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	20.3	4.7	376.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	17.7	6.7	304.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	23.0	4.0	425.3
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	21.0	8.0	367.3
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	19.0	3.7	380.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	25.3	2.3	440.7
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	15.3	4.3	271.3
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	29.3	5.3	479.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	20.3	4.0	377.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			
	COC	L	1	% v/v		PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	23.0	3.7	425.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	COC	L	1	% v/v		PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						13.28	5.81	216.39	103.68
Standard Deviation						7.84	3.43	127.78	61.22
CV						36.68	73.91	33.71	35.21
Pest Code					ASPA 5/10/06	ASPA 5/10/06	ASPA 5/10/06	ASPA 5/12/06	ASPA 5/12/06
Rating Date					BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.3	326.0	6.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.7	405.7	10.7
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.3	474.7	4.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.7	450.0	11.0
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	0.3	390.3	7.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	459.3	48.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	394.3	0.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	416.0	17.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.3	421.0	5.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	0.3	366.7	5.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	512.0	16.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			
	COC	L	1	% v/v		PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.3	530.3	7.3
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	COC	L	1	% v/v		PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						1.50	267.88	28.33	8.68
Standard Deviation						0.89	158.19	16.73	5.13
CV						145.01	36.89	144.08	36.4
									63.71

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 5/12/06	ASPA 5/12/06	ASPA 5/15/06	ASPA 5/15/06	ASPA 5/15/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	210.0	26.3	5.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	334.7	56.3	11.7
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	210.3	34.0	10.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			2.3
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	315.0	59.3	8.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	206.3	73.3	5.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	344.0	54.3	8.3
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	217.7	30.0	9.3
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	379.7	62.0	9.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	112.3	44.0	5.3
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			2.3
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	190.3	68.0	9.7
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	261.3	72.3	7.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			3.7
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	199.3	50.0	6.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			2.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			133.7
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						155.05	61.30	8.20	3.34
Standard Deviation						91.56	36.20	4.84	1.97
CV						36.86	68.95	60.08	77.27
									104.67
									60.65
Pest Code						ASPA 5/15/06	ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/17/06
Rating Date						BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	28.3	10.3	2.7
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	66.3	8.7	2.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	50.0	9.0	4.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			220.0
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	40.7	14.0	4.0
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	54.3	6.7	2.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	81.0	9.0	0.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	45.0	6.0	3.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	40.7	10.0	1.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	44.7	9.7	2.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			199.7
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	47.7	10.7	2.7
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	80.0	12.0	2.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			230.7
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			40.0
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	39.3	8.7	1.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			155.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			25.7
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						73.24	7.06	2.78	130.75
Standard Deviation						43.25	4.17	1.64	77.21
CV						83.98	43.62	67.86	40.43
									57.82
									34.14
									69.56

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/22/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	12.7	4.3	229.0
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	10.7	1.7	172.0
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	3.0	205.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			51.3
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	2.7	142.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	4.3	125.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	10.3	2.7	222.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	4.0	167.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	11.0	3.3	199.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	2.3	160.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			39.0
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	1.7	115.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	4.3	181.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			79.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			13.7
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	2.3	187.3
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			71.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			15.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)					6.39	3.37	139.43	73.54	8.67
Standard Deviation					3.77	1.99	82.33	43.43	5.12
CV					41.17	65.12	46.87	74.87	38.98
Pest Code					ASPA 5/22/06	ASPA 5/22/06	ASPA 5/22/06	ASPA 5/24/06	ASPA 5/24/06
Rating Date					BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	294.0	70.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	217.7	137.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	310.0	87.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			15.0
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	299.7	64.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	236.7	47.0
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7	269.0	96.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	161.3	34.3
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	5.7	306.0	113.7
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	280.3	100.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			16.3
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	292.0	83.7
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	266.3	44.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			13.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			0.7
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	327.0	108.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			16.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			1.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)					4.43	196.35	100.69	10.01	1.79
Standard Deviation					2.62	115.95	59.46	5.91	1.06
CV					61.58	42.68	72.32	37.07	122.83

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 5/24/06	ASPA 5/24/06	ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/26/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	236.3	13.3	4.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	357.3	50.3	8.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	277.7	5.3	25.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			4.7
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	310.3	6.7	23.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	254.7	23.0	18.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	402.0	32.7	19.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	312.3	13.7	15.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	344.0	6.7	16.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	293.0	9.7	19.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			5.7
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	306.0	0.0	17.7
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	268.3	16.7	21.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			11.3
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	296.0	15.7	25.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			8.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			492.3
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)					219.84	36.29	13.94	5.35	217.30
Standard Deviation					129.82	21.43	8.23	3.16	128.32
CV					42.59	132.77	39.99	47.75	35.94
Pest Code					ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/30/06
Rating Date					BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	85.0	18.7	0.7
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	131.7	25.3	0.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	75.0	12.0	1.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			228.7
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	134.7	17.3	2.0
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	82.0	14.0	1.0
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	157.0	16.7	1.7
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	34.0	12.0	1.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	121.3	12.7	0.7
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	103.0	13.3	2.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			246.7
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	76.3	18.3	3.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	197.7	16.7	1.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			302.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	146.0	20.0	1.7
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			356.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			28.7
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)					94.85	9.70	2.34	169.59	44.49
Standard Deviation					56.01	5.73	1.38	100.14	26.27
CV					50.02	34.88	95.59	34.52	101.82

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 6/2/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	0.3	180.0
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	10.3	0.7	169.7
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	2.0	154.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			39.7
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	13.7	1.7	228.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	2.3	98.0
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	10.3	2.7	207.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	1.0	66.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	1.7	160.7
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	0.3	135.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			7.0
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	1.0	139.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	1.3	160.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			24.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			15.3
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	12.3	0.7	211.7
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			16.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			18.3
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						5.08	1.96	104.27	41.41
Standard Deviation						3.00	1.16	61.57	24.46
CV						32.89	88.86	38.66	102.14
									29.32
Pest Code						ASPA 6/2/06	ASPA 6/2/06	ASPA 6/2/06	ASPA 6/5/06
Rating Date						BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	377.7	33.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	289.0	15.0
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	350.0	54.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			26.0
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	277.7	48.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	336.3	30.3
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	302.3	19.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	276.3	37.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	0.7	320.7	18.0
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	324.3	26.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			21.7
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	278.7	0.0
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	327.0	68.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			35.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			5.0
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	373.7	17.7
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			22.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			3.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						1.80	156.01	44.17	17.47
Standard Deviation						1.06	92.13	26.08	10.32
CV						73.72	28.84	84.9	42.4
									53.54

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 6/5/06	ASPA 6/5/06	ASPA 6/7/06	ASPA 6/7/06	ASPA 6/7/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth				
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	306.7	113.0	17.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	526.0	136.0	26.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	442.0	132.7	22.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			3.3
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	281.0	72.7	23.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	452.3	75.0	25.3
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	420.3	92.7	22.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	213.0	48.0	18.7
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	491.7	72.0	25.0
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	324.0	42.0	21.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			3.0
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	318.0	41.3	20.3
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	625.3	87.3	15.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			3.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	373.7	51.0	0.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			8.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			0.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						252.73	77.02	12.37	4.21
Standard Deviation						149.24	45.48	7.31	2.48
CV						37.51	56.64	36.89	68.27
									193.63
									114.34
									35.52
Pest Code						ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA
Rating Date						6/7/06	6/9/06	6/9/06	6/9/06
Rating Data Type						BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Unit									BAD SPR G/PLOT
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth				
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	38.3	17.0	3.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	70.3	19.3	3.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	52.7	21.7	3.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			340.3
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	39.3	18.7	1.3
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	39.0	23.3	3.3
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	117.0	20.7	2.3
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	32.0	16.0	3.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	40.3	20.3	3.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	46.3	16.0	2.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			261.3
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	83.0	19.7	2.3
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	41.0	3.3	14.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			64.3
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	158.3	5.0	10.7
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			85.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			254.3
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						70.53	10.06	4.42	168.61
Standard Deviation						41.65	5.94	2.61	99.57
CV						65.96	35.45	59.15	32.7
									105.84
									62.50
									69.58

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/14/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	25.3	0.3	382.0
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	26.7	0.7	388.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	25.7	0.3	416.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			3.0
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	29.0	0.0	486.0
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	29.7	1.0	491.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	27.0	0.0	441.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	32.3	0.3	572.3
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	27.7	0.0	503.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	29.3	0.7	518.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			9.7
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	33.0	0.0	460.7
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	28.7	0.0	462.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			0.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			19.3
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	33.7	0.0	524.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			0.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			27.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						10.38	0.95	217.86	15.98
Standard Deviation						6.13	0.56	128.65	9.44
CV						21.13	202.26	27.34	4.96
								226.53	24.48
Pest Code						ASPA 6/14/06	ASPA 6/14/06	ASPA 6/14/06	ASPA 6/16/06
Rating Date						BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	370.0	51.7
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	441.7	18.3
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	396.0	35.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE			20.3
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	346.0	25.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	265.0	33.0
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	357.3	51.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	298.7	0.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	317.3	22.3
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	267.0	33.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE			23.0
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	308.0	17.3
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	278.0	37.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			27.3
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			5.7
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	457.3	23.7
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1			28.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			4.0
	COC	L		1	% v/v	PO1			
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1			
LSD (P=.05)						2.55	151.11	50.97	13.03
Standard Deviation						1.51	89.23	30.10	7.70
CV						100.5	26.1	103.79	31.59
									4.97
									2.93
									95.19

## Weed Control in Asparagus - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 6/16/06	ASPA 6/16/06	ASPA	ASPA	A SPA	ASPA
Rating Date					GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD TOT	BAD TOT	GOOD TOT	BAD TOT
Rating Data Type					G/PLOT	G/PLOT	#/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Rating Unit										
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth					
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage				
1	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	305.0	36.7	302.3	40.3
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	454.7	21.3	416.3	61.0
3	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	317.3	38.7	350.7	52.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE				6.496
4	terbacil	80	WP	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	344.0	40.3	349.7	51.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.192	lb ai/a	PRE	341.7	57.7	321.7	51.7
6	sulfentrazone	75	DF	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	424.0	54.7	372.0	59.7
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	306.0	12.3	275.3	33.3
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	328.7	63.3	351.0	45.7
9	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	364.7	78.3	310.7	45.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE				5.543
10	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	286.7	27.0	340.7	42.3
11	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	434.7	91.0	322.7	69.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				6.128
	COC		L	1	% v/v	PO1				1.243
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1				
12	diuron	80	DF	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	420.3	56.0	342.0	60.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a	PO1				6.230
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				1.158
	COC		L	1	% v/v	PO1				
	AMS	100	DF	2	% ai/v	PO1				
LSD (P=.05)						207.61	89.37	112.52	21.10	2.1288
Standard Deviation						122.60	52.78	66.45	12.46	1.2571
CV						33.99	109.7	19.66	24.4	0.2540
										26.89

# Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Project Code: WC 120-06-02

Location: HTRC, Sandhill

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Asparagus Variety: Jersey Giant

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 4/20/99

Spacing: 12 IN Row Spacing: 6 FT

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 50 ft long

Soil Type: Riddles Sandy Loam OM: 1.0% pH: 8.1  
Sand: 83% Silt: 6% Clay: 8% CEC: 13.7

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/13/06	10:00 am	57/50	°F	Dry	5 W	64	25% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/5/06	1:30 pm	80/75	°F	Dry	4 N	25	Clear	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/5	QUGR = quackgrass	10-12"		moderate
6/5	COMW = common milkweed	10-24"		few
6/5	WICA = wild carrot	2-6"		few

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 120-06-02  
Location: HTRC Sandhill

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					ASPA	QUGR	CLGC	COMW	WICA	ASPA	QUGR
Rating Date					6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/14/06	6/14/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth						
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage					
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	5.3	7.7	5.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	8.3	6.0	7.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	6.3	6.3	9.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	1.3	10.0	4.7	7.0	6.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					1.0
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.0	7.7	7.7	8.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1					1.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					9.3
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.3	5.7	7.0	8.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2					1.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2					8.3
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	1.7	5.3	8.3
8	Untreated					PRE	1.3	10.0	1.7	6.3	6.0
										1.0	10.0
LSD (P=.05)							0.91	0.89	4.19	1.67	2.53
Standard Deviation							0.52	0.51	2.39	0.95	1.45
CV							41.4	5.17	46.34	14.31	19.27
										27.58	8.05

Pest Code					CLGC	COMW	WICA	ASPA	GRFT	COMW	HANS
Rating Date					6/14/06	6/14/06	6/14/06	6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06
Rating Data Type					RATING						
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth						
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage					
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	7.7	6.3	1.0	2.3
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	5.7	7.3	1.0	5.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	7.7	7.7	1.3	5.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	6.3	8.0	8.7	1.7	8.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					9.0
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	8.7	7.7	2.0	1.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1					8.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					4.7
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	7.7	5.7	2.3	6.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2					8.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2					6.7
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	7.0	9.0	2.7	2.0
8	Untreated					PRE	6.3	8.3	7.0	1.7	6.0
										7.7	3.0
LSD (P=.05)							5.11	1.53	4.46	1.15	4.02
Standard Deviation							2.92	0.87	2.55	0.65	2.29
CV							46.66	11.51	34.36	38.32	50.48
										22.7	75.5

## Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				WICA 6/26/06	ASPA 5/3/06	ASPA 5/3/06	ASPA 5/3/06	ASPA 5/3/06
Rating Date				RATING	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	5.0	8.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	9.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	7.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	6.7	7.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	6.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	5.7	5.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	8.7
8	Untreated					PRE	6.0	6.7
LSD (P=.05)					4.49	8.05	0.36	149.53
Standard Deviation					2.56	4.60	0.20	85.38
CV					44.24	62.69	489.9	55.88
								489.9

Pest Code				ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/5/06	ASPA 5/8/06
Rating Date				GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	24.3	3.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	20.7	4.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	18.3	4.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	21.3	2.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	13.3	2.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	21.7	3.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	21.0	4.3
8	Untreated					PRE	17.3	2.0
LSD (P=.05)					13.21	3.36	260.66	64.68
Standard Deviation					7.54	1.92	148.83	36.93
CV					38.19	58.36	41.63	59.89
								34.58

# Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/8/06	ASPA 5/10/06	ASPA 5/10/06
Rating Date				BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Data Type				NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	397.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	450.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	343.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	4.0	434.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7	354.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	311.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	5.7	366.0
8	Untreated					PRE	3.3	307.0
LSD (P=.05)					6.61	243.79	131.57	13.85
Standard Deviation					3.77	139.20	75.12	7.91
CV					63.32	37.57	68.24	33.64
								1.69
								0.96
								177.9

Pest Code				ASPA 5/10/06	ASPA 5/10/06	ASPA 5/12/06	ASPA 5/12/06	ASPA 5/12/06
Rating Date				GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR
Rating Data Type				G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	547.7	9.3
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	537.3	0.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	439.7	10.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	409.0	6.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	480.0	15.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	377.3	26.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	356.3	5.3
8	Untreated					PRE	365.7	6.7
LSD (P=.05)				231.18	35.89	10.33	4.13	219.15
Standard Deviation				132.00	20.49	5.90	2.36	125.13
CV				30.06	204.9	59.99	104.85	68.35

## Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/12/06	ASPA 5/15/06	ASPA 5/15/06	ASPA 5/15/06	ASPA 5/15/06
Rating Date				BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Data Type				G/PLOT	NUMBER	G/PLOT	NUMBER	G/PLOT
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	43.0	12.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	29.7	6.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	48.0	11.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	43.0	5.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	42.0	10.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	22.3	8.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	39.3	7.0
8	Untreated					PRE	69.3	7.0
LSD (P=.05)							77.96	5.08
Standard Deviation							44.52	2.90
CV							105.78	34.09
							65.09	46.23
								78.35

Pest Code				ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/17/06	ASPA 5/19/06
Rating Date				GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR
Rating Data Type				NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	11.7	6.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	3.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	12.0	3.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	6.7	1.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	12.7	3.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	3.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7	2.7
8	Untreated					PRE	8.0	2.7
LSD (P=.05)							7.59	2.75
Standard Deviation							4.33	1.57
CV							47.72	48.87
							53.05	49.68
								34.37

## Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/19/06	ASPA 5/22/06	ASPA 5/22/06
Rating Date				BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPEAR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Data Type				NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	270.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7	267.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	219.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	4.7	241.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	243.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	227.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	214.7
8	Untreated					PRE	4.0	211.7
LSD (P=.05)							2.62	155.05
Standard Deviation							1.49	88.53
CV							45.97	37.35
							72.42	9.80
							5.59	5.64
							38.92	3.22
							63.92	

Pest Code				ASPA 5/22/06	ASPA 5/22/06	ASPA 5/24/06	ASPA 5/24/06	ASPA 5/24/06
Rating Date				GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR
Rating Data Type				NUMBER	G/PLOT	NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	463.3	136.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	340.7	63.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	310.0	81.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	210.7	81.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	419.7	79.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	299.7	146.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	201.3	125.0
8	Untreated					PRE	339.7	141.3
LSD (P=.05)							206.90	136.35
Standard Deviation							118.14	77.85
CV							36.56	5.44
							35.31	1.36
							108.85	223.99
								127.90
								38.05

## Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/24/06	ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/26/06	ASPA 5/26/06
Rating Date				BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	44.7	21.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	48.3	15.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	28.3	22.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	14.3	22.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	17.0	15.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	11.7	13.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	11.3	15.3
8	Untreated					PRE	19.0	15.0
LSD (P=.05)							49.96	13.64
Standard Deviation							28.53	7.79
CV							117.24	44.59
							62.6	24.07
							49.07	68.57

Pest Code				ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/30/06	ASPA 5/31/06
Rating Date				GOOD SPR NUMBER	BAD SPR NUMBER	GOOD SPR G/PLOT	BAD SPR G/PLOT	GOOD SPR NUMBER
Rating Data Type								
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	15.7	2.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	17.3	0.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	13.0	0.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	13.0	2.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	13.0	0.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	12.0	2.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	15.3	2.3
8	Untreated					PRE	11.7	1.3
LSD (P=.05)							8.20	3.33
Standard Deviation							4.68	1.90
CV							33.75	130.56
							38.41	131.6
							27.3	37.5

## Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 5/31/06	ASPA 6/2/06	ASPA 6/2/06
Rating Date				BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Data Type				NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER
Rating Unit								
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	281.3
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	157.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	234.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	2.0	212.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	203.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	180.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	152.3
8	Untreated					PRE	2.0	219.3
LSD (P=.05)							2.18	154.05
Standard Deviation							1.25	87.96
CV							58.66	42.89
							48.64	15.46
							3.33	
							8.83	1.90
							49.62	67.2

Pest Code				ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA
Rating Date				6/2/06	6/2/06	6/5/06	6/5/06	6/5/06
Rating Data Type				GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR
Rating Unit				NUMBER	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	484.0	72.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	291.3	66.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	262.0	63.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	250.3	78.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	438.7	28.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	296.7	42.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	301.3	45.3
8	Untreated					PRE	367.0	59.7
LSD (P=.05)							75.30	9.59
							274.64	5.47
							156.81	182.56
							43.00	3.12
							46.61	104.24
							28.38	86.16
							5.48	30.68
							12.3	4.0
							15.3	226.0
							4.0	261.7

# Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA
Rating Date				6/5/06	6/7/06	6/7/06	6/7/06	6/7/06
Rating Data Type				BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Unit				G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	75.3	35.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	87.7	26.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	55.3	26.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	55.3	28.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	48.0	25.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	104.3	24.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	15.0	23.7
8	Untreated					PRE	73.3	22.7
	LSD (P=.05)						98.35	10.07
	Standard Deviation						56.16	5.75
	CV						87.35	21.56

Pest Code				ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA
Rating Date				6/9/06	6/9/06	6/9/06	6/9/06	6/12/06
Rating Data Type				GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR
Rating Unit				NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	28.3	3.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	21.0	3.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	18.3	2.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	13.0	7.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	25.3	2.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	22.3	3.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2		
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2		
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	18.0	3.0
8	Untreated					PRE	18.0	2.3
	LSD (P=.05)						12.67	3.11
	Standard Deviation						7.24	1.77
	CV						35.23	53.23

# Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/12/06	ASPA 6/14/06	ASPA 6/14/06
Rating Date					BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR
Rating Data Type					NUMBER	G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	0.7	542.7	17.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	456.0	0.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	491.7	0.0
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	2.0	340.0	28.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			19.0
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	419.3	16.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			19.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			1.7
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	0.7	566.0	13.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2			22.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2			1.3
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0	406.3	0.0
8	Untreated					PRE	1.3	340.0	23.3
								23.0	23.0
	LSD (P=.05)						2.73	379.52	42.62
	Standard Deviation						1.56	216.70	24.34
	CV						219.74	48.67	6.76
								196.66	30.61
									67.28

Pest Code					ASPA 6/14/06	ASPA 6/14/06	ASPA 6/16/06	ASPA 6/16/06	ASPA 6/16/06
Rating Date					GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD SPR
Rating Data Type					G/PLOT	G/PLOT	NUMBER	NUMBER	G/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	447.7	60.7	26.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	394.0	49.7	22.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	405.7	60.7	18.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	345.3	43.3	19.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			7.7
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	331.7	21.3	26.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			2.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			479.7
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	397.7	26.0	22.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2			3.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2			314.0
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	322.0	4.7	19.7
8	Untreated					PRE	357.7	68.0	27.3
								27.3	1.7
	LSD (P=.05)						224.04	62.41	12.15
	Standard Deviation						127.92	35.63	6.93
	CV						34.09	85.26	30.21
									73.76
									27.83

## Weed Control in Asparagus with Callisto - Sandhill

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA	ASPA
Rating Date					6/16/06				
Rating Data Type					BAD SPR	GOOD SPR	BAD SPR	GOOD TOT	BAD TOT
Rating Unit					G/PLOT	TOTAL #	TOTAL #	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	mesotrione	4	SC	.121	lb ai/a	PRE	56.7	431.3	68.7
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	100.0	348.3	62.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.48	lb ai/a	PRE	156.3	325.7	65.3
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO1	117.7	310.0	58.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
5	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PRE	34.0	342.3	45.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
6	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	54.7	330.7	53.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.24	lb ai/a	PO2			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2			
7	diuron	80	DF	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	41.0	291.0	50.3
8	Untreated					PRE	24.0	322.3	54.0
LSD (P=.05)						113.01	122.77	24.60	2.5829
Standard Deviation						64.53	70.10	14.05	1.4747
CV						88.34	20.76	24.55	0.3072
								23.67	28.4

## Weed Control in Snap Bean - HTRC

Project Code: WC 125-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Snap Bean Variety: Hercules

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 5/24/06

Spacing: 3 IN Row Spacing: 14 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 8 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam OM: 2.0% pH: 6.8  
Sand: 46% Silt: 33% Clay: 20% CEC: 10.0

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	5/24/06	2:00 pm	79/72	°F	Dry	7 S	26	100% Cloudy	N
PRE	5/24/06	3:00 pm	74/67	°F	Dry	2 S	28	100% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/20/06	9:00 am	64/67	°F	Moist	3 N	63	Clear	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/20	SNBE = snap bean		2-3 tri	
6/20	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-6"		moderate
6/20	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		moderate

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Planted 3 rows of snap bean per plot 14 inches apart.
  4. Harvested all plants in plot.
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Snap Bean - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 125-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					SNBE	COLQ	RRPW	SNBE	GRFT	COLQ		
Rating Date					6/20/06	6/20/06	6/20/06	7/6/06	7/6/06	7/6/06		
Rating Data Type					RATING							
Rating Unit					RATING							
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage						
1	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.0	10.0	1.3	10.0	9.0
2	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.7	10.0	1.3	10.0	9.3
3	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	6.7	2.0	10.0	10.0
4	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	10.0	10.0	3.0	8.7	10.0
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	6.0	1.3	10.0	10.0
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	6.7	10.0
7	EPTC	7	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	8.0	7.7	1.7	9.0	9.0
8	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	8.3	7.7	1.7	8.0	9.0
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.7	10.0	1.7	10.0	10.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE						
10	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	10.0	2.0	9.3	10.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE						
11	EPTC	7	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	10.0	7.3	2.3	9.3	9.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PPI						
12	EPTC	7	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	9.3	7.3	1.7	8.7	10.0
	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PPI						
13	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.3	9.7	1.3	10.0	9.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1						
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.0	10.0	1.7	10.0	10.0
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
15	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.0	9.3	1.7	9.3	9.3
	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1						
16	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.0	9.7	1.7	10.0	9.0
	fomesafen	2	EC	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1						
17	Untreated						1.0	2.7	2.0	3.0	8.0	7.0
	fomesafen	2	EC	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1						
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1						
18	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.7
	LSD (P=.05)						0.44	1.97	1.58	1.36	2.03	1.57
	Standard Deviation						0.27	1.18	0.95	0.81	1.22	0.94
	CV						22.45	14.06	11.84	43.12	13.89	10.47

## Weed Control in Snap Bean - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					COPU 7/6/06	EBNS 7/6/06	FIPC 7/6/06	LATH 7/6/06	RRPW 7/6/06	SNBE 7/24/06	SNBE 7/24/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	PLANT KG/PLOT	BEAN KG/PLOT
Rating Data Type											
Rating Unit											
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage					
1	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	8.0	9.3	10.0
2	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	9.3	10.0	10.0
3	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	7.3	9.3	7.7
4	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	10.0	9.3	10.0	9.7
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	6.7
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	6.3	10.0	10.0	8.7
7	EPTC	7	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	7.7	9.7	8.0	8.7	6.3
8	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PPI	6.3	10.0	6.7	8.7	7.3
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE					
10	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	8.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE					
11	EPTC	7	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	6.7	7.3	9.7	8.3	9.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PPI					
12	EPTC	7	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	7.0	7.3	8.7	10.0	7.7
	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PPI					
13	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1					
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1					
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					
15	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1					
16	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0
	fomesafen	2	EC	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1					
17	Untreated						1.0	10.0	9.0	8.3	7.3
	fomesafen	2	EC	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1					
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1					
18	Untreated						1.0	4.3	1.7	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						2.83	2.80	1.37	1.16	1.80
	Standard Deviation						1.70	1.68	0.82	0.69	1.08
	CV						21.12	18.42	9.42	7.64	13.05
											12.81
											9.79

# Weed Control in Beets, Swiss Chard, and Spinach - HTRC

Project Code: WC 109-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Spinach, Red beet, Variety: UniPack 151, Red Cloud,

Sugar beet, Swiss chard Crystal 963, Giant Fordhook

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 4/28/06

Spacing: Row Spacing:

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 7 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam

OM: 1.6%

pH: 6.8

Sand: 57%

Silt: 26%

Clay: 17%

CEC: 6.5

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	4/28/06	2:00 pm	60/56	°F	Dry	3 E	18	Clear	N
PRE	5/2/06	9:00 am	59/56	°F	Dry	4 SSE	64	100% CLoudy	N
PO1	6/5/06	11:30 am	81/72	°F	Dry	4 NNE	45	15% Cloudy	n

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/5	REBE = Red beet			
6/5	SPIN = Spinach			
6/5	SUBE = Sugar beet			
6/5	SWCH = Swiss chard			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. 1 row red beets, 1 row sugar beets, 1 row spinach, 2 rows Swiss chard
  4. Spinach did not grow well, no harvest data was recorded.
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Beets, Swiss Chard, and Spinach - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 109-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		REBE	SPIN	SUBE	SWCH	COLQ	LATH
Rating Date		6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING

Rating Unit

Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Growth Type	Unit	Stage	REBE	SPIN	SUBE	SWCH	COLQ	LATH
1	pyrazon	68	DF	3	Ib ai/a	PRE		2.0	7.7	2.3	2.0	10.0	10.0
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	Ib ai/a	PRE		2.7	6.3	3.7	2.7	10.0	9.0
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.6	Ib ai/a	PRE		5.0	7.3	6.0	5.3	9.7	10.0
4	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE		1.3	1.7	1.7	1.0	7.0	4.3
5	cycloate	6	EC	3	Ib ai/a	PPI		3.0	5.7	4.7	2.3	7.7	8.0
6	triallate	4	EC	3	Ib ai/a	PPI		2.0	4.3	2.3	1.7	5.7	3.0
7	triallate	4	EC	6	Ib ai/a	PPI		3.3	6.3	5.3	2.7	8.7	2.0
8	untreated					PRE		1.7	2.7	2.3	1.0	3.3	1.0
	triflusulfuron	50	WDG	0.016	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	phenmedipham	1.3	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1							
9	untreated					PRE		1.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	clopyralid	3	L	0.125	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1							
10	untreated					PRE		1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	ethofumesate	4	SC	0.5	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1							
LSD (P=.05)								1.56	2.06	2.12	1.28	3.98	1.99
Standard Deviation								0.91	1.20	1.23	0.75	2.32	1.16
CV								38.95	26.64	40.67	36.19	36.22	23.5

Pest Code		SHPU	REBE	SPIN	SUBE	SWCH	GRFT
Rating Date		6/2/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING

Rating Unit

Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Growth Type	Unit	Stage	SHPU	REBE	SPIN	SUBE	SWCH	GRFT
1	pyrazon	68	DF	3	Ib ai/a	PRE		10.0	1.7	7.0	1.3	1.7	8.3
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	Ib ai/a	PRE		9.0	1.3	5.3	2.3	1.7	9.0
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.6	Ib ai/a	PRE		10.0	5.0	7.0	4.0	5.3	7.3
4	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE		4.3	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	8.3
5	cycloate	6	EC	3	Ib ai/a	PPI		8.0	1.3	4.3	2.3	1.0	5.0
6	triallate	4	EC	3	Ib ai/a	PPI		3.0	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.0	6.3
7	triallate	4	EC	6	Ib ai/a	PPI		2.0	2.3	5.3	4.0	1.3	7.3
8	untreated					PRE		1.0	1.3	6.0	1.3	1.0	3.7
	triflusulfuron	50	WDG	0.016	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	phenmedipham	1.3	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1							
9	untreated					PRE		1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	5.0
	clopyralid	3	L	0.125	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1							
10	untreated					PRE		1.0	1.7	2.7	1.0	1.3	8.0
	ethofumesate	4	SC	0.5	Ib ai/a	PO1							
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1							
LSD (P=.05)								1.99	1.36	2.50	2.43	0.85	4.64
Standard Deviation								1.16	0.79	1.46	1.42	0.50	2.71
CV								23.5	43.95	33.08	73.35	30.5	39.62

# Weed Control in Beets, Swiss Chard, and Spinach - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					COLQ	EBNS	LATH	SHPU	RRPW	REBE
Rating Date					6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	7/31/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	NUMBER
Rating Unit										#/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	pyrazon	68	DF	3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	10.0	8.0	6.3
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.6	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	10.0	9.7	9.7
4	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	6.3	9.0	9.7	9.7
5	cycloate	6	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	7.3	4.3	5.7	4.0
6	triallate	4	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	5.0	7.0	4.0	9.7
7	triallate	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	8.7	10.0	7.0	1.3
8	untreated					PRE	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.3
	triflusulfuron	50	WDG	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1				70.00
	phenmedipham	1.3	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1				
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	lb ai/a	PO1				
9	untreated					PRE	3.0	8.3	3.7	2.3
	clopyralid	3	L	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	lb ai/a	PO1				
10	untreated					PRE	6.3	5.3	2.7	4.7
	ethofumesate	4	SC	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				8.0
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	lb ai/a	PO1				64.33
LSD (P=.05)						3.67	4.98	2.76	2.38	4.19
Standard Deviation						2.14	2.90	1.61	1.39	2.44
CV						29.19	35.37	23.86	26.3	31.29
										24.56

Pest Code					REBE	REBE	SWCH	SUBE	SUBE	
Rating Date					7/31/06	7/31/06	7/27/06	10/9/06	10/9/06	
Rating Data Type					LEAF	ROOT	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	
Rating Unit					KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	pyrazon	68	DF	3	lb ai/a	PRE	4.73	9.27	25.32	42.3
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	4.05	6.64	23.96	44.3
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.6	lb ai/a	PRE	2.81	5.81	18.91	42.3
4	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	3.63	6.64	28.77	48.3
5	cycloate	6	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	3.24	4.65	22.79	48.7
6	triallate	4	EC	3	lb ai/a	PPI	2.35	3.34	17.15	49.0
7	triallate	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	1.74	1.99	20.98	26.3
8	untreated					PRE	3.01	4.93	28.14	51.7
	triflusulfuron	50	WDG	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1				49.17
	phenmedipham	1.3	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1				
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	lb ai/a	PO1				
9	untreated					PRE	2.39	3.04	18.48	46.3
	clopyralid	3	L	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				35.07
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	lb ai/a	PO1				
10	untreated					PRE	1.84	2.32	16.07	50.3
	ethofumesate	4	SC	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				29.56
	clethodim	0.97	EC	0.07	lb ai/a	PO1				
LSD (P=.05)						1.323	2.164	11.331	14.93	14.334
Standard Deviation						0.771	1.261	6.605	8.70	8.356
CV						25.89	25.95	29.95	19.36	23.35

# Weed Control in Broccoli and Cabbage - HTRC

Project Code: WC 114-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Broccoli, Cabbage Variety: Packman, Blue Lagoon

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 5/25/06

Spacing: 24" Row Spacing: 36 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Sandy Loam OM: 2.5% pH: 7.0  
Sand: 60% Silt: 21% Clay: 19 CEC: 7.1

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	5/25/06	10:45 am	67/60	°F	Dry	5 S	82	100% cloudy	N
PRT	5/25/06	11:15 am	67/60	°F	Dry	5 S	82	100% cloudy	N
POT	5/25/06	3:00 pm	79/69	°F	Dry	5 S	55	85% cloudy	N
PO1	6/19/06	10:30 am	79/73	°F	Damp	7 SW	66	10% cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/19	Broccoli	6-10"		
6/19	Cabbage	6-10"		
6/19	GIFT = giant foxtail	3-4"		few
6/19	GRFT = green foxtail	3-4"		few
6/19	LACG = large crabgrass	3-4		few
6/19	COLQ = common lambsquarters	2-6"		moderate
6/19	EBNS = eastern black nightshade	1-3"		moderate
6/19	LATH = ladysthumb	2-4"		few
6/19	RRPW = redroot pigweed	3-6"		moderate
6/19	WIRA = wild radish	3-6"		moderate
6/30	GRFT = green foxtail			
	CORW = common ragweed			
	EBNS = eastern black nightshade			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			
	WIRA = wild radish			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. One row for each crop/plot
4. Broccoli harvested 3 times, all mature heads each harvest.
5. Cabbage harvested 3 times, all mature heads each harvest.

# Weed Control in Broccoli and Cabbage - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: 114-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		BROC	CABB	GIFT	GRFT	LACG	COLQ
Rating Date		6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							

Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Growth Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Stage	BROC	CABB	GIFT	GRFT	LACG	COLQ
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	Ib ai/a	PPI	1.3	1.3	7.3	7.3	9.3	9.7		
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	Ib ai/a	PPI	1.7	1.0	9.0	9.0	9.7	10.0		
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.5	Ib ai/a	PRT								
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	9.3	10.0	10.0	9.0		
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0		
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	Ib ai/a	PRT	3.0	1.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	9.3		
6	dimethenamid	6	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	POT	2.0	1.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		
7	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.0	1.7	6.7	6.3	7.7	10.0		
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.0	1.3	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0		
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	Ib ai/a	PO1								
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	9.3	10.0	10.0	6.3		
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	Ib ai/a	PO1								
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	1.0	2.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	8.0		
11	carfentrazone	4	F	0.14	Ib ai/a	PO1	1.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.3		
12	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	Ib ai/a	POT	1.3	1.3	9.0	9.3	9.7	10.0		
13	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	1	Ib ai/a	POT	1.0	2.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	10.0		
14	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	Ib ai/a	POT	1.0	1.3	8.3	8.3	9.0	10.0		
15	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
LSD (P=.05)							0.98	0.94	1.45	1.31	1.57	1.43		
Standard Deviation							0.59	0.56	0.86	0.78	0.94	0.85		
CV							45.64	43.39	10.99	9.81	11.29	10.26		

Pest Code		EBNS	LATH	RRPW	WIRA	BROC	CABB
Rating Date		6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/30/06	6/30/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							

Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Growth Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Stage	EBNS	LATH	RRPW	WIRA	BROC	CABB
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	Ib ai/a	PPI	6.3	8.7	9.3	6.7	1.0	1.0		
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	Ib ai/a	PPI	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	1.3	1.0		
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.5	Ib ai/a	PRT								
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	1.0	1.0		
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	9.3	9.0	10.0	6.3	1.3	1.3		
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	Ib ai/a	PRT	7.7	9.7	9.0	8.3	1.0	1.3		
6	dimethenamid	6	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	POT	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.7	1.3	1.3		
7	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	Ib ai/a	PRT	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.3	1.3	1.3		
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	PRT	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	1.3	1.7		
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	Ib ai/a	PO1								
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	10.0	9.0	10.0	7.3	1.3	1.3		
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	Ib ai/a	PO1								
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	Ib ai/a	POT	10.0	9.0	10.0	6.0	1.0	1.3		
11	carfentrazone	4	F	0.14	Ib ai/a	PO1	7.0	7.3	4.0	7.7	2.3	2.0		
12	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	Ib ai/a	POT	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	1.0	1.0		
13	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	1	Ib ai/a	POT	10.0	9.7	9.3	6.3	1.0	2.0		
14	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	Ib ai/a	POT	9.3	9.0	9.0	6.3	1.3	1.7		
15	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.7		
LSD (P=.05)							1.21	1.55	1.15	1.85	0.94	1.01		
Standard Deviation							0.73	0.92	0.69	1.10	0.56	0.61		
CV							8.33	10.56	7.87	16.33	42.65	43.25		

## Weed Control in Broccoli and Cabbage - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		GRFT	CORW	EBNS	RRPW	WIRA	BROC
Rating Date		6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	7/17/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit							#/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage	
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	4.0
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	7.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT	
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	8.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.3
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT	8.0
6	dimethenamid	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	POT	9.7
7	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	6.0
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	9.7
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1	
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.7
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1	
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.0
11	carfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1	1.7
12	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT	8.7
13	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	1	lb ai/a	POT	4.7
14	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	POT	7.3
15	Untreated						6.7
LSD (P=.05)				1.71	4.60	3.20	1.93
Standard Deviation				1.02	2.75	1.91	1.16
CV				14.0	33.0	21.85	12.57
							26.1
							29.93

Pest Code		BROC	BROC	BROC	BROC	BROC	
Rating Date		7/17/06	7/21/06	7/21/06	7/26/06	7/26/06	
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	
Rating Unit		KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage	
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	2.07
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	3.82
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT	
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	3.05
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	2.67
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT	2.40
6	dimethenamid	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	POT	2.61
7	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	2.07
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	2.33
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1	
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	2.76
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1	
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	2.61
11	carfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1	0.75
12	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT	2.94
13	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	1	lb ai/a	POT	0.77
14	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.63
15	Untreated						0.73
LSD (P=.05)				1.532	3.29	0.551	2.77
Standard Deviation				0.916	1.97	0.330	1.66
CV				41.38	58.62	61.99	46.91
							59.79

## Weed Control in Broccoli and Cabbage - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		BROC	BROC	CABB	CABB	CABB
Rating Date		7/28/06	7/28/06	8/1/06	8/1/06	8/9/06
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit		TOTAL #	TOTAL KG	#PLOT	KG/PLOT	#PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT
6	dimethenamid	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	POT
7	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
11	carfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1
12	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT
13	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	1	lb ai/a	POT
14	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	POT
15	Untreated					
LSD (P=.05)		4.48		1.453	2.60	3.403
Standard Deviation		2.68		0.869	1.55	2.035
CV		16.43		27.63	66.01	72.81
						5.27
						3.15
						47.78

Pest Code		CABB	CABB	CABB	CABB	CABB
Rating Date		8/9/06	8/16/06	8/16/06	8/16/06	8/16/06
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit		KG/PLOT	#PLOT	KG/PLOT	TOTAL #	TOTAL KG
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
5	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT
6	dimethenamid	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	POT
7	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
11	carfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1
12	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT
13	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	1	lb ai/a	POT
14	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	1	lb ai/a	POT
15	Untreated					
LSD (P=.05)		5.268		4.09	4.208	5.29
Standard Deviation		3.150		2.44	2.517	3.16
CV		43.99		45.25	49.17	25.55
						6.441
						3.852
						21.63
						5.38
						11.75
						9.85

# Preemergence Weed Control in Cantaloupe with Matrix - HTRC

Project Code: WC 108-06-02

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott, Sylvia Morse

Crop: Cantaloupe Variety: Odyssey

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 6/5/06

Spacing: 3 FT Row Spacing: 10 FT

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 10 ft wide x 30 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam  
Sand: 56% Silt: 24%

OM: 2.2%  
Clay: 19%

pH: 7.4  
CEC: 13.1

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRT	6/2/06	2:20 pm	79/72	°F	Dry	5 S	45	Clear	N

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
------	--------------	--------------------	--------------	---------

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Transplants started in greenhouse 4/25/06.
  4. Black plastic laid 6/5/06 and plants set the same day.
- 
- 
- 
-

# Preemergence Weed Control in Cantaloupe with Matrix - HT

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC-IR-4-06  
Location: HT

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL						
Rating Date	6/12/06	6/26/06	7/13/06	7/28/06	8/18/06	8/18/06						
Rating Data Type	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST	HARVEST						
Rating Unit	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT						
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth							
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage						
1	Untreated				PRT	1.0	3.3	2.7	1.3	1.7	6.63	
2	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.015	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	6.3	4.0	2.0	1.3	4.73
3	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0225	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	7.3	5.7	3.3	0.7	1.59
4	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.03	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	6.0	4.0	2.0	0.3	1.58
5	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.06	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	6.3	5.7	3.3	0.0	0.00
6	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	6.3	2.3	1.0	2.7	9.53
LSD (P=.05)						0.00	3.56	3.13	1.91	3.21	12.158	
Standard Deviation						0.00	1.96	1.72	1.05	1.77	6.683	
CV						0.0	32.89	42.39	48.41	159.03	166.71	

Pest Code	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL						
Rating Date	8/21/06	8/21/06	8/22/06	8/22/06	8/23/06						
Rating Data Type	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST						
Rating Unit	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT						
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth						
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage					
1	Untreated				PRT	2.3	8.61	0.7	2.35	0.3	
2	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.015	lb ai/a	PRT	0.3	1.41	0.7	2.03	1.0
3	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0225	lb ai/a	PRT	0.0	0.00	0.7	2.69	0.3
4	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.03	lb ai/a	PRT	1.3	4.78	1.0	3.41	0.3
5	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.06	lb ai/a	PRT	0.3	1.07	0.0	0.00	0.0
6	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRT	2.0	9.07	2.0	8.24	1.0
LSD (P=.05)						2.29	9.146	1.96	6.998	1.37	
Standard Deviation						1.26	5.028	1.08	3.847	0.75	
CV						119.42	120.96	129.61	123.24	150.55	

Pest Code	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL						
Rating Date	8/23/06	8/24/06	8/24/06	8/25/06	8/25/06						
Rating Data Type	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST						
Rating Unit	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT						
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth						
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage					
1	Untreated				PRT	0.91	1.7	6.40	0.7	1.57	
2	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.015	lb ai/a	PRT	4.08	1.7	5.38	1.0	3.70
3	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0225	lb ai/a	PRT	1.17	1.0	3.66	0.7	2.02
4	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.03	lb ai/a	PRT	1.22	1.7	5.54	0.7	2.60
5	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.06	lb ai/a	PRT	0.00	0.3	1.63	0.7	2.45
6	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRT	3.57	2.7	9.49	0.7	2.41
LSD (P=.05)						4.825	1.73	7.233	1.51	5.356	
Standard Deviation						2.652	0.95	3.976	0.83	2.944	
CV						145.37	63.25	74.33	114.92	119.75	

# Preemergence Weed Control in Cantaloupe with Matrix - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL
Rating Date				8/28/06	8/28/06	8/29/06	8/29/06	8/30/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	Untreated				PRT	2.7	9.71	0.0
2	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.015	Ib ai/a	PRT	0.3	1.34	0.0	0.00
3	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.0225	Ib ai/a	PRT	0.7	2.03	0.3	0.47
4	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.03	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.7	6.43	0.7	1.45
5	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.06	Ib ai/a	PRT	0.0	0.00	0.7	1.51
6	clomazone 3	ME 0.25	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.0	3.67	0.3	0.89
LSD (P=.05)					2.32	8.738	0.74	1.635
Standard Deviation					1.27	4.803	0.41	0.899
CV					120.66	124.33	122.47	124.58
								126.49
Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL
Rating Date				8/30/06	8/30/06	8/30/06	9/1/06	9/1/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	Untreated				PRT	1.03	0.3	1.23
2	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.015	Ib ai/a	PRT	2.43	0.0	0.00	0.0
3	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.0225	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.17	0.3	0.86	0.0
4	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.03	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.17	0.7	2.39	1.0
5	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.06	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.38	0.3	1.45	0.0
6	clomazone 3	ME 0.25	Ib ai/a	PRT	4.53	0.3	1.34	0.3
LSD (P=.05)					4.628	1.00	3.652	0.79
Standard Deviation					2.544	0.55	2.008	0.43
CV					130.2	164.32	165.99	156.46
								158.86
Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL
Rating Date				9/5/06	9/5/06	9/7/06	9/7/06	9/8/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				/#PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	Untreated				PRT	0.3	1.51	0.0
2	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.015	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.0	3.66	1.0	3.86
3	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.0225	Ib ai/a	PRT	0.3	1.17	0.3	1.18
4	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.03	Ib ai/a	PRT	0.7	2.35	0.7	1.80
5	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.06	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.0	3.81	0.0	0.00
6	clomazone 3	ME 0.25	Ib ai/a	PRT	1.3	4.29	0.3	1.41
LSD (P=.05)					1.58	5.853	1.13	3.769
Standard Deviation					0.87	3.218	0.62	2.072
CV					111.76	115.02	160.36	150.56
								144.23

# Preemergence Weed Control in Cantaloupe with Matrix - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL
Rating Date				9/8/06	9/11/06	9/11/06	9/13/06	9/13/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	Untreated				PRT	3.09	0.0	0.00
2	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.015	Ib ai/a	PRT		2.29	0.3	1.39
3	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.0225	Ib ai/a	PRT		5.80	1.7	6.11
4	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.03	Ib ai/a	PRT		1.45	0.3	1.32
5	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.06	Ib ai/a	PRT		3.65	2.3	10.30
6	clomazone 3	ME 0.25	Ib ai/a	PRT		1.50	1.3	5.28
LSD (P=.05)						7.479	2.15	8.951
Standard Deviation						4.111	1.18	4.920
CV						138.73	118.32	120.96
								65.83
								4.455
								2.449
								67.86
Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL
Rating Date				9/15/06	9/15/06	9/18/06	9/18/06	9/20/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	Untreated				PRT	0.0	0.00	1.3
2	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.015	Ib ai/a	PRT		1.3	3.44	1.7
3	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.0225	Ib ai/a	PRT		1.0	2.93	1.3
4	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.03	Ib ai/a	PRT		0.3	0.87	1.7
5	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.06	Ib ai/a	PRT		1.3	4.74	1.0
6	clomazone 3	ME 0.25	Ib ai/a	PRT		0.0	0.00	2.7
LSD (P=.05)						1.96	6.499	2.85
Standard Deviation						1.08	3.573	1.57
CV						162.02	178.89	97.26
								10.190
								1.93
								5.601
								1.06
								95.34
Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL
Rating Date				9/20/06	9/22/06	9/22/06	9/25/06	9/25/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage		
1	Untreated				PRT	3.89	0.3	0.76
2	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.015	Ib ai/a	PRT		3.72	1.0	1.81
3	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.0225	Ib ai/a	PRT		3.91	0.7	1.70
4	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.03	Ib ai/a	PRT		5.55	0.3	0.76
5	rimsulfuron 25	DF 0.06	Ib ai/a	PRT		1.26	0.7	1.90
6	clomazone 3	ME 0.25	Ib ai/a	PRT		4.37	0.7	1.10
LSD (P=.05)						5.868	1.40	3.100
Standard Deviation						3.226	0.77	1.704
CV						85.28	125.57	127.31
								2.09
								5.506
								3.027
								297.69

Preemergence Weed Control in Cantaloupe with Matrix - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL	CANTAL			
Rating Date				9/27/06	9/27/06	10/3/06	10/3/06					
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	TOTAL	TOTAL			
Rating Unit				#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT			
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage						
1	Untreated			PRT	0.0	0.00	3.3	7.45	19.3	62.49		
2	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.015	lb ai/a	PRT	0.0	0.00	2.0	4.32	19.7	61.77
3	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0225	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	3.20	5.7	14.23	20.3	60.76
4	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.03	lb ai/a	PRT	0.0	0.00	3.7	8.83	20.7	65.86
5	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.06	lb ai/a	PRT	0.3	1.01	2.3	4.92	15.7	54.70
6	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	2.85	1.3	3.30	25.3	93.34
LSD (P=.05)				0.79	2.065	3.66	10.959	7.67	27.338			
Standard Deviation				0.43	1.135	2.01	6.024	4.22	15.028			
CV				111.76	96.47	65.91	83.95	20.9	22.6			

## Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Fremont

Project Code: WC 107-06-01

Location: Fremont, Vogel Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Carrot Variety: Sugarsnax

Planting Method: Seed

Planting Date: 5/20/06

Spacing: 0.32 IN

Row Spacing: See notes

Tillage Type:

Study Design: RCB

Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Piperstone Sand

Sand: 89% Silt: 7%

OM: 2.4%

pH: 5.8

Clay: 2.4%

CEC: 5.2

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PO1	6/13/06	3:00 pm	75/82	°F	Dry	6 NW	34	20% Cloudy	N
PO2	7/13/06	10:00 am	87/72	°F	Adequate	1 W	48	Clear	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/23	Carrot	2-4"		
6/23	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-12"		many
6/23	HANS = hairy nightshade	4-6"		many
6/23	RRPW = redroot pigweed	2-6"		many
6/23	PRSP = prostrate spurge	4-6"		moderate
7/25	Carrot			
7/25	PRSP = prostrate spurge	4-6"		moderate

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. 3 double rows/plot spaced 18" in between double rows.
  4. Harvested all carrots from 5 ft of bed.
  5. 0.5 lb/A Lorox sprayed on weedy plots 6/23/06.
- 
- 
- 
-

## Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Fremont

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 107-06-01  
 Location: Fremont – Vogel Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		CARROT	COLQ	HANS	RRPW	CARROT	PRSP	CARROT
Rating Date		6/23/06	6/23/06	6/23/06	6/23/06	7/25/06	7/25/06	9/6/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit								KG/ 5 FT
Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Conc	Rate Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage	
1	linuron NIS	50 100	DF SL	0.5 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	1.7	10.0 10.0 10.0 1.0 8.3 14.08
2	linuron NIS	50 100	DF SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	2.3	10.0 10.0 10.0 1.7 8.3 13.22
3	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	6.7 6.7 3.7 3.0 3.0 10.82
4	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3	8.3 8.7 5.3 2.3 7.0 12.38
5	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	8.3 9.7 4.7 2.0 3.7 11.91
6	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	8.3 8.7 5.3 2.3 6.7 11.02
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	7.0	8.3 10.0 7.7 5.0 5.7 9.07
8	prometryn	4	L	0.75	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.7	9.3 10.0 10.0 3.0 4.7 11.35
9	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7	10.0 4.0 7.7 2.3 10.0 12.89
10	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3	9.3 3.7 9.3 3.7 10.0 10.25
11	Untreated						1.0	3.0 1.7 2.7 1.3 6.0 12.76
LSD (P=.05)					1.50	2.74	3.58 2.33 1.18 5.74 2.170	
Standard Deviation					0.88	1.61	2.10 1.37 0.69 3.37 1.274	
CV					36.79	19.33	27.86 19.67 27.51 50.58 10.80	

# Preemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 107-06-02

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Carrot Variety: Sugarsnax 54

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 5/8/06

Spacing: 0.5 IN Row Spacing: 16 IN, 3 rows/plot

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

OM: 79%

pH: 7.0

Sand: 6%

Silt: 13%

Clay: 2%

CEC: N/A

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/9/06	9:30 am	70/58	°F	Dry	3 W	61	100% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/12	Carrot			
6/12	LACG = large crabgrass			
6/12	COPU = common purslane			
6/12	LATH = ladysthumb			
6/12	RRPW = redroot pigweed			
6/12	SHPU = shepherdspurse			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Field suffered serious water damage from heavy rains in July. Carrots were not harvested.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Preemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 107-06-02  
 Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		CARROT	LACG	COPU	LATH	RRPW	SHPU
Rating Date		6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage	
1	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
2	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3
3	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3
5	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	PRE	
6	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7
8	clomazone	3	ME	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
9	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
10	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
11	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
12	Untreated						1.0
	LSD (P=.05)			0.71	3.78	1.19	2.72
	Standard Deviation			0.42	2.23	0.70	1.60
	CV			20.04	30.01	10.15	22.74
							17.32
							23.66

# Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 107-06-03

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Carrot Variety: Sugarsnax 54

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 5/8/06

Spacing: 0.5 IN Row Spacing: 16 IN, 3 rows/plot

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

OM: 79%

pH: 7.0

Sand: 6%

Silt: 13%

Clay: 2%

CEC: N/A

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PO1	6/12/06	10:30 am	69/67	°F	Dry	8 N	55	20% Cloudy	N
PO2	7/10/06	1:00 pm	80/72	°F	Dry	8 W	34	5% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/12	Carrot			
6/12	COPU = common purslane	3-4"		few
6/12	RRPW = redroot pigweed	2-4"		few
6/12	LATH = ladysthumb	2-4		few
6/12	SHPU = shepherdspurse			
7/21	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	LATH = ladysthumb			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Field suffered serious water damage from heavy rains in July. Carrots were not harvested.

## Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 107-06-04  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			CARROT	COLQ	COPU	LATH	RRPW	SHPU			
			Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	6/26/06 RATING	6/26/06 RATING	6/26/06 RATING	6/26/06 RATING
1	linuron NIS	50 100	DF SL	0.5 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	1.0		10.0	9.7	9.0	10.0	10.0
2	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0		4.0	8.0	8.3	10.0	9.7
3	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7		4.7	9.3	8.7	9.7	10.0
4	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3		2.7	6.7	6.0	8.7	8.7
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.7		2.3	9.7	9.0	10.0	10.0
6	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0		9.7	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0
7	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0		10.0	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0
8	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0		8.0	10.0	8.7	10.0	9.7
9	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3		8.7	10.0	9.0	10.0	7.0
10	Untreated						1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.7
LSD (P=.05)							0.55		2.64	1.16	2.07	0.68	4.06
Standard Deviation							0.32		1.54	0.67	1.20	0.40	2.36
CV							21.47		25.26	8.0	15.25	4.47	26.66

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			CARROT	COLQ	LATH	RRPW					
			Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	7/21/06 RATING	7/21/06 RATING	7/21/06 RATING	7/21/06 RATING
1	linuron NIS	50 100	DF SL	0.5 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	1.3		9.3	10.0	10.0		
2	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3		5.3	9.3	10.0		
3	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7		4.3	8.0	9.7		
4	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7		5.0	8.0	10.0		
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.0		4.7	8.7	10.0		
6	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7		9.3	9.7	10.0		
7	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7		10.0	8.7	10.0		
8	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3		8.7	7.0	10.0		
9	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7		8.3	8.3	10.0		
10	Untreated						2.0		10.0	6.3	9.3		
LSD (P=.05)							1.03		2.28	2.31	0.67		
Standard Deviation							0.60		1.33	1.35	0.39		
CV							32.69		17.68	16.02	3.94		

## Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - HTRC

Project Code: WC 107-06-04

Location: HTTRC

**Personnel:** Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

**Crop: Carrot**      **Variety: Carson**

Planting Method: Seeded      Planting Date: 7/3/06

**Row Spacing:** 16 IN, 3 rows/plot

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 35t long

Soil Type: Capac Loam

OM: 5%

pH: 6.5

Sand: 61%

Silt: 15%

Clay: 24%

CEC: 16.1

## **Herbicide Application Information**

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
P01	8/16/06	10:30	78/70	°F	Dry	2 SE	53	Clear	N

#### Crop and Weed Information at Application

	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
8/16	Carrot	6-8"		

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.

# Postemergence Weed Control in Carrot - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 107-06-04  
 Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code			CARROT		CARROT			
Rating Date			8/28/06	10/16/06				
Rating Data Type			RATING	HARVEST <td></td>				
Rating Unit				KG/PLOT				
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth			
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage		
1	linuron	50	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1	2.0	57.12
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1		
2	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	2.3	56.20
3	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	1.3	54.34
4	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1	3.7	45.67
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1	5.0	45.52
6	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	60.84
7	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1	1.3	54.57
8	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PO1	2.0	54.14
9	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PO1	1.7	47.35
10	Untreated				2.0		54.67	
LSD (P=.05)				1.33	11.179			
Standard Deviation				0.78	6.517			
CV				34.79	12.29			

## Weed Control in Celery - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 113-06-01

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Celery Variety: Dutchess

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 6/1/06

Spacing: 6 IN Row Spacing: 36 IN, 2 rows/plot

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.33 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

Sand: 6% Silt: 14%

OM: 79%

Clay: 2%

pH: 6.9

CEC: N/A

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
POT	6/12/06	10:00 am	62/59	°F	Dry	5 SE	62	25% Cloudy	N
PO1	7/10/06	10:00 am	80/72	°F	Dry	8 W	34	5% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/26	Celery	4-6"		
7/10	Celery	10-14"		
7/10	COPU = common purslane	3-4"		moderate
7/10	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		few
	LACG = large crabgrass			
	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	LATH = ladysthumb			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Fields suffered serious water damage from heavy rains in July. Celery was not harvested.
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Celery - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 113-06-01  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type	Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form		Rate Unit	Growth Stage	CELERY	LACG	COLQ	COPU	RRPW	CELERY
			Conc	Type			7/10/06	7/10/06	7/10/06	7/10/06	7/10/06	7/21/06
							RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form	Form	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	CELERY	LACG	COLQ	COPU	RRPW	CELERY
1	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT,PO1	1.3	9.0	9.3	7.0	8.0	1.0
2	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	10.0	9.7	8.7	10.0	1.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT						
3	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	POT						
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
4	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	9.7	10.0	8.0	10.0	1.0
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
5	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	7.7	10.0	5.0	8.0	1.0
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1						
6	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	4.0	7.7	4.0	5.3	1.3
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	POT	1.7	3.3	10.0	4.0	9.0	1.3
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
8	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	10.0	8.7	7.7	7.7	3.0
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1						
9	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	9.7	10.0	7.0	7.7	1.0
	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	PO1						
10	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	8.7	9.0	4.0	9.0	1.3
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
11	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	POT	1.7	6.7	10.0	7.7	4.7	1.0
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
12	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	9.0	10.0	5.7	8.7	3.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1						
13	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	9.0	9.7	6.7	8.7	3.3
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1						
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	10.0	8.3	4.7	9.7	1.0
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1						
15	Untreated						1.3	2.3	4.7	2.0	3.7	2.0
	LSD (P=.05)						0.88	4.00	2.39	2.69	2.77	0.57
	Standard Deviation						0.52	2.39	1.43	1.61	1.66	0.34
	CV						39.97	30.22	15.66	26.64	20.79	21.72

## Weed Control in Celery - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type					LACG 7/21/06	COPU 7/21/06	LATH 7/21/06	RRPW 7/21/06
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT,PO1	8.7	10.0
2	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	9.7
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT		
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
3	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	10.0
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	POT		
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
4	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	POT	9.3	9.3
	inuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
5	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	POT	7.7	9.0
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
6	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	POT	4.7	5.7
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	POT	2.3	5.0
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
8	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	9.0	6.3
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1		
9	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	5.0	1.0
	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	PO1		
10	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	7.0
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
11	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	POT	6.0	9.7
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
12	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	5.3	9.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1		
13	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	6.3	9.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1		
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	8.3
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
15	Untreated				5.0	1.7	6.3	6.0
	LSD (P=.05)				4.18	1.72	2.38	2.45
	Standard Deviation				2.50	1.03	1.42	1.46
	CV				34.33	13.93	15.72	17.12

## Weed Control in Celery - Hudsonville

Project Code: WC 113-06-02

Location: Schreur Farm, Hudsonville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Celery Variety: Duchessa

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 5/8/05

Spacing: 6 IN Row Spacing: 24 IN, 2 rows/plot

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 4 ft wide x 30 ft long

Soil Type: Carlisle Muck

OM: 70%

pH: 5.5

Sand: 6%

Silt: 21%

Clay: 3%

CEC: N/A

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
POT	5/9/06	11:30 am	73/59	°F	moist	7 SE	36	20% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/15/06	1:00 pm	87/71	°F	moist	2 S	36	10% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/9	Celery	4-6"		
6/15	Celery	10-14"		
6/15	COGR = common groundsel	3-8"		
6/15	LATH = ladysthumb	4-6"		
6/15	PAWE = pineappleweed	3-6"		
	SHPU = shepherdspurse			
6/29	COGR = common groundsel			
	LATH = ladysthumb			
	PAWE = pineappleweed			
7/13	COGR = common groundsel			
	FIPW = field pepperweed			
	PAWE = pineappleweed			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 2 nozzle shielded boom FF11002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Harvested 10 ft of plot.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Celery - Hudsonville

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: 113-06-02  
Location: Hudsonville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	Rating Unit			CELERY	COGR	LATH	PAWE	SHPU	CELERY	COGR	
				6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/29/06	6/29/06		
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage							
1	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	5.7	5.3	2.7	4.0	1.0	3.7
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1							
2	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	7.0	7.3	5.0	6.7	1.7	9.3
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1							
3	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	3.0	6.3	4.7	6.3	1.3	7.3
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1							
4	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	2.0	8.3	9.7	7.0	7.7	1.3	9.3
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT							
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1							
5	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	5.0	6.3	2.3	7.0	1.3	8.7
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1							
6	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	8.3	8.0	5.0	9.7	1.0	10.0
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1							
7	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	POT	1.3	5.0	8.7	5.7	5.0	1.7	3.7
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1							
8	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	POT	2.7	9.7	8.0	2.3	2.7	2.0	6.3
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1							
9	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT	2.7	5.7	9.0	5.3	7.3	1.3	8.0
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1							
10	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	POT	1.7	9.0	8.0	5.7	8.7	1.0	8.3
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1							
11	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						0.84	4.19	3.52	4.95	4.21	0.90	2.64
	Standard Deviation						0.50	2.46	2.07	2.91	2.47	0.53	1.55
	CV						30.85	39.97	29.3	68.51	41.25	39.6	22.56

## Weed Control in Celery - Hudsonville

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		LATH	PAWE	CELER	COGR	FIPW	PAWE	CELERY
Rating Date		6/29/06	6/29/06	7/13/06	7/13/06	7/13/06	7/13/06	7/18/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit								KG/10 FT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	9.0	8.0
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
2	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	10.0
	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
3	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	POT	9.3	9.7
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
4	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	7.3
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT		
	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1		
5	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	POT	7.0	9.0
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
6	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	POT	9.3	8.0
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
7	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	POT	7.3	9.3
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1		
8	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	POT	7.0	7.3
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
9	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	POT	8.7	6.3
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
10	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	POT	9.3	9.3
	prometryn	4	L	2	lb ai/a	PO1		
11	Untreated				1.0	1.0	1.0	8.7
								5.3
	LSD (P=.05)				1.95	3.05	1.74	2.29
	Standard Deviation				1.15	1.79	1.02	1.35
	CV				14.32	23.11	61.17	21.87
								25.64
								11.87

## Weed Control in Sweet Corn - HTRE

Project Code: WC 106-06-02

Location: HTRE

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Sweet corn Variety: BC0805 and GSS 966

Planting Method: Seed Planting Date: 6/5/06

Spacing: 6 IN Row Spacing: 30 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 7 ft wide x 30 ft long, 1 row of each cultivar per plot

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam  
Sand: 56% Silt: 24%

OM: 2.2%  
Clay: 19%

pH: 7.4  
CEC: 13.1

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	6/5/06	4:00 pm	87/78	°F	Dry	2 NW	23	Clear	N
PO1	6/26/06	9:30 am	71/70	°F	Dry	2 NW	61	95% cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/26	SW CORN = sweet corn	8-10"		
6/26	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-6"		few
6/26	CORW = common ragweed	4-6"		few
6/26	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		few
	WIBW = wild buckwheat			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One row of each variety/plot.
  4. Plots had relatively few weeds in early growing season.
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Sweet Corn - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 106-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		BC0805	GSS 966	COLQ	CORW	LATH	RRPW
Rating Date		6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage	
1	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
2	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
4	flufenacet	60	DF	0.6	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
5	Axiom	68	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
6	atrazine	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
7	Lumax	3.948	EC	2.46	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
9	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	
10	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1	
11	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1	
12	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
	fluroxypyr	1.5	L	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1	
13	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.008	lb ai/a	PO1	
14	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.008	lb ai/a	PO1	
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1	
15	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1	
16	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1	
17	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	2,4-D amine	3.8	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1	
18	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	nicosulfuron	75	SP	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	
19	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
	glufosinate	1.67	L	0.26	lb ai/a	PO1	
20	Untreated				1.0	1.0	4.0
							5.7
							3.7
							2.3
	LSD (P=.05)				0.53	0.86	1.68
	Standard Deviation				0.32	0.52	1.02
	CV				29.12	38.28	11.94
							11.67
							9.47
							12.22

## Weed Control in Sweet Corn - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					WIBW 6/26/06	BC0805 7/3/06	GSS 966 7/3/06	GRFT 7/3/06	COLQ 7/3/06	CORW 7/3/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Data Type										
Rating Unit										
Trt	Treatment No.	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	1.3	1.3	10.0
2	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.7	1.7	10.0
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.3	1.0	10.0
4	flufenacet	60	DF	0.6	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	1.3	1.3	10.0
5	Axiom	68	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.3	1.3	10.0
6	atrazine	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	1.0	1.0	10.0
7	Lumax	3.948	EC	2.46	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.3	1.3	10.0
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.3	1.3	9.7
9	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.0	1.7	10.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE				
10	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	1.0	1.7	10.0
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				
11	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.0	1.0	9.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
12	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.7	1.0	10.0
	fluoroxypry	1.5	L	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				
13	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.3	1.3	10.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.008	lb ai/a	PO1				
14	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	1.0	1.0	7.7
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.008	lb ai/a	PO1				
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
15	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.0	1.0	9.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				
16	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.0	1.0	10.0
	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1				
17	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.0	1.0	10.0
	2,4-D amine	3.8	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				
18	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.0	1.0	10.0
	nicosulfuron	75	SP	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1				
19	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	1.3	1.7	10.0
	glufosinate	1.67	L	0.26	lb ai/a	PO1				
20	Untreated						7.7	1.0	1.3	8.3
	LSD (P=.05)						1.80	0.69	0.66	0.93
	Standard Deviation						1.09	0.42	0.40	0.56
	CV						11.31	34.64	32.14	5.8
										12.46
										14.07

## Weed Control in Sweet Corn - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					RRPW 7/3/06	WIBW 7/3/06	BC0805 8/28/06	BC0805 8/28/06	GSS966 8/25/06	GSS966 8/25/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	HARVEST #PLOT	HARVEST KG/PLOT	HARVEST #PLOT	HARVEST KG/PLOT
Rating Data Type										
Rating Unit										
Trt	Treatment No.	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	7.0	37.0	11.87
2	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	10.0	37.3	10.98
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	36.3	12.71
4	flufenacet	60	DF	0.6	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	7.3	33.3	11.42
5	Axiom	68	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	10.0	39.7	13.13
6	atrazine	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	7.0	42.7	13.77
7	Lumax	3.948	EC	2.46	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	36.3	12.66
8	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	10.0	37.0	12.03
9	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	7.7	40.7	12.49
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE				
10	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	37.3	12.81
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				
11	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	10.0	40.3	13.53
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
12	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	10.0	37.3	12.49
	fluoroxypry	1.5	L	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				
13	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	41.0	13.89
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.008	lb ai/a	PO1				
14	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	6.3	8.3	35.3	10.66
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.008	lb ai/a	PO1				
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
15	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	43.7	15.11
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				
16	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	37.3	12.75
	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1				
17	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	46.3	15.34
	2,4-D amine	3.8	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				
18	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	42.7	14.31
	nicosulfuron	75	SP	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1				
19	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	45.7	15.17
	glufosinate	1.67	L	0.26	lb ai/a	PO1				
20	Untreated						6.7	7.0	35.3	11.43
	LSD (P=.05)						2.04	2.85	8.40	3.607
	Standard Deviation						1.23	1.73	5.09	2.186
	CV						13.82	18.74	13.01	16.91
										20.7
										5.39
										12.04
										3.445
										7.30
										2.088
										19.28
										21.14

# Weed Control in Poast Tolerant Sweet Corn - HTRC

Project Code: WC 106-06-02

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott  
Crop: Sweet corn Variety: Cahill  
Planting Method: Seed Planting Date: 6/8/06  
Spacing: 6 IN Row Spacing: 30 IN  
Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3  
Plot Size: 7 ft wide x 30 ft long; 2 rows per plot

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam OM: 2.2% pH: 7.4  
Sand: 56% Silt: 24% Clay: 19% CEC: 13.1

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	6/5/06	4:00 pm	87/78	°F	Dry	2 NW	23	Clear	N
PO1	6/26/06	10:30 am	73/70	°F	Dry	3 NW	60	100% cloudy	N
PO2	7/3/06	9:00 am	83/70	°F	Adequate	3 S	54	5% cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/26	SW CORN = sweet corn	8-10"		
6/26	GRFT = green foxtail	3-6"		few
6/26	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-6"		few
6/26	CORW = common ragweed	4-6"		few
6/26	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		few
7/3	SW CORN = sweet corn	10-14"		
7/3	GRFT = green foxtail	5-7"		few
7/3	COLQ = common lambsquarters	8-10"		few
7/3	CORW = common ragweed	6-8"		few
7/3	RRPW = redroot pigweed	8-10"		few

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Plots had relatively few weeds in early growing season.
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Poast Tolerant Sweet Corn - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 106-06-02  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					SW CORN 7/3/06 RATING	GRFT 7/3/06 RATING	COLQ 7/3/06 RATING	CORW 7/3/06 RATING	LATH 7/3/06 RATING	RRPW 7/3/06 RATING
Rating Date										
Rating Data Type										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage				
1	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	7.0	6.3
2	Lumax	3.948	EC	2.46	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	10.0	10.0
3	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	8.0	6.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	8.0	6.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	10.0	9.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
6	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	10.0	10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
7	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	9.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
8	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	10.0	9.7	9.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
9	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	10.0	10.0	9.3
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.38	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
10	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
11	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
12	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	10.0	10.0	10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO1				
	atrazine	4	L	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
13	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	10.0	10.0	10.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO2				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2				
14	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	9.7	9.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO2				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2				
15	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	10.0	9.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a	PO2				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2				
16	Untreated				1.7	10.0	9.0	7.3	9.3	9.3
	LSD (P=.05)				0.93	0.00	0.78	2.08	1.20	0.94
	Standard Deviation				0.56	0.00	0.47	1.25	0.72	0.57
	CV				35.78	0.0	4.94	13.92	7.66	5.99

# Weed Control in Poast Tolerant Sweet Corn - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				SW CORN	SW CORN
Rating Date				8/10/06	8/10/06
Rating Data Type				HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit				#/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit Stage
1	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
2	Lumax	3.948	EC	2.46	lb ai/a PRE
3	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
6	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
7	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
8	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
9	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.38	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
10	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
11	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
12	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a PO1
	atrazine	4	L	0.5	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
13	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	lb ai/a PO1
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.094	lb ai/a PO2
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO2
14	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	atrazine	4	L	0.25	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO1
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO2
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO2
15	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	0.955	lb ai/a PRE
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	lb ai/a PO1
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.188	lb ai/a PO2
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v PO2
16	Untreated			50	13.55
	LSD (P=.05)			16.8	4.503
	Standard Deviation			10.1	2.701
	CV			16.26	15.69

# Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC

Project Code: WC 108-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: See notes Variety: See notes

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 6/8/06

Spacing: See notes Row Spacing: 14 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 30 ft wide x 40 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam OM: 2.0% pH: 5.6  
Sand: 58% Silt: 26% Clay: 16% CEC: 7.8

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	6/12/06	2:00 pm	77/77	°F	Dry	6 NE	39	40% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/30/06	10:00 am	70/65	°F	Dry	Calm	53	Clear	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/30	CUKE = cucumber	10-12 in		
6/30	Pumpkin	4-6 in		
6/30	Squash	3-6"		
6/30	GRFT = green foxtail	2-6"		moderate
6/30	LACG = large crabgrass	2-6"		moderate
6/30	WIRA = wild radish	3-6"		moderate
	CORW = common ragweed			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 16 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> tractor mounted sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Crops and Varieties: Cucumber - Journey, Pumpkin - Howden, Squash - Burgess Buttercup
  4. Planted pumpkin in left row with 12 IN spacing, squash in right row with 12 IN spacing, cucumber in center 3 rows with 3 in spacing.
  5. Spray center 16 ft of plot with tractor; area between plots cultivated until covered with vines.
  6. Harvested all fruit in 40 ft plot.
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 108-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code				CUKE	PUMPKIN	SQUASH	GRFT	LAGG	CORW
Rating Date				6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06
Rating Data Type				RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit									
Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Growth Type	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.0	1.7
2	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.0	1.7
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.3	2.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	Ib ai/a	PRE			
4	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PRE	3.7	3.7	5.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	Ib ai/a	PRE			
5	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PRE	2.7	2.3	2.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	Ib ai/a	PO1			
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	0.08	Ib ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
6	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	Ib ai/a	PRE	3.7	3.3	5.3
7	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PRE	2.3	1.3	1.7
	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	Ib ai/a	PO1			
8	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PRE	4.7	2.3	3.3
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	Ib ai/a	PRE			
9	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.0	2.0
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	Ib ai/a	PO1			
	ethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	Ib ai/a	PO1			
10	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.7	3.3	5.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	Ib ai/a	PRE			
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	Ib ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	Ib ai/a	PO1			
11	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.0	2.0
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	Ib ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	Ib ai/a	PO1			
12	Untreated					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)					2.04	1.44	2.38	2.06
	Standard Deviation					1.20	0.85	1.40	1.21
	CV					53.46	45.07	49.02	16.81
									22.45
									16.72

# Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					RRPW	WIRA	CUKE	PUMPKIN	SQUASH	BYGR
Rating Date					6/30/06	6/30/06	7/7/06	7/7/06	7/7/06	7/7/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	6.3	1.7	1.0
2	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	7.7	2.0	1.0
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	6.3	2.0	1.3
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE				2.3
4	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	6.7	2.3	3.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE				8.7
5	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	6.7	3.3	4.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				3.3
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	0.08	lb ai/a	PO1				9.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
6	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	8.0	3.7	2.3
7	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	7.7	3.0	2.7
	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1				2.3
8	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	7.3	6.0	2.7
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	lb ai/a	PRE				4.0
9	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	5.3	5.7	4.0
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	lb ai/a	PO1				4.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				9.0
10	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	8.3	3.3	3.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE				9.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
11	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	6.7	3.3	2.7
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				3.7
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				9.0
12	Untreated				1.0		1.0	1.0	2.3	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				0.98		2.46	1.71	1.55	2.08
	Standard Deviation				0.58		1.45	1.01	0.92	1.23
	CV				6.36		22.37	32.47	37.04	40.62
										30.96

# Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					GRFT 7/7/06	LACG 7/7/06	COLQ 7/7/06	EBNS 7/7/06	RRPW 7/7/06	WIRA 7/7/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Data Type										
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	8.7	9.3	9.7
2	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	9.0	10.0	10.0
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	9.3	10.0	9.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE				
4	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	9.3	10.0	10.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE				
5	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	10.0	7.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	0.08	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
6	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	7.3	9.0	7.7
7	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	9.0	9.3	8.3
	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1				
8	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	8.7	10.0	10.0
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	lb ai/a	PRE				
9	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	10.0	10.0	10.0
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
10	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	9.0	9.7	7.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE				
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
11	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	9.3	10.0
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
12	Untreated				1.0		1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				3.10		3.02	2.24	4.42	1.83
	Standard Deviation				1.83		1.78	1.32	2.61	1.08
	CV				23.46		21.09	14.46	30.74	31.82

# Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				CUKE 7/27/06	CUKE 7/27/06	CUKE 7/28/06	CUKE 7/28/06	CUKE 7/28/06	CUKE 7/28/06
Rating Date				PLANT KG/PLOT	FRUIT KG/PLOT	GRADE 1 KG/PLOT	GRADE 2 KG/PLOT	GRADE 3 KG/PLOT	GRADE OS KG/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage			
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	33.01	44.72	1.22
2	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	PRE	30.90	40.04	1.05
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	32.39	38.55	1.14
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE			
4	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	33.32	44.37	1.09
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE			
5	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	26.01	30.80	1.40
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	0.08	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
6	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	22.13	25.24	1.14
7	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	30.75	36.29	1.34
	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1			
8	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	13.40	18.68	0.94
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	lb ai/a	PRE			
9	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	11.47	12.77	1.02
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.09	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
10	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	37.52	51.66	1.14
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PRE			
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
11	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	24.37	36.63	0.80
	clopyralid	3	EC	0.125	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
12	Untreated						31.28	38.39	0.59
	LSD (P=.05)						16.506	28.186	0.333
	Standard Deviation						9.747	16.644	0.196
	CV						35.82	47.77	18.3
									30.67
									41.08
									73.03

## Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin, and Squash - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

# Weed Control in Eggplant and Tomatillo - HTRC

Project Code: WC 101-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Eggplant, Tomatillo Variety: Ichiban, Tomatillo

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 5/25/06

Spacing: 24" Row Spacing: 36 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Sandy Loam OM: 2.5% pH: 7.0  
Sand: 60% Silt: 21% Clay: 19 CEC: 7.1

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	5/25/06	2:00 pm	79/64	°F	Dry	5 SW	57	95% Cloudy	N
PRT	5/25/06	3:00 pm	79/66	°F	Dry	4 SW	57	95% Cloudy	N
POT	5/26/06	2:00 pm	71/64	°F	Wet	5 S	55	100% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/19/06	10:30 am	79/73	°F	Damp	7 SW	66	10% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/19	EGGPT = Eggplant	6-10"		
6/19	TOMATIL = Tomatillo	6-8"		
6/19	GIFT = giant foxtail	3-4"		few
6/19	GRFT = green foxtail	3-4"		few
6/19	LACG = large crabgrass	3-4		few
6/19	COLQ = common lambsquarters	2-6"		moderate
6/19	EBNS = eastern black nightshade	1-3"		moderate
6/19	LATH = ladysthumb	2-4"		few
6/19	RRPW = redroot pigweed	3-6"		moderate
6/19	WIRA = wild radish	3-6"		moderate
6/30	GRFT = green foxtail			
	CORW = common ragweed			
	EBNS = eastern black nightshade			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			
	WIRA = wild radish			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. One row for each crop/plot
4. Eggplant was harvested 5 times, and tomatillo was harvested 4 times
5. Treatment 7 was not sprayed and tomatillo suffered severe yield reduction from weed competition

# Weed Control in Eggplant and Tomatillo - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 101-06-03  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code				EGGPT	TOMATIL	GIFT	GRFT	YEFT	YENS
Rating Date				6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06
Rating Data Type				RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	7.3
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	8.3
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	9.3
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	2.0	1.7	10.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT			
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	1.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT			
8	ethafluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	9.0
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25					
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	6.0	6.0	7.7
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	1.3	2.3	9.3
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	9.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
13	Untreated				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				2.21	2.32	1.43	1.43	1.44
	Standard Deviation				1.31	1.37	0.85	0.85	0.86
	CV				88.3	89.33	10.76	10.76	11.07
									16.17

# Weed Control in Eggplant and Tomatillo - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					CORW	WIRA	EGGPT	TOMATIL	GRFT	CORW
Rating Date					6/19/06	6/19/06	6/27/06	6/27/06	6/27/06	6/27/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	6.0	4.0	1.3	1.0
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	6.7	4.0	2.0	1.0
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	7.3	3.7	1.7	1.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	6.3	3.7	1.0	1.7
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	7.3	3.7	2.7	1.7
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	8.3	6.3	1.7
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT				10.0
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT				1.0
8	ethalflurinal	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	POT	7.3	5.0	2.7	1.0
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25						4.7
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	8.3	3.0	6.7
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	7.3	3.7	4.7	1.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				9.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	7.3	4.3	3.0	4.0
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	7.0	4.0	10.0	8.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				10.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
13	Untreated						1.0	1.0	2.3	1.0
LSD (P=.05)							1.76	1.61	2.07	2.19
Standard Deviation							1.04	0.96	1.23	1.30
CV							16.03	22.77	37.96	53.27
										22.8
										44.75

Pest Code					WIRA	EGGPT	EGGPT	EGGPT	EGGPLT	
Rating Date					6/27/06	8/9/06	8/9/06	8/21/06	8/21/06	
Rating Data Type					RATING	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	
Rating Unit					#/PLOT		KG/PLOT	#/PLOT	KG/PLOT	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	5.0	1.21	7.7
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.3	6.0	1.65	8.7
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	2.0	0.53	5.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	3.3	0.81	8.0
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	3.0	0.70	7.0
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	8.7	0.7	0.11	6.7
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT				0.91
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	3.3	0.57	8.3
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT				1.30
8	ethalflurinal	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	POT	2.0	5.3	1.20	9.0
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25						1.29
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	9.3	5.0	1.18	7.3
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	8.3	12.0	3.20	12.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1				2.55
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	2.0	2.0	0.48	1.3
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	0.0	0.00	0.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
13	Untreated						9.3	3.7	1.47	7.7
LSD (P=.05)							0.93	6.17	1.569	8.77
Standard Deviation							0.55	3.66	0.931	5.20
CV							12.83	92.68	92.51	76.0
										105.51

# Weed Control in Eggplant and Tomatillo - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					EGGPT	EGGPLT	EGGPT	EGGPT	EGGPT
Rating Date					8/28/06	8/28/06	9/5/06	9/5/06	9/18/06
Rating Data Type					HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit					#PLOT	KG/PLOT	#PLOT	KG/PLOT	#PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	18.3	3.15	12.7
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	15.0	3.71	20.7
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	11.3	2.10	12.7
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	8.0	1.43	1.64
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	12.0	1.78	2.01
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	1.27	16.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT			1.79
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.3	1.63	2.13
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT			21.3
8	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	POT	12.0	2.19	2.25
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25	lb ai/a	POT			14.3
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	24.7	3.93	2.39
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	25.3	4.43	30.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			20.0
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	1.36	7.3
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	0.0	0.00	0.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
13	Untreated						17.7	4.62	15.0
	LSD (P=.05)						19.77	3.268	15.61
	Standard Deviation						11.73	1.939	9.26
	CV						87.32	79.73	63.61
									3.78
									21.7

Pest Code					EGGPT	EGGPT	EGGPT	TOMATIL	TOMATIL
Rating Date					9/18/06	HARVEST	TOTAL	8/2/06	8/9/06
Rating Data Type					HARVEST	#PLOT	KG/PLOT	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit					HARVEST			KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	2.91	65	10.30
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	3.65	67	2.18
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	2.98	51	3.34
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	2.73	56	1.23
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	3.08	65	2.55
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	2.15	54	4.63
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT			1.07
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	3.34	48	1.56
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT			1.56
8	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	POT	2.39	57	2.89
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25	lb ai/a	POT			1.23
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	3.58	89	8.29
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	4.05	98	2.55
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			1.89
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	1.41	32	4.41
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	0.00	0	1.82
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
13	Untreated						4.33	66	16.88
	LSD (P=.05)						2.037	40.4	2.59
	Standard Deviation						1.209	24.0	2.11
	CV						42.94	41.61	1.852
									1.098
									56.59

## Weed Control in Eggplant and Tomatillo - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		TOMATIL	TOMATIL	TOMATIL					
Rating Date		8/22/06	9/5/06						
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	TOTAL					
Rating Unit		KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT					
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	1.52	0.83	5.74
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.31	2.11	7.15
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	3.69	2.73	11.31
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.55	1.24	6.90
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.98	3.21	9.63
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	3.97	5.83	19.16
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT			
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.07	0.59	4.73
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT			
8	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	POT	1.19	1.79	9.21
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25					
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	0.74	1.31	4.31
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	5.18	4.55	17.55
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	2.81	0.78	6.79
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	0.70	1.29	2.27
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
13	Untreated						3.11	2.36	10.16
	LSD (P=.05)						3.166	2.383	4.592
	Standard Deviation						1.879	1.414	2.725
	CV						84.81	64.24	30.82

# Weed Control in Cilantro, Dill, Fennel, and Parsley - HTRC

Project Code: WC 117-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Basil, Cilantro, Variety: Basil, Santo, Dukat,  
Dill, Fennel, Parsley Fennel, Green Wave

Planting Method: Seed Planting Date: 5/8/06

Spacing: 3 IN Row Spacing: 7 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 30 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam

OM: 2.2%

pH: 7.4

Sand: 56%

Silt: 24%

Clay: 19%

CEC: 13.1

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/8/06	2:30 pm	71/72	°F	Dry	5 S	23	Clear	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/12	GRFT = green foxtail SHPU = shepherdspurse			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. 1 row crop/plot
  4. Basil did not emerge. Parsley stand was very thin.
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Cilantro, Dill, Fennel, and Parsley - HTRE

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 117-06-01  
Location: HTRE

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		BASIL	CILANTRO	DILL	FENNEL	PARSLEY	GRFT
Rating Date		6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit		1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth		
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage	
1	napropamide	50	DF	2	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
2	linuron	50	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
3	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
5	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
6	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
7	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
8	trifluralin	4	EC	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
9	DPCA	75	WP	8	lb ai/a	PRE	0.0
10	Untreated						0.0
	LSD (P=.05)				0.00	3.71	4.07
	Standard Deviation				0.00	2.16	2.37
	CV				0.0	62.99	58.38
						62.27	0.0
							34.52

Pest Code		SHPU	CILANTRO	DILL	FENNEL	PARSLEY	CILANTRO
Rating Date		6/12/06	7/3/06	7/3/06	7/3/06	7/3/06	7/10/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit		1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	KG/PLOT
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth		
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage	
1	napropamide	50	DF	2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3
2	linuron	50	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0
3	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3
5	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7
6	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0
7	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
8	trifluralin	4	EC	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7
9	DPCA	75	WP	8	lb ai/a	PRE	7.3
10	Untreated						1.3
	LSD (P=.05)				2.53	3.54	3.38
	Standard Deviation				1.47	2.07	1.97
	CV				26.02	66.63	50.16
						50.53	24.19
							55.77

# Weed Control in Cilantro, Dill, Fennel, and Parsley - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		DILL	FENNEL	FENNEL	PARSLEY				
Rating Date	7/11/06	8/7/06	8/7/06	8/21/06					
Rating Data Type	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST					
Rating Unit	KG/PLOT	NUMBER	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT					
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit Stage				
1	napropamide	50	DF	2	lb ai/a PRE	0.01	5.0	0.89	0.25
2	linuron	50	DF	0.5	lb ai/a PRE	1.92	53.3	20.49	0.49
3	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a PRE	2.15	41.3	16.24	0.23
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.5	lb ai/a PRE	1.66	40.7	14.83	0.17
5	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	0.5	lb ai/a PRE	1.83	37.7	12.70	0.10
6	KIH-485	85	WG	0.112	lb ai/a PRE	0.14	32.3	9.98	0.17
7	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a PRE	1.12	53.3	13.08	0.32
8	trifluralin	4	EC	0.5	lb ai/a PRE	2.31	43.7	15.93	0.33
9	DPCA	75	WP	8	lb ai/a PRE	1.91	38.0	14.66	0.23
10	Untreated					0.63	32.0	9.39	0.23
LSD (P=.05)				1.469	16.87	8.710	0.404		
Standard Deviation				0.856	9.83	5.077	0.235		
CV				62.57	26.06	39.61	93.69		

## Weed Control in Lettuce - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 116-06-01

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: See notes Variety: See notes

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 5/8/06

Spacing: 6 IN Row Spacing: 16 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long; 1 row of each cultivar per plot

Soil Type: Houghton Muck OM: 79% pH: 6.6  
Sand: 4% Silt: 15% Clay: 2% CEC: N/A

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/9/06	9:30 am	80/58	°F	Dry	3 SE	61	100% cloudy	N
PO1	6/12/06	10:00 am	60/59	°F	Dry	5 SE	62	25% cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

		Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/12	HEAD = head lettuce	4"		
6/12	LEAF = leaf lettuce	4"		
6/12	ROMAINE = romaine lettuce	4"		
6/12	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-6"		moderate
6/12	COPU = common purslane	3-5"		moderate
6/12	LATH = ladysthumb	4-6"		moderate
6/12	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		moderate
6/20	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	COPU = common purslane			
	LATH = ladysthumb			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Romaine (Paris Island Cos), Leaf (Grand Rapids TBR), Head (Great Lakes 659)
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Lettuce - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 116-06-01  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type					HEAD 6/2/06	LEAF 6/2/06	ROMAINE 6/2/06	COLQ 6/2/06	COPU 6/2/06	LATH 6/2/06
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
1	pronamide	50	WP	4	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	9.7	8.0
2	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	3.3	9.3	7.7
3	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.7	9.7	9.0
4	flucarbazone	70	WDG	0.02	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	1.7	2.7
5	imazamox	1	AS	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0
6	imazethapyr	2	AS	0.047	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
7	flucarbazone	70	WDG	0.02	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
8	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
9	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
10	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
LSD (P=.05)					0.72	0.43	0.46	0.89	1.92	2.68
Standard Deviation					0.42	0.25	0.27	0.52	1.12	1.56
CV					32.09	19.3	17.3	14.21	33.52	43.8
Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type					RRPW 6/2/06	HEAD 6/20/06	LEAF 6/20/06	ROMAINE 6/20/06	COLQ 6/20/06	COPU 6/20/06
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
1	pronamide	50	WP	4	lb ai/a	PRE	8.3	1.3	1.0	7.7
2	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	2.0	1.3	7.7
3	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	1.7	1.7	6.0
4	flucarbazone	70	WDG	0.02	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
5	imazamox	1	AS	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	2.3	1.3	2.0
6	imazethapyr	2	AS	0.047	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	1.7	1.7	3.0
7	flucarbazone	70	WDG	0.02	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.7
8	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	2.0	2.3	2.3
9	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PO1	1.0	2.3	2.0	5.7
10	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.7	1.3
LSD (P=.05)					1.13	0.98	0.97	0.88	2.47	3.37
Standard Deviation					0.66	0.57	0.57	0.51	1.44	1.97
CV					18.63	32.5	37.02	29.58	35.97	43.68
Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type					LATH 6/20/06	RRPW 6/20/06	YENS 6/20/06	LEAF 6/26/06	ROMAINE 7/17/06	HEAD 7/26/06
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit		RATING	RATING	KG/PLOT	HEADS KG/PLOT
1	pronamide	50	WP	4	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	2.3	1.3	5.61
2	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7	5.0	2.7	3.63
3	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	7.3	6.3	4.3	6.41
4	flucarbazone	70	WDG	0.02	lb ai/a	PRE	5.0	2.7	1.7	5.09
5	imazamox	1	AS	0.016	lb ai/a	PO1	7.7	7.7	2.0	4.09
6	imazethapyr	2	AS	0.047	lb ai/a	PO1	7.7	7.7	2.7	3.63
7	flucarbazone	70	WDG	0.02	lb ai/a	PO1	6.3	7.3	2.3	4.35
8	imazosulfuron	75	WDG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1	7.7	6.7	5.0	3.88
9	ethofumesate	4	SC	1	lb ai/a	PO1	7.0	6.0	2.3	3.42
10	Untreated						1.7	1.0	1.3	3.65
LSD (P=.05)					2.53	3.15	2.13	1.310	3.317	7.47
Standard Deviation					1.47	1.83	1.24	0.764	1.934	4.35
CV					23.89	34.84	48.48	17.45	17.65	19.53

## Weed Control in Mint - St. Johns

Project Code: WC 121-06-01

Location: St. Johns, Irrer Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Mint Variety: Native Spearmint

Planting Method: Seeded

Planting Date: 3/30/02

Spacing: Solid

Row Spacing: Meadow Mint

Tillage Type:

Study Design: RCB

Replications: 3

Plot Size: 15 ft wide x 120 ft long

Soil Type: Gilford Loam

OM: 2.7%

pH: 6.0

Sand: 74% Silt: 15%

Clay: 11%

CEC: 9.5

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PR1	3/30/06								
PR2	3/31/06								

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
	Mint			
	HOWE = horseweed (maretail)			
	WHCA = white campion			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 15 ft boom FF8002, 22 gpa, 22 psi, 2.27 mph, tractor mounted sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Mint - St. Johns

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 121-06-01  
 Location: St. Johns, Irrer Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	MINT	HOWE	WHCA			
			6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06			
			RATING	RATING	RATING			
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit Stage			
1	terbacil	80	WP	1	lb ai/a PR1	1.0	9.3	8.3
2	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a PR1	3.3	3.7	9.7
3	flumioxazin	51	WDG	.255	lb ai/a PR1	2.7	2.3	9.3
4	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	4.7	10.0	9.0
	terbacil	80	WP	0.4	lb ai/a PR2			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a PR2			
5	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	3.0	10.0	7.3
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR1			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.128	lb ai/a PR1			
6	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	2.7	7.3	7.0
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR2			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.255	lb ai/a PR2			
7	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	2.3	10.0	6.7
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR1			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	.255	lb ai/a PR1			
8	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	7.0	10.0	7.0
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR2			
	mesotrione	4	SC	.15	lb ai/a PR2			
9	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	6.0	10.0	10.0
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR1			
	mesotrione	4	SC	.15	lb ai/a PR1			
10	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	8.7	10.0	7.7
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR2			
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.3	lb ai/a PR2			
11	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	9.0	9.7	5.3
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR1			
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.3	lb ai/a PR1			
12	clomazone	3	ME	0.56	lb ai/a PR1	1.3	7.3	9.7
13	clomazone	3	ME	0.56	lb ai/a PR1	1.7	9.3	10.0
	paraquat NIS	3 100	L SL	0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1			
14	carfentrazone oxyfluorfen	1.9 2	EW L	0.008 0.5	lb ai/a PR1	2.0	10.0	9.0
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR1			
15	carfentrazone oxyfluorfen	1.9 2	EW L	0.016 0.5	lb ai/a PR1	3.0	10.0	6.0
	terbacil	80	WDG	0.4	lb ai/a PR1			
16	flumioxazin paraquat NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.128 0.49 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v PR1	3.0	10.0	7.3
LSD (P=.05)				1.35	2.43	4.71		
Standard Deviation				0.81	1.46	2.82		
CV				21.19	16.79	34.93		

## Preemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 112-05-01

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Onion Variety: Infinity

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 4/26/05

Spacing: 2 IN Row Spacing: 16 IN

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

OM: 79%

pH: 6.8

Sand: 5%

Silt: 14%

Clay: 2%

CEC: N/A

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/1/06	2:00 pm	71/57	°F	Dry	7 W	36	85% cloudy	N
PO1	6/8/06	9:45 am	71/67	°F	Moist	5 NE	72	Clear	N
PO2	7/3/06	1:30 pm	77/72	°F	Adequate	5 SW	74	100% cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/8	Onion	4-6"		
6/8	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-8"		Few
6/8	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-8"		moderate
6/8	SHPU = shepherdspurse	6-8"		moderate
6/8	YENS = yellow nutsedge	4-8"		moderate
7/3	Onion	10-12"		
7/3	RRPW = redroot pigweed	10-14"		moderate
7/3	YENS = yellow nutsedge	10-14"		moderate
7/21	Onion			
	YENS			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Two rows were 3 inches apart and three row groupings were 16 inches apart on a raised bed.
4. Harvested 16.67 ft from each plot.
5. The field suffered serious water damage from heavy rains in July.
6. The field was handweeded in mid July

# Preemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 112-06-01  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		ONION	COCW	COLQ	COPU	LATH	RRPW
Rating Date		6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							
Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Growth Type	Unit Rate	Stage
1	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.0
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
2	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
3	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
4	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.0
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.2	Ib ai/a	PO2	
5	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
6	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	
7	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.0
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO2	
8	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.0
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO2	
9	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	Ib ai/a	PRE	1.7
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	Ib ai/a	PO2	
10	Untreated				1.3	1.0	1.0
						1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				0.63	1.94	0.00
	Standard Deviation				0.37	1.13	0.00
	CV				31.3	14.0	0.0
						5.16	20.24
							8.13

## Preemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					SHPU	ONION	ONION	YENS	ONION
Rating Date					6/2/06	7/11/06	7/21/06	7/21/06	9/19/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit									KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	3.3	2.7
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
2	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	1.0	1.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
3	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	2.3	2.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
4	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	1.3	1.0
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PO1			
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.2	lb ai/a	PO2			
5	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	5.3	5.0
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
6	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	5.3	2.3	2.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
7	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	7.3	2.0	1.0
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PO1			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO2			
8	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	2.0	2.3
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PO1			
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO2			
9	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	1.3	1.7
	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1			
	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PO2			
10	Untreated				1.0	5.3	5.7	7.0	7.57
	LSD (P=.05)				2.03	1.61	1.69	2.44	6.184
	Standard Deviation				1.18	0.94	0.98	1.42	3.605
	CV				16.21	35.58	40.41	22.14	20.02

## Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 112-06-02

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Onion Variety: Infinity

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 4/26/06

Spacing: 2 IN Row Spacing: See notes

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

Sand: 4% Silt: 15%

OM: 79%

Clay: 2%

pH: 6.6

CEC: N/A

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PO1	6/8/06	11:00 am	75/67	°F	Moist	7 NW	62	Clear	N
PO2	7/10/06	10:30 am	71/71	°F	Dry	4 W	66	25% Cloudy	N
PO3	7/17/06	11:20 am	65/71	°F	Adequate	6 W	52	Clear	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/8	Onion	3-4"	2 leaf	
6/8	YENS = yellow nutsedge	2-6"		many
6/8	RRPW = redroot pigweed	2-4"		moderate
7/10	Onion	10-14"	5-6 leaf	
7/10	LACG = large crabgrass	3-6"		few
	YENS = yellow nutsedge	6-14"		many
	PRSP = prostrate spurge	3-6"		
7/17	Onion	12-14"	6 leaf	
	YENS = yellow nutsedge	8-14"		Many
7/21	Onion			
	LACG = large crabgrass			
	PRSP = prostrate spurge			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Two rows were 2 inches apart and three row groupings were 16 inches apart on a raised bed.
4. Harvested 16.67 ft from each plot.
5. The field suffered serious water damage from heavy rains in July.
6. The field was handweeded in mid July

# Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 112-06-02  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code				ONION	RRPW	ONION	LAGG	PRSP	ONION			
Rating Date				7/10/06	7/10/06	7/21/06	7/21/06	7/21/06	9/19/06			
Rating Data Type				RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST			
Rating Unit									KG/PLOT			
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit							
					Stage							
1	oxyfluorfen sethoxydim	2 1.53	L EC	0.063 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	3.7	9.0	3.3	10.0	6.3	10.89
2	oxyfluorfen sethoxydim NIS	2 1.53 100	L EC SL	0.063 0.19 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	2.7	9.0	3.0	10.0	8.7	12.29
3	oxyfluorfen sethoxydim	4 1.53	SC EC	0.063 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	2.3	8.3	3.0	8.7	8.3	13.61
4	oxyfluorfen sethoxydim NIS	4 1.53 100	SC EC SL	0.063 0.19 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	2.7	9.0	2.7	10.0	7.7	10.04
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	3.3	8.3	3.0	5.7	9.3	8.17
6	flumioxazin sethoxydim	51 1.53	WDG EC	0.032 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	4.0	10.0	3.7	9.7	10.0	5.64
7	flumioxazin sethoxydim NIS	51 1.53 100	WDG EC SL	0.032 0.19 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2	3.3	10.0	3.3	10.0	10.0	8.81
8	oxyfluorfen flumioxazin sethoxydim	2 51 1.53	L WDG EC	0.063 0.016 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	2.3	9.3	2.7	10.0	10.0	10.56
9	oxyfluorfen flumioxazin sethoxydim	2 51 1.53	L WDG EC	0.063 0.032 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	2.7	10.0	2.7	6.0	10.0	6.26
10	oxyfluorfen flumioxazin sethoxydim	4 51 1.53	SC WDG EC	0.063 0.016 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	1.7	9.7	2.3	9.0	10.0	9.83
11	oxyfluorfen flumioxazin sethoxydim	4 51 1.53	SC WDG EC	0.063 0.032 0.19	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	4.0	10.0	2.3	10.0	10.0	8.29
12	flumioxazin sethoxydim	51 1	WDG EC	0.032 0.125	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	1.3	8.3	2.7	10.0	10.0	11.51
13	flumioxazin dimethenamid-P	51 6	WDG EC	0.032 0.98	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	3.7	10.0	5.3	4.0	10.0	3.92
14	flumioxazin pendimethalin	51 3.3	WDG EC	0.032 2	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	4.0	10.0	5.7	7.0	10.0	2.81
15	flumioxazin pendimethalin	51 3.8	WDG CS	0.032 2	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	3.0	8.0	2.7	7.7	10.0	11.84
16	oxyfluorfen V10137	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1 PO2	2.3	7.3	3.3	10.0	8.7	7.91
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2						
17	oxyfluorfen V10181	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1 PO2	3.7	7.0	3.7	10.0	9.0	10.06
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2						
18	oxyfluorfen V10180	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.075	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a	PO1 PO2	5.0	8.0	4.7	10.0	10.0	5.57
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2						
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	Ib ai/a	PO3						

## Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					ONION	RRPW	ONION	LACG	PRSP	ONION
Rating Date					7/10/06	7/10/06	7/21/06	7/21/06	7/21/06	9/19/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit										KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit					
19	oxyfluorfen V10139	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.075	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PO1 PO2	1.7	7.3	2.7	10.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO2				
20	oxyfluorfen V10137	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PO1 PO2	3.0	8.0	3.7	10.0
	oxyfluorfen V10181	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PO3 PO1	3.7	7.3	5.3	10.0
21	oxyfluorfen V10180	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.075	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PO2 PO1	2.0	5.3	2.7	10.0
	oxyfluorfen V10139	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.038	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PO2 PO1	2.0	6.0	2.0	10.0
23	oxyfluorfen sethoxydim	4 2	SC EC	0.063 0.125	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PO2 PO2	2.0	4.3	1.7	10.0
24	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1				
25	Untreated						2.3	2.0	1.7	7.7
										7.7
										14.14
	LSD (P=.05)						2.60	2.64	2.54	3.64
	Standard Deviation						1.57	1.60	1.54	2.21
	CV						54.41	19.85	48.25	24.49
										22.41
										49.5

# Onion Postemergence Weed Control with Chateau - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 112-06-03

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Onion Variety: Infinity

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 4/26/06

Spacing: 2 IN Row Spacing: See notes

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

OM: 79%

pH: 6.6

Sand: 4%

Silt: 15%

Clay: 2%

CEC: N/A

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PO1	6/8/06	12:15 pm	77/68	°F	Moist	5 NW	51	40% cloudy	N
PO2	7/11/06	9:30 am	79/72	°F	Moist	4 W	66	100% cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/8	Onion	3-4"	2 leaf	
6/8	YENS = yellow nutsedge	2-6"		many
6/8	RRPW = redroot pigweed	2-4"		moderate
7/11	Onion	10-14"	5-6 leaf	
	YENS = yellow nutsedge	12-16"		many
	RRPW = redroot pigweed	10-14"		moderate

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Two rows were 2 inches apart and three row groupings were 16 inches apart on a raised bed.
4. Harvested 16.67 ft from each plot.
5. The field suffered serious water damage from heavy rains in July.
6. The field was handweeded in mid July.

# Onion Postemergence Weed Control with Chateau - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 112-06-03  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					ONION 6/20/06	LAGG 6/20/06	COPU 6/20/06	LATH 6/20/06	RRPW 6/20/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Data Type									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	Untreated					1.3	1.7	3.0	1.3
2	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	4.3	6.7
3	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	7.0	8.0
4	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	7.0	9.0
5	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	7.7	9.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
6	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	7.7	9.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
7	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	7.0	10.0
8	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3	6.7	9.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
9	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	7.7	9.7
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
10	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	6.7	8.0
11	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	5.0	4.0	10.0
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
12	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	6.7	9.7
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
13	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0	5.7	9.7
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
14	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	5.0	8.0	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
15	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3	9.0	9.7
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
16	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	6.3	9.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
17	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.7	8.0	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
18	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3	6.0	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
19	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	5.0	8.7
20	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.7	6.3	9.7
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
21	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0	5.7	9.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
22	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3	8.3	9.3
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
23	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	5.3	6.3	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
24	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	9.0	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
25	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0	6.0	9.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
26	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.7	6.0	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
27	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0	6.3	9.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2			
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2			

LSD (P=.05)	1.13	5.20	1.93	3.26	2.44
Standard Deviation	0.69	3.18	1.18	2.00	1.50
CV	31.08	48.81	12.98	32.96	17.92

# Onion Postemergence Weed Control with Chateau - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					SHPU 6/20/06	ONION 7/10/06	ONION 9/20/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Data Type							KG/PLOT
Rating Unit							
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage	
1	Untreated					1.7	2.7
2	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.0
3	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
4	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3
5	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	16.83
6	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.7
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0
7	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
8	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.7
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	14.65
9	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
10	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.3
11	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	8.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.7
12	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
13	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.3
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
14	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	6.7
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.0
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.31
15	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.7
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	13.47
16	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
17	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	7.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.0
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.90
18	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	lb ai/a	PO1,2	5.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	12.49
19	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
20	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	7.0
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.0
21	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.7
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
22	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.3
23	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	8.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.65
24	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.3
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	14.57
25	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	2.0
26	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	9.7
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	3.3
	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.41
27	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PO1,2	4.3
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1,2	1.3
	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PO1,2	14.92
LSD (P=.05)						2.51	1.11
Standard Deviation						1.54	0.68
CV						39.85	29.78
						5.071	3.106
						39.85	25.76

# Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Grant

Project Code: WC 112-06-04

Location: Brink Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Onion Variety: Prince

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 4/26/06

Spacing: 2 IN Row Spacing: See notes

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 30ft long

Soil Type: Adrian Muck

Sand: 17% Silt: 19%

OM: 60%

Clay: 4%

pH: 6.1

CEC: N/A

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PO1	6/6/06	1:00 pm	81/67	°F	Dry	8 SW	30	50% Cloudy	N
PO2	7/13/06	10:00 am	80/73	°F	Dry	2 NE	54	Clear	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/6/06	Onion		2 leaf	
6/23/06	LATH = ladysthumb PRSP = prostrate spurge RRPW = redroot pigweed			
7/13/06	Onion		3-5 leaf	
7/13/06	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		moderate
7/13/06	LATH = ladysthumb	3-4"		moderate
7/13/06	PRSP = prostrate spurge	2-4"		moderate
7/25/06	LACG = large crabgrass COPU = common purslane LATH = ladysthumb PRSP = prostrate spurge RRPW = redroot pigweed TUPW = tumble pigweed			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 2 nozzle boom FF11002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Two double rows were 10 inches apart and double rows were 34 inches apart
  4. Harvested 30 ft from each plot.
- 
- 
- 
-

## Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Grant

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 112-06-04  
 Location: Grant - Brink Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					ONION	LATH	PRSP	RRPW	ONION	LAGG
Rating Date					6/23/06	6/23/06	6/23/06	6/23/06	7/25/06	7/25/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	2 2 100	L EC SL	0.031 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	1.0 2.0	6.3 7.3	5.0 7.3	7.0 8.0
2	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	2 2 100	L EC SL	0.063 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	2.0	7.3	7.3	2.7 10.0
3	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	4 2 100	SC EC SL	0.031 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	2.3	5.3	3.0	6.7 10.0
4	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	4 2 100	SC EC SL	0.063 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	2.0	7.7	6.0	8.7 10.0
5	oxyfluorfen V10137	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	1.3	8.3	7.0	8.7 10.0
6	oxyfluorfen V10181	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	1.7	7.0	7.7	8.3 10.0
7	oxyfluorfen V10180	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.0775	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	1.0	6.7	4.0	8.0 10.0
8	oxyfluorfen V10139	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.038	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	1.3	3.7	2.3	7.7 10.0
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	1.3	7.0	7.0	8.0 10.0
10	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.047	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	1.7	5.7	6.3	8.7 10.0
11	flumioxazin oxyfluorfen	51 4	WDG SC	0.032 0.063	Ib ai/a	PO1 PO2	1.0	8.7	7.7	9.3 10.0
12	flumioxazin pendimethalin	51 3.3	WDG EC	0.032 2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	3.7	9.3	9.7	9.7 10.0
13	flumioxazin pendimethalin	51 3.8	WDG CS	0.032 2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	1.3	6.3	8.3	9.0 10.0
14	flumioxazin oxyfluorfen	51 4	WDG SC	0.032 0.031	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2	1.7	8.3	8.3	8.3 10.0
15	flumioxazin pendimethalin oxyfluorfen	51 3.8 4	WDG CS SC	0.032 2 0.031	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	1.0	8.3	7.7	9.0 10.0
16	Untreated				1.0	5.3	6.0	3.7	2.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				1.49	3.20	3.98	2.61	1.24	0.00
	Standard Deviation				0.89	1.92	2.39	1.57	0.75	0.00
	CV				56.29	27.6	36.95	19.46	38.06	0.00

## Postemergence Weed Control in Onion - Grant

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					COPU 7/25/06	LATH 7/25/06	PASP 7/25/06	RRPW 7/25/06	TUPW 7/25/06	ONION 9/8/06		
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST		
Rating Data Type										KG/PLOT		
Rating Unit												
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage						
1	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	2 2 100	L EC SL	0.031 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	5.3 6.3	7.3 7.3	5.3 2.7	7.3 8.0	6.7 6.7	100.19
2	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	2 2 100	L EC SL	0.063 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	6.3	7.3	2.7	8.0	6.7	69.38
3	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	4 2 100	SC EC SL	0.031 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	7.7	7.7	2.7	6.7	7.3	88.33
4	oxyfluorfen clethodim NIS	4 2 100	SC EC SL	0.063 0.125 0.25	Ib ai/a Ib ai/a % v/v	PO1,2 PO1,2 PO1,2	7.0	6.3	2.7	7.3	8.0	80.70
5	oxyfluorfen V10137	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	7.7	7.7	2.7	5.7	7.3	79.98
6	oxyfluorfen V10181	4 1	SC EC	0.063 0.07	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	6.3	9.3	2.3	7.7	7.0	88.55
7	oxyfluorfen V10180	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.0775	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	7.3	7.0	2.7	7.0	5.0	85.79
8	oxyfluorfen V10139	4 1.6	SC EC	0.063 0.038	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	6.7	5.0	3.3	5.0	6.0	85.39
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	6.7	9.3	3.0	7.3	7.3	92.18
10	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.047	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	8.7	9.3	4.7	7.0	9.0	82.26
11	flumioxazin oxyfluorfen clethodim	51 4 2	WDG SC EC	0.032 0.063 0.125	Ib ai/a	PO1,2 PO2	8.0	9.7	3.3	7.3	7.0	81.69
12	flumioxazin pendimethalin	51 3.3	WDG EC	0.032 2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	7.0	8.7	5.3	7.3	8.0	72.26
13	flumioxazin pendimethalin	51 3.8	WDG CS	0.032 2	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	9.7	8.0	6.0	8.0	7.3	82.47
14	flumioxazin oxyfluorfen	51 4	WDG SC	0.032 0.031	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	9.3	9.3	4.3	9.3	8.3	85.22
15	flumioxazin pendimethalin oxyfluorfen	51 3.8 4	WDG CS SC	0.032 2 0.031	Ib ai/a	PO1,2	7.7	9.3	6.0	8.3	7.7	87.53
16	Untreated				4.0	2.7	1.7	1.0	1.0	75.13		
	LSD (P=.05)				4.46	2.99	3.26	2.42	2.11	14.385		
	Standard Deviation				2.67	1.79	1.96	1.45	1.27	8.586		
	CV				37.07	23.1	53.37	21.08	18.46	10.27		

# Weed Control in Green Onion and Leek - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 116-06-01

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Green onion, Leek      Variety: Southport White Globe, American Flag

Planting Method: Seeded

Planting Date: 4/27/06

Spacing: 1 IN

Row Spacing: 16 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional

Study Design: RCB

Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

OM: 79%

pH: 6.6

Sand: 4%

Silt: 15%

Clay: 2%

CEC: N/A

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/1/06	2:00 pm	71/57	°F	Dry	7 SE	36	85% cloudy	N
PO1	6/8/06	9:45 am	71/67	°F	Dry	5 NE	72	Clear	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

		Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/8	GRNON = green onion	3-6"	2-3 leaves	
6/9	LEEK = leek	3-6"	2-3 leaves	
	COLQ = common lambsquarters	2-6"		Moderate
	LATH = ladysthumb	3-6"		Moderate
	RRPW = redroot pigweed	3-6"		moderate
	SHPU = shepherdspurse			
6/12	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	COPU = common purslane			
	LATH = ladysthumb			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			
	SHPU = shepherdspurse			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. 1 row of each crop per plot
  4. Harvested 16.67 ft from each plot.
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Green Onion and Leek - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 112-06-07  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		LEEK	GRNON	COLQ	COPU	LATH	RRPW
Rating Date		6/8/06	6/8/06	6/8/06	6/8/06	6/8/06	6/8/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth		
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage	
1	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
2	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
4	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3
5	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
6	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
7	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
8	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
9	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
10	Untreated				1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				0.31	0.31	1.80
	Standard Deviation				0.18	0.18	1.05
	CV				16.11	16.11	15.81
					11.6	11.6	11.59
							10.68

# Weed Control in Green Onion and Leek - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					SHPU	LEEK	GRNON	COLQ	COPU	LATH
Rating Date					6/8/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06	6/12/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	1.0	4.0
2	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.0	1.0	5.3
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	1.0	1.0	4.7
4	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PRE	7.3	2.3	2.3	2.7
5	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	2.3	1.7	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
6	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	2.7	1.7	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
7	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	2.0	1.7	10.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
8	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	2.3	1.7	9.0
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
9	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.3	1.3	8.0
	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
10	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						1.28	0.64	0.80	2.96
	Standard Deviation						0.75	0.38	0.47	1.72
	CV						34.52	22.07	32.61	28.27
										16.62
										18.28

Pest Code					RRPW	SHPU	GRNON	LEEK		
Rating Date					6/12/06	6/12/06	7/14/06	9/20/06		
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	HARVEST	HARVEST		
Rating Unit							KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT		
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7	1.0	2.30	4.76
2	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	1.7	2.05	5.14
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.2	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	2.3	0.99	2.32
4	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	7.7	2.11	2.50
5	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	4.3	2.80	8.06
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
6	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	4.3	2.98	6.44
	oxyfluorfen	2	L	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
7	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	4.3	2.52	6.27
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
8	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	5.0	3.94	6.46
	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.063	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
9	pendimethalin	3.3	EC	2	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	1.7	3.57	4.52
	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a	PO1				
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1				
10	Untreated						1.0	1.0	0.68	1.86
	LSD (P=.05)						2.33	1.47	1.289	2.455
	Standard Deviation						1.36	0.86	0.752	1.431
	CV						18.93	25.69	31.41	29.61

# Preemergence Nutsedge Control with V-10142 - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 112-06-06

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Variety:

Planting Method: Planting Date:

Spacing: Row Spacing:

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck

OM: 79%

pH: 6.6

Sand: 4%

Silt: 15%

Clay: 2%

CEC: N/A

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/24/06	10:30 am	76/57	°F	Dry	6 W	28	15% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
	YENS = yellow nutsedge			
	LACG = large crabgrass			
	COPU = common purslane			
	PRSP = prostrate spurge			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
- 
- 
- 
-

# Preemergence Nutsedge Control with V-10142 - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 112-06-06  
Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					YENS	LAGG	COPU	RRPW
Rating Date					6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06	6/26/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage		
1	V-10142	75	WDG	0.7	lb ai/a	PRE	7.5	2.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		4.0
2	V-10142	3.3	F	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	5.8	1.8
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		1.3
3	V-10142	75	WDG	0.2	lb ai/a	PRE	5.0	1.5
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		3.3
4	V-10142	3.3	F	0.2	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	2.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		3.8
5	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	7.8	1.8
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		1.3
6	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	1.5
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		5.5
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	9.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE		9.3
8	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	9.8
9	Untreated						2.8	1.8
LSD (P=.05)					3.47	1.50	2.04	4.31
Standard Deviation					2.38	1.03	1.40	2.96
CV					50.97	29.65	32.87	45.67

## Weed Control in Parsnip - Grant

Project Code: WC 133-06-01

Location: Grant, Veurink Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Parsnip

Variety:

Planting Method:

Planting Date: 5/18/06

Spacing:

Row Spacing:

Tillage Type: Conventional

Study Design: RCB

Replications: 3

Plot Size: 4 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Kingsville Mucky Sand  
Sand: 31% Silt: 12%

OM: 37%  
Clay: 20%

pH: 7.5  
CEC: NA

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/23/06	11:00 am	62/50	°F	Adequate	2 SW	35	Clear	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/23	Parsnip			
	COPU = common purslane			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 2 nozzle boom FF11002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. 1 lb/A Lorox sprayed over all plots 6/23/06.
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Parsnip - Grant

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 133-06-01

Location: Grant - Veurink Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra

Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code

PARSNIP COPU RRPW PARSNIP

Rating Date

6/23/06 6/23/06 6/23/06 10/25/06

Rating Data Type

RATING RATING RATING HARVEST

Rating Unit

KG/5 FT

Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth			
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage		
1	linuron	50	DF	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	6.0
2	linuron	50	DF	2	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	7.7
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	6.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.26	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	7.0
5	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	7.0
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.9	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	7.0
7	prometryn	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	6.0
8	Untreated						1.0	2.3
	LSD (P=.05)						1.33	6.35
	Standard Deviation						0.76	7.05
	CV						41.45	6.89
							23.34	7.67
							31.22	7.10
								7.39
								7.62

## Weed Control in Pea - HTRC

Project Code: WC 131-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Pea Variety: Bolero

Planting Method: Seed Planting Date: 4/26/06

Spacing: 3 IN Row Spacing: 14 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 7 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Spinks Loamy Sand OM: 1.7% pH: 6.5  
Sand: 83% Silt: 10% Clay: 7% CEC: 2.9

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/27/06	10:30 pm	58/52	°F	Dry	3 E	25	Clear	N
PO1	6/14/06	4:30 pm	78/84	°F	Dry	5 NE	25	50% cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Application at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/14	PEA = pea	6-8"		
6/14	GRFT = green foxtail	3-4"		
6/14	COLQ = common lambsquarters	4-6"		
6/14	LATH = ladysthumb	4-6"		
6/14	PRLE = prickly lettuce	6-10"		
6/14	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-6"		
6/27	LACG = large crabgrass			
	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	EBNS = eastern black nightshade			
	PRLE = prickly lettuce			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. 3 rows of peas per plot
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Pea - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 131-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		PEA	PEA	GRFT	COLQ	LATH	PRLE
Rating Date		5/22/06	6/14/06	6/14/06	6/14/06	6/14/06	6/14/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit		
1	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	Ib ai/a	PPI	
	bentazon	4	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	Ib ai/a	PO1	
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.5	Ib ai/a	PRE	
	bentazon	4	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	Ib ai/a	PO1	
3	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	Ib ai/a	PRE	
	bentazon	4	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	Ib ai/a	PO1	
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.064	Ib ai/a	PRE	
5	imazethapyr	2	AS	0.47	Ib ai/a	PRE	
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.5	Ib ai/a	PRE	
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	Ib ai/a	PRE	
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	Ib ai/a	PRE	
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.032	Ib ai/a	PRE	
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	Ib ai/a	PRE	
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	Ib ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
10	Untreated			1.0	1.0	4.0	1.7
						2.3	9.0
	LSD (P=.05)			1.24	2.03	3.37	1.98
	Standard Deviation			0.72	1.18	1.96	1.16
	CV			27.79	49.3	27.67	16.6
						14.17	30.73

## Weed Control in Pea - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					RRPW	PEA	LACG	COLQ	EBNS	PRLE
Rating Date				6/14/06	6/27/06	6/27/06	6/27/06	6/27/06	6/27/06	6/27/06
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage				
1	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PPI	7.3	1.7	4.7	5.3
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1				
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1				
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	2.3	10.0	8.0
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1				
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1				
3	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	7.7	1.3	8.3	8.3
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1				
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1				
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.064	lb ai/a	PRE	9.0	1.7	5.3	7.7
5	imazethapyr	2	AS	0.47	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	2.3	8.0	10.0
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	5.3	8.3	8.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRE				
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	2.3	9.0	8.7
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.032	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	3.3	8.3	8.3
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	3.0	8.3	8.0
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1				
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1				
10	Untreated						5.0	1.7	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						2.25	1.63	3.03	2.12
	Standard Deviation						1.31	0.95	1.77	1.24
	CV						15.42	37.95	24.8	16.84
									34.97	38.07

## Weed Control in Pea - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				RRPW	PEA	PEA
Rating Date				6/27/06	7/7/06	7/7/06
Rating Data Type				RATING	WHOLE PLT	PEA
Rating Unit				KG/PLOT		KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PPI
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1
3	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE
	bentazon	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1
	Assure II	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.064	lb ai/a	PRE
5	imazethapyr	2	AS	0.47	lb ai/a	PRE
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.5	lb ai/a	PRE
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRE
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRE
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.032	lb ai/a	PRE
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE
	Targa	0.88	EC	0.055	lb ai/a	PO1
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1
10	Untreated			1.0	14.05	7.93
	LSD (P=.05)			0.87	5.815	2.938
	Standard Deviation			0.51	3.390	1.713
	CV			5.77	25.57	23.59

# Weed Control in Transplanted Pepper - HTRC

Project Code: WC 101-06-02

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Pepper Variety: Camelot (Bell), Inferno (Banana)

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 6-1-06

Spacing: 24 IN Row Spacing: 36 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 8 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam

OM: 1.8%

pH: 5.5

Sand: 55%

Silt: 30%

Clay: 15%

CEC: 6.7

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	6/1/06	10:30 am	71/70	°F	Moist	2 NE	55	100% Cloudy	N
PRT	6/1/06	11:10 am	72/70	°F	Moist	4 NE	53	50% Cloudy	N
POT	6/2/06	12:15 pm	76/70	°F	Dry	6 NE	50	100% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/19/06	10:30 am	79/73	°F	Moist	7 SW	66	10% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/19	Pepper (Bell)	6-8"		
6/19	Pepper (Banana)	6-8"		
6/19	GIFT = giant foxtail	4-6"		few
6/19	GRFT = green foxtail	4-6"		moderate
6/19	LACG = large crabgrass	3-5"		moderate
6/19	YEFT = yellow foxtail	4-6"		few
6/19	YENS = yellow nutsedge	4-8"		few
6/19	COLQ = common lambsquarters	6-8"		moderate
6/19	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-8"		moderate
6/19	WIRA = wild radish	2-4"		moderate

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One row Camelot (Bell) and one row Inferno(Banana)/plot.
  4. 3 harvests Banana, 4 harvests Bell
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Transplanted Pepper - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 101-06-02  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	BANANA	BELL	GIFT	GRFT	LACG	YEFT
Rating Date	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06
Rating Data Type	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit						

Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Conc	Rate Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	BANANA	BELL	GIFT	GRFT	LACG	YEFT
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	8.3	8.3	9.3	7.0	
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	9.3	9.3	9.7	9.3	
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT							
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT							
8	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	9.7	9.7	9.3	10.0	
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	1.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	10.0	
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0	1.0	10.0	7.0	8.3	10.0	
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1							
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1							
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0	1.0	8.3	8.0	7.0	10.0	
12	Untreated						1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
LSD (P=.05)					0.00		0.00	0.97	2.68	1.94	1.44		
Standard Deviation					0.00		0.00	0.58	1.58	1.15	0.85		
CV					0.0		0.0	6.49	18.35	13.15	9.52		

Pest Code	YENS	COLQ	RRPW	WIRA	BANANA	BELL							
Rating Date	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/30/06	6/30/06							
Rating Data Type	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING							
Rating Unit													
Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Conc	Rate Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage						
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	10.0	9.3	10.0	7.7	1.0	1.0	
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	10.0	9.0	8.3	8.7	1.3	1.7	
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	7.3	9.0	8.0	1.0	1.0	
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	10.0	8.0	9.0	6.7	1.7	2.3	
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	6.7	10.0	6.7	1.3	1.7	
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	1.7	1.3	
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT							
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.0	10.0	9.7	9.0	1.3	1.3	
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT							
8	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	9.3	10.0	7.7	9.7	1.0	1.0	
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	9.3	8.7	8.7	7.0	2.3	2.0	
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	8.3	8.3	9.3	7.0	2.0	2.0	
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1							
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1							
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	8.7	7.0	10.0	5.3	2.7	1.7	
12	Untreated				1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7		
LSD (P=.05)					1.79		3.39	2.02	2.46	1.06	1.28		
Standard Deviation					1.05		2.00	1.19	1.45	0.63	0.76		
CV					11.97		25.17	13.94	20.16	39.64	48.67		

## Weed Control in Transplanted Pepper - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		GRFT	CORW	EBNS	RRPW	WIRA	BANANA
Rating Date		6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	8/9/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit							KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	8.0
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	8.0
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.3
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	8.3
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.3
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT	
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT	
8	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	9.7
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	8.7
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	7.7
12	Untreated						5.0
LSD (P=.05)						4.21	1.52
Standard Deviation						1.11	0.90
CV						1.36	10.39
						10.0	30.46
						10.0	11.82
						10.0	14.79
						10.0	22.51
						10.0	36.42

Pest Code		BANANA	BANANA	BANANA	BELL	BELL
Rating Date		8/28/06	9/14/06		8/9/06	8/9/06
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	TOTAL	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit		KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	NUMBER	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT
8	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT
12	Untreated					2.86
LSD (P=.05)						3.701
Standard Deviation						2.186
CV						43.59
						3.10
						4.35
						10.54
						3.3
						0.54
						8.17
						31.77
						53.35
						53.55

## Weed Control in Transplanted Pepper - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		BELL	BELL	BELL	BELL	BELL
Rating Date		8/21/06	8/21/06	8/28/06	8/28/06	9/13/06
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit		NUMBER	KG/PLOT	NUMBER	KG/PLOT	NUMBER
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	PRT
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT
8	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT
12	Untreated				14.3	
LSD (P=.05)				11.75	2.406	20.44
Standard Deviation				6.94	1.421	12.07
CV				55.02	60.2	48.72
						3.260
						15.00
						8.86
						51.04

Pest Code		BELL	BELL	BELL	
Rating Date		9/13/06			
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	TOTAL	TOTAL	
Rating Unit		KG/PLOT	NUMBER	KG/PLOT	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Growth Unit	Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a PPI
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a PPI
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a POT
4	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a PPI
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a POT
6	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a PRT
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a PRT
7	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a POT
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a POT
8	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a POT
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a PRT
10	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a POT
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a PO1
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a PO1
11	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a PRT
12	Untreated			2.50	58.0
LSD (P=.05)		2.650	28.47	5.352	
Standard Deviation		1.565	16.81	3.161	
CV		51.03	25.34	26.95	

# Weed Control in Rhubarb - CHES

Project Code: WC 102-06-01

Location: Clarksville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Rhubarb Variety: Valentine

Planting Method: Root Divisions

Planting Date: 2004

Spacing: 4 FT Row Spacing: 10 FT

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB

Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.3 ft wide x 20 ft long

Soil Type: Spinks Loamy Sand

Sand: 51% Silt: 37%

OM: 1.6%

pH: 6.3

Clay: 11%

CEC: 6.3

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/11/06	9:30 am	55/47	°F	Adequate	5 SE	48	50% Cloudy	N
PO1	5/4/06	9:30 am	60/56	°F	Adequate	5 W	46	5% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
4/11	Rhubarb		dormant	
4/11	COCW = common chickweed	1-2"		many
4/11	MECR = mouse-ear cress	2-4"	flower	many
4/11	WHCA = white campion	1-2"		many
5/4	Rhubarb	4-6"		
5/4	COCW = common chickweed	2-3"		many
5/4	SHPU = shepherdspurse	8-12"	flower	many
5/4	DAND = dandelion	6-8"	flower	moderate
5/4	WHCA = white campion	4-6"		few
5/4	PRLE = prickly lettuce	1-4"		moderate

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Plots were weeded in mid May.
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Rhubarb - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 102-06-01  
Location: Clarksville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		RHUBARB	REFE	COCW	DAND	PRLE	RESO
Rating Date		5/4/06	5/4/06	5/4/06	5/4/06	5/4/06	5/4/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.3	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
5	propyzamide	50	WP	3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0
8	Handweeded						1.0
LSD (P=.05)				1.73	3.78	3.72	2.01
Standard Deviation				0.99	2.16	2.12	1.15
CV				43.78	36.19	35.6	17.1
							2.70
							7.47

Pest Code		SHPU	WHCA	RHUBARB	RHUBARB	RHUBARB	
Rating Date		5/4/06	5/4/06	5/9/06	5/18/06	5/23/06	
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit		
1	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.3	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
5	propyzamide	50	WP	3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0
8	Handweeded						1.0
LSD (P=.05)				0.78	3.10	2.08	1.80
Standard Deviation				0.44	1.77	1.19	1.03
CV				5.69	23.48	45.88	44.79
							2.20
							1.26

## Weed Control in Rhubarb - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				RHUBARB	WHCA	YEHW			
Rating Date				6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06			
Rating Data Type				RATING	RATING	RATING			
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth				
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage			
1	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	10.0	4.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	7.0	9.3
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.3	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	8.0	7.7
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	2.7	7.0	9.3
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
5	propyzamide	50	WP	3	lb ai/a	PRE	4.3	7.7	4.0
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	9.0	2.0
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	7.0	5.7
8	Handweeded						3.7	7.0	2.3
LSD (P=.05)				3.47	6.69	5.82			
Standard Deviation				1.98	3.82	3.32			
CV				61.73	48.77	59.99			

## Weed Control in Spinach - Muck Farm

Project Code: WC 109-06-02

Location: Muck Farm

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Spinach Variety: UniPack 151

Planting Method: Seeded Planting Date: 4/25/06

Spacing: 3 IN Row Spacing: 16 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCBD Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.5 ft wide x 16.67 ft long

Soil Type: Houghton Muck OM: 89% pH: 6.6  
Sand: 4% 15% 2% CEC: N/A

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/1/06	1:00 pm	71/57	°F	Dry	7 SE	36	85% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/2/06	spinach			
	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	LATH = ladysthumb			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4-nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Planted 3 rows of spinach per plot.
  4. 5 ft long by width of plot harvested.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Spinach - Muck Farm

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 109-06-02  
 Location: Muck Farm

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		SPINACH	COLQ	LATH	SPINACH
Rating Date		6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/6/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST
Rating Unit					KG/PLOT
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit
1	pyrazon	68	DF	3	lb ai/a
2	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	0.95	lb ai/a
3	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.6	lb ai/a
4	ethofumesate	4	SC	2	lb ai/a
5	cycloate	6	EC	3	lb ai/a
6	triallate	4	EC	3	lb ai/a
7	triallate	4	EC	6	lb ai/a
8	Untreated				
LSD (P=.05)			1.34	5.66	4.01
Standard Deviation			0.77	3.23	2.29
CV			35.43	66.89	54.9
					16.44

## Weed Control in Strawberry - HTRE

Project Code: WC 126-06-01

Location: HTRE

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Strawberry Variety: Darsellect

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 6/3/04

Spacing: 2 FT Row Spacing: 6 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 30 ft long

Soil Type: Spinks Loamy Sand OM: 2.1% pH: 6.5  
Sand: 86% Silt: 6% Clay: 8%

CEC: 6.7

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/10/06	2:30 pm	61/53	°F	Adequate	4 SW	32	20% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/22	STBE = strawberry			
6/14	STBE = strawberry			
	QUGR = quackgrass			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. Entire trial received 2 pt Poast + 0.125 lb/a Stinger + 0.25% v/v NIS (5/3/06).
  4. All plots were harvested 5 times.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Strawberry - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 126-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		STBE	STBE	QUGR	STBE	STBE					
Rating Date		5/22/06	6/14/06	6/14/06	6/8/06	6/13/06					
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST	HARVEST					
Rating Unit		1-10	1-10	1-10	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT					
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth						
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage					
1	napropamide	50	DF	4	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	2.3	4.0	3.40	5.90
2	sulfentrazone	4	F	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	2.3	3.7	4.42	8.05
3	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	3.0	4.0	2.91	3.20
4	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.51	lb ai/a	PRE	5.0	5.3	6.0	1.78	2.74
5	terbacil	80	WP	0.4	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7	3.3	7.3	4.31	4.37
6	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	3.3	3.0	4.3	4.33	5.69
7	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	3.0	2.0	3.3	5.20	6.09
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.27	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	2.7	4.3	4.05	5.24
9	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.63	5.72
10	Untreated						1.3	1.7	1.7	3.62	5.71
LSD (P=.05)					2.92	2.52	3.26	2.063	3.692		
Standard Deviation					1.70	1.47	1.90	1.202	2.152		
CV					62.22	52.48	46.3	31.93	40.83		

Pest Code		STBE	STBE	STBE	STBE					
Rating Date		6/16/06	6/19/06	6/22/06						
Rating Data Type		HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST	TOTAL					
Rating Unit		KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT					
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth					
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage				
1	napropamide	50	DF	4	lb ai/a	PRE	3.20	0.55	1.22	14.27
2	sulfentrazone	4	F	.375	lb ai/a	PRE	1.03	1.27	1.01	15.78
3	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.256	lb ai/a	PRE	2.04	0.89	0.69	9.74
4	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.51	lb ai/a	PRE	1.55	0.38	1.35	7.79
5	terbacil	80	WP	0.4	lb ai/a	PRE	2.34	1.30	0.80	13.13
6	oxyfluorfen	4	SC	0.5	lb ai/a	PRE	1.49	1.26	1.50	14.26
7	pendimethalin	3.8	CS	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.81	0.60	1.33	15.03
8	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.27	lb ai/a	PRE	1.88	1.91	1.07	14.16
9	dimethenamid-P	6	EC	0.98	lb ai/a	PRE	2.20	2.04	0.73	14.31
10	Untreated						1.99	0.90	1.56	13.78
LSD (P=.05)				1.958	1.344	1.165	6.945			
Standard Deviation				1.142	0.783	0.679	4.048			
CV				58.48	70.56	60.33	30.61			

# Weed Control in Transplanted Tomato - HTRC

Project Code: WC 101-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Tomato Variety: Roma, Sunny

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 6-1-06

Spacing: 24 IN Row Spacing: 36 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 8 ft wide x 35 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam OM: 1.8% pH: 5.5  
Sand: 55% Silt: 30% Clay: 15% CEC: 6.7

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	6/1/06	10:30 am	71/70	°F	Moist	2 NE	55	100% Cloudy	N
PRT	6/1/06	11:10 am	72/70	°F	Moist	4 NE	53	50% Cloudy	N
POT	6/2/06	12:15 pm	76/70	°F	Dry	6 NE	50	100% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/19/06	10:30 am	79/73	°F	Moist	7 SW	66	10% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/19	Tomato (Roma)	6-8"		
6/19	Tomato (Round)	6-8"		
6/19	GRFT = green foxtail	4-6"		moderate
6/19	COLQ = common lambsquarters	3-4"		moderate
6/19	RRPW = redroot pigweed	4-5"		moderate
6/19	WIRA = wild radish	2-3"		moderate

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One row Roma and one row Sunny (Round)/plot.
  4. Three harvests for each Roma and Round.
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Transplanted Tomato - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 101-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		ROMA	ROUND	GIFT	GRFT	LAGC	COLQ
Rating Date		6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06	6/19/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							
Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate Conc	Unit Type	Growth Rate	
							Stage
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	2.0
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	1.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PPI	
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0
6	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0
8	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	3.3
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT	
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRT	1.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	
11	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	2.0
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
13	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0
	rimsulfruron	25	DF	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.3
	sufentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1	
15	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	1.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
16	Untreated						1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				0.83	0.83	1.46
	Standard Deviation				0.50	0.50	0.87
	CV				37.19	37.19	10.5
							9.42
							11.95
							9.49

## Weed Control in Transplanted Tomato - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					RRPW	WIRA	ROMA	ROUND	GRFT	CORW	COLQ
Rating Date				6/19/06	6/19/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit											
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage					
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	10.0	7.0	1.3	1.3	7.7
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	9.3	8.7	1.3	1.0	7.3
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PPI					
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	10.0	7.7	1.3	1.3	7.7
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	6.7	1.3	1.0	9.3
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	9.0	8.7	1.7	1.3	7.3
6	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.0	6.7	1.0	1.0	9.7
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	8.7	1.7	1.7	8.7
8	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	6.7	1.3	1.3	7.0
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.7	7.7	3.0	3.0	10.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT					
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0	10.0	1.3	1.3	9.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT					
11	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	9.3	9.3	2.0	2.0	9.0
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.7	7.0	1.3	1.0	10.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1					
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					
13	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.7	7.0	1.3	1.0	10.0
	rimsulfruron	25	DF	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1					
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	7.7	3.3	3.3	10.0
	sufentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1					
15	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0	7.0	1.3	1.3	10.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1					
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1					
16	Untreated				1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	5.7
	LSD (P=.05)				0.82		1.16	1.00	0.68	1.75	3.48
	Standard Deviation				0.49		0.70	0.60	0.41	1.05	2.09
	CV				5.38		9.52	37.46	27.1	12.17	23.14
											19.97

## Weed Control in Transplanted Tomato - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		EBNS	RRPW	WIRA	ROMA	ROMA	ROMA
Rating Date		6/30/06	6/30/06	6/30/06	8/23/06	8/30/06	9/15/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	HARVEST	HARVEST	HARVEST
Rating Unit					KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage	
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	5.3
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	8.7
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PPI	
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	9.3
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.7
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	8.0
6	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.3
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0
8	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	9.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT	
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRT	10.0
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT	
11	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	9.0
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
13	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0
	rimsulfruron	25	DF	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0
	sufentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1	
15	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	10.0
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1	
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1	
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1	
16	Untreated				4.0	4.7	6.3
	LSD (P=.05)				2.67	2.86	2.55
	Standard Deviation				1.60	1.71	1.53
	CV				17.98	18.58	17.18
						42.16	23.33
							27.24
						14.65	18.90
						5.455	12.519
						3.272	7.509
						23.33	27.24

# Weed Control in Transplanted Tomato - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				ROMA	ROUND 8/23/06	ROUND 8/29/06	ROUND 9/5/06	ROUND 9/15/06	ROUND
Rating Date				TOTAL	HARVEST KG/PLOT	HARVEST KG/PLOT	HARVEST KG/PLOT	HARVEST KG/PLOT	TOTAL KG/PLOT
Rating Data Type									
Rating Unit									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage			
1	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	43.50	2.85	14.85
2	trifluralin	4	EC	1	lb ai/a	PPI	54.33	3.99	16.93
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.5	lb ai/a	PPI			
3	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	36.61	4.68	11.44
4	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	37.86	5.73	13.02
5	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PPI	37.99	6.30	14.67
6	s-metolachlor	7.64	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	50.71	6.36	15.43
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.064	lb ai/a	PRT	41.50	3.05	13.91
8	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PRT	34.51	5.38	17.42
9	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	50.79	4.40	12.65
	clomazone	3	ME	0.5	lb ai/a	POT			
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PRT	51.49	4.59	17.39
	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	PRT			
11	Strategy	2.1	SE	1.05	lb ai/a	POT	50.57	6.73	16.01
12	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	47.82	5.79	18.31
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.023	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
13	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	48.61	7.23	13.86
	rimsulfruron	25	DF	0.031	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
14	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	42.90	0.62	10.51
	sufentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1			
15	s-metolachlor	7.62	EC	1.3	lb ai/a	POT	58.61	6.33	16.84
	metribuzin	75	DF	0.25	lb ai/a	PO1			
	sethoxydim	1.53	EC	0.19	lb ai/a	PO1			
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PO1			
16	Untreated				37.82	4.31	11.57	11.34	5.16
	LSD (P=.05)				15.329	2.740	7.488	10.545	6.569
	Standard Deviation				9.194	1.643	4.491	6.325	3.940
	CV				20.27	33.56	30.6	32.18	46.89
									24.30

## Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES

Project Code: WC 128-06-01

Location: Clarksville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Apple Variety: Liberty, Empire, Ida Red

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date:

Spacing: 4 FT Row Spacing: 15 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 11 ft wide x 32 ft long

Soil Type: Lapeer Sandy Loam

Sand: 63% Silt: 25%

OM: 1.2%

pH: 7.0

Clay: 12%

CEC: 7.0

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
LPRE (1)	5/4/06	11:00 am	63/60	°F	Dry	6 W	38	10% Cloudy	N
PO1 (2)	6/15/06	9:00 am	73/63	°F	Dry	2 E	46	Clear	N
PO2 (3)	7/13/06	3:30 pm	97/81	°F	Dry	4 S	39	5% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/4	Apple		Bloom	
5/4	ANBG = annual bluegrass	4-6 in		moderate
5/4	BHPL = buckhorn plantain	5-8 in		few
5/4	COCW = common chickweed	4-6 in		moderate
5/4	DAND = dandelion	3-5 in		moderate
5/4	RSFI = redstem filaree	3-6 in		moderate
6/15	Apple		0.5" fruit	
6/15	ANBG = annual bluegrass	3-10 in		moderate
6/15	BHPL = buckhorn plantain	6-12 in		many
6/15	DAND = dandelion	4-6 in		moderate
6/15	HOWE = horseweed (maretail)	6-10 in		few
	REFE = red fescue			
7/13	Apple		2" fruit	
7/13	BHPL = buckhorn plantain	12-30"		moderate
7/13	LACG = large crabgrass	4-8"		moderate
7/13	HOWE = horseweed (maretail)	8-20"		moderate
	RECL = red clover			
	REFE = red fescue			
	RSFI = redstem filaree			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One boom pass on each side of row
  4. 5/4/06: The circumference of 2 branches were measured on all trees (8-9 per plot). The number of blossom clusters was counted on the same branches.
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 128-06-01  
Location: Clarksville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Description	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	APPLE LEAF COLOR		ANBG 5/18/06 RATING	BHPL 5/18/06 RATING	DAND 5/18/06 RATING	RSFI 5/18/06 RATING			
				Form	Form	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage				
Trt	Treatment No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Ib ai/a	LPRE	1.0	7.0	3.3	4.7	10.0
1	diuron	80	DF	3.8								
	glyphosate	5	L	1		Ib ai/a	123					
	AMS	100	SG	3.4		Ib ai/a	123					
2	Firestorm	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	123	1.0	8.3	6.3	8.0	10.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		Ib ai/a	123					
3	Gramoxone Inteon	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	123	1.7	7.0	5.0	8.7	8.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	123					
4	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	123	1.3	8.0	4.0	9.0	9.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	123					
5	simazine	90	WDG	3		Ib ai/a	123	LPRE	1.3	9.0	10.0	10.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.015		Ib ai/a	123					
	glyphosate	5	L	1		Ib ai/a	123					
	AMS	100	SG	3.4		Ib ai/a	123					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	123					
6	simazine	90	WDG	3		Ib ai/a	123	LPRE	1.0	9.3	7.3	10.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03		Ib ai/a	123					
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	123					
	COC	100	SL	1		% v/v	123					
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383		Ib ai/a	123	LPRE	1.7	8.3	10.0	10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	123					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	123					
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.765		Ib ai/a	123	LPRE	1.3	9.7	9.0	10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	123					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		Ib ai/a	123					
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047		Ib ai/a	13		1.3	9.3	5.7	10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	13					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	13					
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094		Ib ai/a	13		1.0	9.7	3.0	10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	13					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	13					
11	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188		Ib ai/a	13		1.0	9.7	3.0	9.3
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1		Ib ai/a	13					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25		% v/v	13					
12	Untreated							1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)							0.69	1.91	3.89	2.59	2.14
	Standard Deviation							0.41	1.13	2.30	1.53	1.26
	CV							33.15	14.04	40.77	17.9	16.07

## Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code	Description	Rating Date	Rating Data Type		APPLE LEAF COLOR	ANBG 6/15/06 RATING	REFE 6/15/06 RATING	BHPL 6/15/06 RATING	HOWE 6/15/06 RATING		
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage					
1	diuron glyphosate AMS	80 5 100	DF L SG	3.8 1 3.4	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE 123 123	1.0	9.7	10.0	7.7	10.0
2	Firestorm NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a	123 123	1.0	7.7	7.7	4.7	6.0
3	Gramoxone Inteon NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	123 123	1.0	6.3	7.3	2.7	8.0
4	Gramoxone Max NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	123 123	1.0	7.0	7.3	2.7	10.0
5	simazine carfentrazone glyphosate AMS NIS	90 1.9 5 100 100	WDG EW L SG SL	3 0.015 1 3.4 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123 123 123	1.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	10.0
6	simazine carfentrazone Gramoxone Max COC	90 1.9 3 100	WDG EW L SL	3 0.03 1 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123 123	1.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
7	flumioxazin Gramoxone Max NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.383 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123	1.3	9.7	9.7	10.0	3.7
8	flumioxazin Gramoxone Max NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.765 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE 123 123	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	4.0
9	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.047 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	1.0	9.7	8.3	4.7	9.3
10	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.094 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	1.0	10.0	9.3	5.0	10.0
11	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.188 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	1.0	9.7	9.3	1.7	10.0
12	Untreated						1.0	7.7	1.0	4.0	8.7
	LSD (P=.05)						0.38	2.13	1.03	3.58	3.42
	Standard Deviation						0.22	1.26	0.61	2.12	2.02
	CV						21.29	14.11	7.31	34.78	24.34

## Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code	Description	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	RSFI	APPLE VIGOR	APPLE FRUIT SET	LACG	REFE	BHPL			
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage						
1	diuron glyphosate AMS	80 5 100	DF L SG	3.8 1 3.4	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE 123 123	10.0 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	8.7 9.7 8.7			
2	Firestorm NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a	123 123	7.7 5.0	1.0 1.7	6.7 6.7			
3	Gramoxone Inteon NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	123 123	1.3 1.3	1.3 1.3	8.0 6.3			
4	Gramoxone Max NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	123 123	6.3 1.3	1.3 1.3	5.7 5.7			
5	simazine carfentrazone glyphosate AMS NIS	90 1.9 5 100 100	WDG EW L SG SL	3 0.015 1 3.4 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123 123 123	4.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	9.0 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7			
6	simazine carfentrazone Gramoxone Max COC	90 1.9 3 100	WDG EW L SL	3 0.03 1 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123 123	10.0 1.3 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	9.3 10.0 10.0			
7	flumioxazin Gramoxone Max NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.383 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123	10.0 1.3 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	10.0 9.7 10.0			
8	flumioxazin Gramoxone Max NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.765 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE 123 123	7.7 1.0 1.3	1.3 1.3 1.3	7.0 10.0 10.0			
9	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.047 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	2.7 1.3 4.3	1.3 1.3 1.3	9.7 3.3 3.3			
10	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.094 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	3.3 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	10.0 3.7 3.7			
11	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.188 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	2.3 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	10.0 2.0 2.0			
12	Untreated						6.0	1.3	1.0	7.3	1.0	4.7
	LSD (P=.05)						4.66	0.78	2.89	3.59	1.28	3.62
	Standard Deviation						2.75	0.46	1.70	2.12	0.76	2.14
	CV						43.84	37.46	122.75	34.18	8.68	31.42

## Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code	Description	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	HOWE	RECL	RRPW	RSFI	APPLE	LAGC
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	diuron glyphosate AMS	80 5 100	DF L SG	3.8 1 3.4	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE 123 123	10.0 10.0 10.0	8.7 10.0 10.0	1.0 1.0 7.7
2	Firestorm NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a	123 123	5.0 7.0	10.0 10.0	1.0 7.3
3	Gramoxone Inteon NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	123 123	4.3 6.0	9.7 9.3	1.3 5.7
4	Gramoxone Max NIS	3 100	L SL	1 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	123 123	7.0 8.0	9.7 10.0	1.3 5.3
5	simazine carfentrazone glyphosate AMS NIS	90 1.9 5 100 100	WDG EW L SG SL	3 0.015 1 3.4 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123 123 123	10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0	5.3 6.0 6.0 1.7 9.3	1.7 7.7 7.7 5.3 9.3
6	simazine carfentrazone Gramoxone Max COC	90 1.9 3 100	WDG EW L SL	3 0.03 1 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123 123 123	9.7 10.0 10.0 10.0	9.3 10.0 10.0 1.7	1.7 9.3 9.3 9.3
7	flumioxazin Gramoxone Max NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.383 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	LPRE 123 123	3.3 10.0 10.0	10.0 10.0 10.0	2.0 9.0
8	flumioxazin Gramoxone Max NIS	51 3 100	WDG L SL	0.765 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE 123 123	3.0 10.0 10.0	10.0 10.0 10.0	1.3 8.7
9	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.047 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	8.7 10.0 10.0	7.7 1.3 1.3	1.3 1.3
10	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.094 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	8.7 10.0 10.0	9.0 1.0 1.3	1.3 1.3
11	halosulfuron Gramoxone Max NIS	75 3 100	WG L SL	0.188 1 0.25	lb ai/a lb ai/a % v/v	13 13 13	9.3 10.0 10.0	10.0 1.3 1.3	4.3 4.3
12	Untreated						9.0 1.0 6.3	9.0 1.7 4.3	
	LSD (P=.05)						2.50	1.35	2.66
	Standard Deviation						1.47	0.80	1.57
	CV						20.11	9.38	42.81
								17.54	38.82
								16.96	

## Weed Control in Apple 1 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code	Description	Rating Date	BHPL	HOWE	RRPW
			8/24/06 RATING	8/24/06 RATING	8/24/06 RATING
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Unit	Growth Stage
1	diuron	80	DF	3.8	lb ai/a LPRE 7.7
	glyphosate	5	L	1	lb ai/a 123
	AMS	100	SG	3.4	lb ai/a 123
2	Firestorm	3	L	1	lb ai/a 123 9.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	lb ai/a 123
3	Gramoxone Inteon	3	L	1	lb ai/a 123 5.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 123
4	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 123 8.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 123
5	simazine	90	WDG	3	lb ai/a LPRE 10.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.015	lb ai/a 123
	glyphosate	5	L	1	lb ai/a 123
	AMS	100	SG	3.4	lb ai/a 123
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 123
6	simazine	90	WDG	3	lb ai/a LPRE 10.0
	carfentrazone	1.9	EW	0.03	lb ai/a 123
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 123
	COC	100	SL	1	% v/v 123
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a LPRE 10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 123
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 123
8	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.765	lb ai/a LPRE 10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 123
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	lb ai/a 123
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a 13 10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 13
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 13
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a 13 9.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 13
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 13
11	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188	lb ai/a 13 9.7
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 13
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 13
12	Untreated				6.7 7.3 8.0
LSD (P=.05)				2.55	2.65 1.86
Standard Deviation				1.51	1.56 1.10
CV				17.11	23.26 14.45

Pest Code	Description	Rating Date	CIRC	BLOS/BRH	
			5/4/06	5/4/06	
			CM	NUMBER	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Unit	Growth Stage
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a 13 6.9
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 13
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 13
10	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a 13 7.3
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 13
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 13
11	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188	lb ai/a 13 7.3
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a 13
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v 13
12	Untreated				7.3 22.4
LSD (P=.05)				1.25	19.50
Standard Deviation				0.63	9.76
CV				8.7	43.89

## Weed Control in Apple 2 - CHES

Project Code: WC 128-06-02

Location: Clarksville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott  
Crop: Apple Variety: Liberty, Empire, Ida Red  
Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date:  
Spacing: 4 FT Row Spacing: 15 FT  
Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3  
Plot Size: 11 ft wide x 40 ft long  
Soil Type: Loam OM: 1.3% pH: 7.2  
Sand: 49% Silt: 36% Clay: 15% CEC: 4.9

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/11/06	11:30 am	62/48	°F	Adequate	5 SW	38	50% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/4	Apple		Dormant	
5/4	ANBG = annual bluegrass	1"		moderate-dense
5/4	COCW = common chickweed	1-3"		moderate
6/15	Apple			
	ANBG = annual bluegrass			
	REFE = red fescue			
	BLME = black medic			
	DAND = dandelion			
7/13	BYGR = barnyardgrass			
	REFE = red fescue			
	COCW = common chickweed			
	DAND = dandelion			
	HOWE = horseweed (maretail)			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			
8/24	BYGR = barnyardgrass			
	LACG = large crabgrass			
	COCW = common chickweed			
	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	HOWE = horseweed (maretail)			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One sprayer pass on both sides of trees.
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Apple 2 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 128-06-02  
Location: Clarksville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			APPLE	ANBG	REFE	BLME	DAND	APPLE
			6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	7/13/06
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
1	terbacil glyphosate	80 5	WP L	1.2 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.7	9.7	7.3	10.0
2	diuron glyphosate	80 5	DF L	3.8 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	7.0	5.3	7.0
3	terbacil diuron glyphosate	80 5	WP DF L	0.6 1.7 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE PRE	1.0	10.0	8.0	10.0
4	simazine glyphosate	90 5	WDG L	4 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	10.0	9.0	10.0
5	flumioxazin glyphosate	51 5	WDG L	0.765 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	8.7	7.7	7.7
6	oxyfluorfen glyphosate	2 5	L L	2 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	8.7	8.3	10.0
7	oryzalin glyphosate	4 5	AS L	4 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	7.0	8.3	10.0
8	norflurazon glyphosate	80 5	DF L	4 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.3	7.3	8.3	7.7
9	sulfentrazone glyphosate	4 5	F L	0.375 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	7.7	7.0	8.0
10	diclobenil glyphosate	1.38 5	CS L	4 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	PRE PRE	1.0	6.7	8.3	10.0
11	mesotrione	4	SC	0.481	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	8.3	8.3	7.7
12	Untreated						1.0	1.7	2.0	5.7
										1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						0.39	3.55	2.64	5.47
	Standard Deviation						0.23	2.10	1.56	3.23
	CV						21.26	27.14	21.23	37.98
										29.31
										22.72

## Weed Control in Apple 2 - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type	BYGR 7/13/06 RATING	REFE 7/13/06 RATING	COCW 7/13/06 RATING	DAND 7/13/06 RATING	HOWE 7/13/06 RATING	RRPW 7/13/06 RATING						
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage						
1	terbacil glyphosate	80 5	WP L	1.2 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	7.7	10.0	6.0	8.7	5.7
2	diuron glyphosate	80 5	DF L	3.8 1	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	7.3	6.0	4.7	6.3	5.7
3	terbacil diuron glyphosate	80 80 5	WP DF L	0.6 1.7 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	6.3	10.0	5.3	8.7	6.0
4	simazine glyphosate	90 5	WDG L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	8.3	10.0	5.0	10.0	7.3
5	flumioxazin glyphosate	51 5	WDG L	0.765 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	4.3	9.3	6.7	6.3	10.0
6	oxyfluorfen glyphosate	2 5	L	2 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	9.5	5.3	7.3	8.0	10.0
7	oryzalin glyphosate	4 5	AS L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	7.7	8.7	7.7	8.0	10.0
8	norflurazon glyphosate	80 5	DF L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	3.3	7.7	7.7	6.7	8.0
9	sulfentrazone glyphosate	4 5	F L	0.375 1	lb ai/a	PRE	6.3	7.0	5.0	6.0	8.3	10.0
10	diclobenil glyphosate	1.38 5	CS L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	7.0	9.7	9.3	9.0	9.7
11	mesotrione	4	SC	0.481	lb ai/a	PRE	5.7 9.0	6.3 7.3	8.3 5.7	6.0 4.3	8.7 3.0	4.7 7.3
12	Untreated											
LSD (P=.05)							3.24	4.01	3.70	3.33	3.61	4.40
Standard Deviation							1.91	2.36	2.18	1.97	2.13	2.60
CV							21.31	34.52	27.4	31.07	27.9	33.06

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type	APPLE 8/24/06 RATING	BYGR 8/24/06 RATING	LACG 8/24/06 RATING	COCW 8/24/06 RATING	COLQ 8/24/06 RATING	HOWE 8/24/06 RATING	RRPW 8/24/06 RATING						
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	Stage							
1	terbacil glyphosate	80 5	WP L	1.2 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.3	9.0	8.7	8.3	5.7	4.0
2	diuron glyphosate	80 5	DF L	3.8 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.0	7.7	7.7	8.0	5.7	6.0
3	terbacil diuron glyphosate	80 80 5	WP DF L	0.6 1.7 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.3	9.0	9.3	7.7	7.7	4.7
4	simazine glyphosate	90 5	WDG L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	8.0	7.3	6.0	7.0	9.7	5.3
5	flumioxazin glyphosate	51 5	WDG L	0.765 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	9.3	10.0	6.7	10.0
6	oxyfluorfen glyphosate	2 5	L	2 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	10.0	9.0	4.3	8.3	8.0	8.0
7	oryzalin glyphosate	4 5	AS L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	9.7	7.7	10.0	7.0	8.0
8	norflurazon glyphosate	80 5	DF L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	7.3	5.3	7.7
9	sulfentrazone glyphosate	4 5	F L	0.375 1	lb ai/a	PRE	4.7	4.3	4.7	3.7	10.0	7.7	9.0
10	diclobenil glyphosate	1.38 5	CS L	4 1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	9.0	6.0	8.7	9.7	8.7	6.0
11	mesotrione	4	SC	0.481	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7 1.0	4.7 9.7	6.3 10.0	4.3 7.0	9.0 7.0	7.3 2.0	5.0 5.0
12	Untreated												
LSD (P=.05)							3.15	3.03	4.02	4.41	3.37	3.63	2.61
Standard Deviation							1.86	1.79	2.38	2.60	1.99	2.15	1.54
CV							121.61	20.78	29.2	36.45	23.36	31.66	23.55

## Weed Control in Blueberry - HTRE

Project Code: WC 127-06-01

Location: HTRE

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Blueberry Variety: Various

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 1991

Spacing: 4 FT Row Spacing: 10 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 40 ft long

Soil Type: Capac Loam

OM: 5.0%

pH: 5.2

Sand: 61%

Silt: 15%

Clay: 24%

CEC: 16.1

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
LPRE	5/3/06	2:00 pm	75/68	°F	Dry	5 W	52	30% Cloudy	N
LPO	7/12/06	2:00 pm	76/73	°F	Dry	2 W	82	100% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/3	BLBE = blueberry			
5/3	QUGR = quackgrass	3-8"		moderate
5/3	DAND = dandelion	4-6"		few
7/12	QUGR = quackgrass	8-16"		moderate
	VICR = Virginia creeper			
	WIGRP = wild grape			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 2 nozzle boom FF11002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Application made with 2 nozzle boom with one pass on each side of row.
4. 5 plants per plot

## Weed Control in Blueberry - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 127-06-01  
 Location: HTRC Block 114

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			BLBE	BLBE	GRFT	QUGR	VICR	WIGRP	BLBE				
			Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	5/22/06	7/6/06	7/6/06	7/6/06	7/17/06	
1	diclobenil	1.38	CS	2	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.7	7.3	7.3	5.3	7.0	1.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
2	diclobenil	1.38	CS	3	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.7	8.7	9.0	3.3	4.3	1.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
3	diclobenil	1.38	CS	4	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.0	10.0	8.7	7.0	6.3	1.3
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
4	diclobenil	1.38	CS	4	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.3	8.0	8.3	10.0	7.0	1.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	2.7	1.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
6	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.765	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	7.7	1.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.0	4.0	3.3	5.3	7.3	1.7
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb	ai/a	LPO								
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPO								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPO								
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.3	7.7	6.7	5.7	4.3	2.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb	ai/a	LPO								
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPO								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPO								
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188	lb	ai/a	LPRE	1.0		1.0	3.0	5.3	6.7	3.0	1.3
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPRE								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPRE								
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188	lb	ai/a	LPO								
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb	ai/a	LPO								
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v		LPO								
10	Untreated				0.0			1.0		3.3	1.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)				0.00			0.82		3.60	3.02	6.73	7.47	0.95	
	Standard Deviation				0.00			0.48		2.10	1.76	3.92	4.36	0.55	
	CV				0.0			39.61		29.52	28.42	61.95	81.17	44.95	

## Weed Control in Blueberry - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					GRFT 7/17/06	HOWE 7/17/06	VICR 7/17/06	BHPL 7/17/06		
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING		
Rating Data Type										
Trt	Treatment	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage				
No.	Name									
1	diclobenil	1.38	CS	2	lb ai/a	LPRE	4.3	7.0	4.0	10.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
2	diclobenil	1.38	CS	3	lb ai/a	LPRE	3.7	8.0	2.3	9.3
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
3	diclobenil	1.38	CS	4	lb ai/a	LPRE	7.0	8.3	9.3	7.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
4	diclobenil	1.38	CS	4	lb ai/a	LPRE	6.0	9.0	9.3	10.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
5	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	LPRE	8.0	7.3	5.3	9.7
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
6	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.765	lb ai/a	LPRE	8.3	4.7	9.7	10.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	LPRE	8.3	7.0	10.0	10.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	LPO				
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPO				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPO				
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	LPRE	9.7	10.0	9.0	10.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	LPO				
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPO				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPO				
9	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188	lb ai/a	LPRE	9.0	9.3	9.3	7.0
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPRE				
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.188	lb ai/a	LPO				
	paraquat	3	L	1	lb ai/a	LPO				
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	LPO				
10	Untreated				7.7	4.7	8.7	3.7		
	LSD (P=.05)				3.22	4.26	4.18	4.52		
	Standard Deviation				1.88	2.48	2.44	2.63		
	CV				26.11	32.97	31.64	30.37		

## Weed Control in Blueberry - TNRC

Project Code: WC 127-06-02

Location: Fennville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Blueberry Variety:

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date:

Spacing: 4 FT Row Spacing: 12 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 6 ft wide x 24 ft long

Soil Type: Loamy sand

OM: 4.1%

pH: 4.1

Sand: 80%

Silt: 12%

Clay: 8 %

CEC: 16.6

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/19/06	11:00 am	67/54	°F	Dry	5 NW	33	60% Cloudy	N
LPRE	5/9/06	10:00 am	68/58	°F	Dry	2 SE	45	10% Cloudy	N
EPO	6/13/06	10:00 am	69/63	°F	Dry	1 W	53	10% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
4/19/06	BLBE = blueberry		Dormant	
4/19/06	QUGR = quackgrass	4-8"		few
4/19/06	PUDN = purple deadnettle	1-2"		few
4/19/06	COCW = common chickweed	2-6"		few
5/9/06	BLBE = blueberry			
5/9/06	QUGR = quackgrass	6-14"		moderate
5/9/06	VICR = Virginia creeper			
5/9/06	WIGRP = wild grape			
6/13/06	Blueberry			
6/13/06	VICR = Virginia creeper	2-4'		moderate
6/13/06	WIGRP = wild grape	2-4'		moderate
6/13/06	WIRB = wild raspberry	1-3'		few

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 2 nozzle boom FF11002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One boom pass on each side of row.
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Blueberry - TNRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 127-06-02  
Location: Fennville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					BLBE 5/18/06	BLBE 6/13/06	VICR 6/13/06	WIGRP 6/13/06	WIRB 6/13/06
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Data Type									
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.3	5.3
	glyphosate	4.17	SL	1	lb ai/a	PRE			6.3
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	5.0
	glyphosate	4.17	SL	1	lb ai/a	PRE			7.7
3	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	7.0
	glyphosate	4.17	SC	1	lb ai/a	PRE			3.7
	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	EPO			7.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	EPO			
4	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	EPO	1.0	1.0	8.3
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	EPO			6.7
5	hexazinone	75	DF	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.3	3.7
6	hexazinone	75	DF	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.3	4.7
7	hexazinone	75	DF	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.3	4.3
	diuron	80	DF	1.6	lb ai/a	PRE			5.3
8	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	5.0
9	diuron	80	DF	2	lb ai/a	LPRE	2.0	2.0	5.7
	terbacil	80	WP	1	lb ai/a	LPRE			6.7
10	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.0	1.3	4.7
11	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.3	7.3
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE			4.7
12	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	EPO	1.3	1.0	5.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.86	lb ai/a	EPO			4.3
13	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.765	lb ai/a	EPO	1.3	1.0	4.3
	glyphosate	5	L	0.86	lb ai/a	EPO			3.0
14	diuron	80	DF	2	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.3	4.3
	terbacil	80	WP	1	lb ai/a	PRE			5.7
15	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.0	4.0
16	Untreated						1.0	1.0	4.0
									10.0
	LSD (P=.05)						0.66	0.78	5.93
	Standard Deviation						0.39	0.47	3.56
	CV						33.81	38.89	71.16
									67.97
									34.5

## Weed Control in Cherry - CHES

Project Code: WC 128-06-03

Location: Clarksville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Cherry Variety: Ulster

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date:

Spacing: 8 FT Row Spacing: 16 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 10.6 ft wide x 32 ft long

Soil Type: Dryden Sandy Loam OM: 1.6% pH: 6.8

Sand: 46% Silt: 40% Clay: 14% CEC: 7.2

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/17/06	11:00 am	62/48	°F	Dry	5 SW	38	50% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
4/17/06	Cherry		bud swell	
4/17/06	QUGR = quackgrass	4-6"		moderate
4/19/06	DAND = dandelion	3-5"		few
6/15/06	MATA = marestail (horseweed)			
	VIPW = Virginia pepperweed			
7/13/06	LACG = large crabgrass			
	COCW = common chickweed			
	HOWE = horseweed (marestail)			
	VIPW = Virginia pepperweed			
8/24/06	LACG = large crabgrass			
	ROFB = rough fleabane			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One boom pass on each side of row.
- 
- 
-

## Weed Control in Cherry - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 128-06-03  
Location: Clarksville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			CHERRYHOWE 6/15/06	VIPW 6/15/06	CHERRYLAGG 7/13/06	COCW 7/13/06				
			Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0625	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	6.0	10.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
2	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.125	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	9.7	1.0	9.3	9.3
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
3	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0625	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	10.0	10.0
	diuron	80	DF	2.4	lb ai/a	PRE						
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
4	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0625	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	6.7	6.7	1.0	9.3	9.3
	simazine	90	WDG	3.6	lb ai/a	PRE						
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
5	simazine	90	WDG	3.6	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	8.3	1.0	6.7	10.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
6	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	7.0	7.7
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	10.0	9.7	1.0	9.7	10.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	7.0	7.0	1.0	4.7	7.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
9	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.375 lb	ai/a	PRE	1.0	6.7	5.7	1.0	8.7	9.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE						
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE						
10	Untreated						1.0	1.7	1.3	1.0	8.3	8.7
	LSD (P=.05)						0.00	5.25	5.28	0.00	4.32	2.97
	Standard Deviation						0.00	3.08	3.10	0.00	2.54	1.74
	CV						0.0	37.59	39.55	0.0	31.84	19.14

## Weed Control in Cherry - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code					HOWE 7/13/06	VIPW 7/13/06	CHERRYLAG 8/24/06	ROFB 8/24/06			
Rating Date					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING			
Rating Data Type											
Trt	Treatment	Form No.	Form Name	Rate	Growth Conc	Type	Unit	Stage			
	No.										
1	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0625	lb ai/a	PRE	9.7	9.0	1.0	5.7	9.7
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
2	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.125	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	9.3	1.0	8.0	8.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
3	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0625	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	1.0	10.0	9.3
	diuron	80	DF	2.4	lb ai/a	PRE					
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
4	rimsulfuron	25	DF	0.0625	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	9.0	1.0	7.7	9.7
	simazine	90	WDG	3.6	lb ai/a	PRE					
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
5	simazine	90	WDG	3.6	lb ai/a	PRE	8.0	7.7	1.7	5.3	8.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
6	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE	8.7	9.7	1.0	9.0	6.0
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
7	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	9.7	1.0	9.7	8.7
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	6.7	9.0	1.3	4.3	6.0
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
9	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.375	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	8.0	1.0	6.3	8.7
	glyphosate	4	L	1	lb ai/a	PRE					
	NIS	100	SL	0.25	% v/v	PRE					
10	Untreated						4.0	6.0	1.0	10.0	6.7
	LSD (P=.05)						3.80	4.04	0.44	4.28	3.81
	Standard Deviation						2.23	2.37	0.26	2.51	2.24
	CV						26.64	27.18	23.47	33.03	27.72

# Weed Control in Third Year Cherry and Peach - HTRE

Project Code: WC 128-06-04

Location: HTRE

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Cherry, Peach Variety: Montmorency, Coral Star

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 4/28/04

Spacing: 15 FT Row Spacing: 18 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 11 ft wide x 45 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam  
Sand: 56% Silt: 24%

OM: 2.2%  
Clay: 19%

pH: 7.4  
CEC: 13.1

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	5/3/06	2:30 pm	75/64	°F	Dry	5 SW	48	25% Cloudy	N

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/3/06	Cherry		pre bloom	
5/3/06	Peach		pre bloom	
5/3/06	DAND = dandelion	3-6"		moderate
5/3/06	WHCL = white clover	2-4"		moderate
6/20/06	GRFT = green foxtail			
	DAND = dandelion			
	MATA = marestail			
	WHCL = white clover			
7/7/06	BYGR = barnyardgrass			
	YENS = yellow nutsedge			
	CORW = common ragweed			
	MATA = marestail (horseweed)			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
  2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
  3. One boom pass on each side of row.
  4. Cherry and peach tress alternated by row
- 
- 
- 
-

# Weed Control in Third Year Cherry and Peach - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 128-06-04  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			Growth Stage	CHERRY	PEACH	GRFT	DAND	MATA	
			6/20/06	6/20/06		6/20/06	6/20/06	6/20/06	6/20/06	6/20/06	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	
1	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	0.4 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	0.7	0.7	3.0	5.0	9.0
2	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	0.8 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.3	0.7	7.0	4.7	7.7
3	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	1.6 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	0.7	0.7	10.0	8.7	9.7
4	oryzalin glyphosate	4 5.5	AS L	2 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.3	0.7	10.0	4.0	6.7
5	simazine glyphosate	90 5.5	WDG L	4 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	0.0	1.7	1.3	4.7	10.0
6	diuron glyphosate	80 5.5	DF L	3 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	0.7	0.3	5.0	6.3	10.0
7	flumioxazin glyphosate	51 5.5	WDG L	0.383 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	0.7	0.3	9.3	8.3	5.7
8	Untreated						1.0	1.3	5.7	1.0	1.0
LSD (P=.05)						2.09	1.70	3.15	3.25	3.48	
Standard Deviation						1.19	0.97	1.80	1.86	1.99	
CV						150.66	122.89	28.07	34.81	26.66	

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type			Growth Stage	WHCL	PEACH	CHERRY	BYGR	GRFT	YENS	
			6/20/06	7/7/06		6/20/06	7/7/06	7/7/06	7/7/06	7/7/06	7/7/06	
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	
1	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	0.4 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	0.3	0.7	3.0	1.7	2.3
2	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	0.8 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	9.3	0.7	2.3	3.7	2.0	7.7
3	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	1.6 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	0.7	1.0	9.3	8.7	10.0
4	oryzalin glyphosate	4 5.5	AS L	2 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	6.0	0.3	1.3	8.3	8.0	4.7
5	simazine glyphosate	90 5.5	WDG L	4 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	9.7	1.3	0.0	2.3	1.7	1.0
6	diuron glyphosate	80 5.5	DF L	3 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	0.3	0.7	3.0	3.0	3.3
7	flumioxazin glyphosate	51 5.5	WDG L	0.383 1	lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	0.3	0.7	7.7	8.3	5.7
8	Untreated						1.7	1.7	1.0	5.3	6.0	8.0
LSD (P=.05)						2.01	1.53	2.86	3.62	2.44	2.52	
Standard Deviation						1.15	0.88	1.63	2.07	1.39	1.44	
CV						13.76	123.71	170.21	38.79	28.29	26.99	

# Weed Control in Third Year Cherry and Peach - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type	Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Rate Unit	Growth Stage	CORW 7/7/06	MATA 7/7/06	RRPW 7/7/06
								RATING	RATING	RATING
1	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	0.4 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	9.0	6.3	
2	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	0.8 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	5.0	6.7	
3	terbacil glyphosate	80 5.5	WP L	1.6 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	8.3	9.7	
4	oryzalin glyphosate	4 5.5	AS L	2 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	1.0	5.0	9.3	
5	simazine glyphosate	90 5.5	WDG L	4 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	5.3	8.3	10.0	
6	diuron glyphosate	80 5.5	DF L	3 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	6.0	5.0	
7	flumioxazin glyphosate	51 5.5	WDG L	0.383 1	lb ai/a lb ai/a	LPRE	10.0	3.0	8.7	
8	Untreated						9.3	2.3	7.7	
LSD (P=.05)							2.70	4.05	3.50	
Standard Deviation							1.54	2.31	2.00	
CV							18.77	39.33	25.24	

## Weed Control in Grape - HTRC

Project Code: WC 132-06-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Grape Variety: Frontenac and Marechal Foch

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 1991

Spacing: 6 FT Row Spacing: 10 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5 ft wide x 20 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Sandy Loam OM: 2.5%  
Sand: 60% Silt: 21% Clay: 19%

pH: 7.0  
Sand: 60%

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
LPRE	5/3/06	3:00 pm	78/69	°F	Dry	5 W	46	35% Cloudy	N
PO1	5/24/06	9:00 am	65/53	°F	Dry	4 SSE	45	5% Cloudy	N
PO2	6/2/06	3:00 pm	80/71	°F	Dry	1 NE	39	65% Cloudy	N
PO3	7/3/06	3:00 pm	82/71	°F	Dry	3 SW	64	60% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
5/24	COLQ = common lambsquarters	2-4"		moderate
5/24	RRPW = redroot pigweed	2-4"		moderate
6/2	COLQ = common lambsquarters	3-6"		moderate
6/2	RRPW = redroot pigweed	3-6"		moderate
7/3	ANBG = annual bluegrass	4-8"		moderate
7/3	HOWE = horseweed	6-10"		moderate
7/3	COLQ = common lambsquarters	10-14"		moderate
7/3	RRPW = redroot pigweed	12-16"		moderate

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 2 nozzle boom FF11002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Application made with 2 nozzle boom with one pass on each side of row.

## Weed Control in Grape - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 132-06-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	GRAPE	TAFE	COLQ	COMA	DAND	HOWE
									6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06	6/2/06
1	Firestorm		3	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	9.0	7.7	5.7	6.0	8.7	
2	Gramoxone Max		3	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	7.7	4.3	6.3	8.0	9.0	
3	Gramoxone Inteon		2	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	7.7	5.7	8.0	8.0	10.0	
4	glufosinate		1	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	6.7	9.3	8.3	9.3	9.0	
5	glyphosate		5	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	4.7	7.0	6.7	5.7	9.0	
6	diuron		80	DF	4	Ib ai/a	LPRE	1.0	7.0	10.0	8.0	5.7	10.0	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
7	simazine		90	WDG	4	Ib ai/a	LPRE	1.0	9.0	10.0	9.7	5.3	10.0	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
8	oxyfluorfen		2	L	2	Ib ai/a	LPRE	1.0	6.3	10.0	9.7	10.0	8.0	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
9	flumioxazin		51	WDG	0.383	Ib ai/a	LPRE	1.0	7.3	10.0	10.0	7.7	7.0	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
10	Untreated							1.0	3.0	2.3	4.7	3.0	1.7	
LSD (P=.05)								0.00	3.17	3.82	4.37	3.78	3.21	
Standard Deviation								0.00	1.85	2.23	2.55	2.20	1.87	
CV								0.0	27.06	29.17	33.12	32.07	22.7	

Pest Code	Rating Date	Rating Data Type	Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Growth Unit	LATH	GRAPE	YEFT	COLQ	COMA	RRPW
									6/2/06	7/3/06	7/3/06	7/3/06	7/3/06	7/3/06
1	Firestorm		3	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	7.7	1.0	5.0	8.3	4.3	8.7	
2	Gramoxone Max		3	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	9.7	1.0	2.7	3.3	4.0	4.0	
3	Gramoxone Inteon		2	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	10.0	1.7	6.7	7.3	8.3	6.3	
4	glufosinate		1	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	10.0	1.0	4.7	9.7	8.3	6.0	
5	glyphosate		5	L	1	Ib ai/a	PO1,2,3	9.0	0.7	5.0	8.0	7.3	4.0	
6	diuron		80	DF	4	Ib ai/a	LPRE	10.0	1.0	7.7	8.7	7.7	7.3	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
7	simazine		90	WDG	4	Ib ai/a	LPRE	10.0	1.0	1.0	8.7	5.7	3.7	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
8	oxyfluorfen		2	L	2	Ib ai/a	LPRE	10.0	0.7	7.0	8.3	7.7	8.3	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
9	flumioxazin		51	WDG	0.383	Ib ai/a	LPRE	10.0	2.3	8.7	10.0	7.3	10.0	
	Gramoxone Max		3	L	0.64	Ib ai/a	LPRE							
10	Untreated							5.3	1.0	2.7	1.7	3.0	6.0	
LSD (P=.05)								2.89	0.87	3.43	2.54	4.82	3.21	
Standard Deviation								1.68	0.51	2.00	1.48	2.81	1.87	
CV								18.35	44.61	39.16	20.03	44.1	29.05	

## Weed Control in Grape - HTRC

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code Rating Date Rating Data Type	Treatment No. Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit	GRAPE 7/17/06	FAPA 7/17/06	COLQ 7/17/06	COMA 7/17/06	HOWE 7/17/06	RRPW 7/17/06
						RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
1	Firestorm	3	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	7.7	8.3	8.7	10.0
2	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	7.0	4.7	9.0	10.0
3	Gramoxone Inteon	2	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.7	9.7	8.3	9.0	10.0
4	glufosinate	1	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.3	8.7	10.0	9.7	10.0
5	Roundup Ultramax	5	L	1	lb ai/a	PO1,2,3	1.0	7.0	10.0	8.3	10.0
6	diuron	80	DF	4	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.0	5.0	9.0	7.0	10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	0.64	lb ai/a	LPRE					
7	simazine	90	WDG	4	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.0	1.0	9.3	7.3	10.0
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	0.64	lb ai/a	LPRE					
8	oxyfluorfen	2	L	2	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.7	4.3	9.0	8.0	6.7
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	0.64	lb ai/a	LPRE					
9	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	LPRE	1.3	9.3	10.0	6.7	9.3
	Gramoxone Max	3	L	0.64	lb ai/a	LPRE					
10	Untreated						1.0	3.3	3.3	5.3	3.7
	LSD (P=.05)						0.93	2.92	2.42	3.65	2.44
	Standard Deviation						0.54	1.70	1.41	2.13	1.42
	CV						45.08	27.03	17.23	26.92	15.89
											16.47

## Weed Control in Raspberry - CHES

Project Code: WC 131-06-01

Location: Clarksville

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: Raspberry Variety: Heritage

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 5/3/02

Spacing: 2 FT Row Spacing: 14 FT

Tillage Type: Study Design: RCB Replications: 3

Plot Size: 5.33 ft wide x 20 ft long

Soil Type: Lapeer Sandy Loam

OM: 1.2%

pH: 7.0

Sand: 63%

Silt: 25%

Clay: 12%

CEC: 7.0

### Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PRE	4/11/06	11:00 am	60/46	°F	Adequate	5 SW	36	50% Cloudy	N

### Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
4/11	RASP = raspberry		Dormant	
5/18	QUGR = quackgrass			
	PUDN = purple deadnettle			
	SHPU = shepherdspurse			
	WHCA = white campion			
	YERO = yellow rocket			
6/15	ORGR = orchardgrass			
	WHCA = white campion			

### Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 4 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> backpack sprayer.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.

## Weed Control in Raspberry - CHES

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 131-06-01  
 Location: Clarksville

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
 Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code		RASP	QUGR	PUDN	SHPU	WHCA	YERO
Rating Date		5/18/06	5/18/06	5/18/06	5/18/06	5/18/06	5/18/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth		
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage	
1	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a	PRE	4.3
3	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7
4	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.375	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
5	diuron	80	DF	3	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
6	Untreated						1.7
LSD (P=.05)				2.09	4.74	3.32	4.30
Standard Deviation				1.15	2.61	1.82	2.36
CV				48.13	35.0	22.63	30.4
							51.35
							17.5

Pest Code		RASP	ORGR	WHCA	RASP
Rating Date		6/15/06	6/15/06	6/15/06	7/13/06
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form	Rate	Growth
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit
1	mesotrione	4	SC	0.094	lb ai/a
2	mesotrione	4	SC	0.188	lb ai/a
3	flumioxazin	51	WDG	0.383	lb ai/a
4	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.375	lb ai/a
5	diuron	80	DF	3	lb ai/a
6	Untreated				
LSD (P=.05)				2.32	7.27
Standard Deviation				1.27	4.00
CV				57.31	60.48
					70.01
					63.35

# Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005- HTRC

Project Code: WC 108-05-01

Location: HTRC

Personnel: Bernard H. Zandstra, Eric Ott

Crop: See notes Variety: See notes

Planting Method: Seeded

Planting Date: 5/26/05

Spacing: See notes

Row Spacing: 14 IN

Tillage Type: Conventional

Study Design: RCB

Replications: 3

Plot Size: 30 ft wide x 40 ft long

Soil Type: Marlette Fine Sandy Loam  
Sand: 58% Silt: 26%

OM: 2.0%  
Clay: 16%

pH: 5.6  
CEC: 7.8

## Herbicide Application Information

Timing	Date	Time	Air/Soil	T	Soil Surf	Wind	RH	Sky	Dew
PPI	5/24/05	2:00 pm	67/66	°F	Dry	8 NE	46	10% Cloudy	N
PRE	5/27/05	1:30 pm	69/64	°F	Dry	7 W	45	65% Cloudy	N
PO1	6/17/05	11:20 am	62/60	°F	Damp	6 NW	58	90% Cloudy	N

## Crop and Weed Information at Application

Date	Crop or Weed	Height or Diameter	Growth Stage	Density
6/17	CUKE = cucumber	10-12 in		
6/17	PUMP = pumpkin	4-6 in		
	squash			
	BYGR = barnyardgrass			
	GRFT = green foxtail			
	COLQ = common lambsquarters			
	CORW = common ragweed			
	EBNS = eastern black nightshade			
	LATH = ladysthumb			
	RRPW = redroot pigweed			
	WIBW = wild buckwheat			

## Notes and Comments

1. Sprays applied with 16 nozzle boom FF8002, 20 gpa, 30 psi, 3.2 mph, CO<sub>2</sub> tractor mounted sprayer.
2. Crop and weed injury ratings on scale of 1-10: 1 = no injury, 10 = complete kill.
3. Crops and Varieties: Cucumber - Vlaspik, Pumpkin - Howden, Squash - Golden Hubbard
4. Planted pumpkin in left row with 6 IN spacing, squash in right row with 6 IN spacing, cucumber in center 3 rows with 3 in spacing.
5. Spray center 16 ft of plot with tractor; area between plots cultivated until covered with vines.
6. Harvested all fruit in 40 ft plot.
7. Squash was not harvested due to poor stand establishment.
8. Red winter wheat was planted in entire field in fall of 2005.
9. Harvested 2 meter<sup>2</sup> of wheat plants June 1, 2006.

**Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in  
Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005- HTRC**

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Trial ID: WC 108-05-01  
Location: HTRC

Study Director: Dr. Bernard Zandstra  
Investigator: Eric Ott

Pest Code					CUKE	PUMP	SQUASH	BYGR	GRFT	COLQ		
Rating Date					6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05		
Rating Data Type					RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING		
Rating Unit												
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage						
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	2.3	2.0	5.0	7.3	9.0	9.3
2	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.3	4.3	9.0	9.7	10.0
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25								
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	5.7	9.3	9.7	9.3
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE						
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0	2.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	9.3
5	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.7	6.3	6.7	8.0	8.7
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	0.034	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.7	4.3	5.7	6.3	8.3
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	.069	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.3	6.7	6.3	7.0	9.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1						
	clethodim	2	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.3	4.0	3.3	4.7	7.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1						
	quizalofop	0.88	EC	.069	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
9	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	2.3	2.7	7.0	8.3	9.3	9.3
10	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	1.0	1.0	3.7	6.3	7.3	8.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PPI						
	clethodim	2	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
11	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7	1.7	6.3	6.3	8.7	8.7
12	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	2.0	1.7	6.0	7.0	8.7	8.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1						
	clethodim	2	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1						
	NIS		L	0.25	% v/v	PO1						
13	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0	1.0	4.3	4.3	6.3	6.3
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1						
14	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3	1.3	6.3	6.3	8.3	8.0
	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1						
15	Untreated						1.0	1.0	5.0	1.7	1.7	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						1.35	1.34	4.63	3.17	2.98	1.70
	Standard Deviation						0.81	0.80	2.77	1.89	1.78	1.02
	CV						47.94	52.99	51.96	30.76	24.35	12.5

**Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in  
Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005- HTRC**

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code	CORW	EBNS	LATH	RRPW	WIBW	CUKE
Rating Date	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/14/05	6/22/05
Rating Data Type	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit						

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	CORW	EBNS	LATH	RRPW	WIBW	CUKE
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	7.3	10.0	9.0	8.7	8.7	1.7
2	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	9.7	9.3	10.0	1.7
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25								
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	10.0	8.7	10.0	1.3
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE						
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PRE	9.3	10.0	9.7	9.7	9.7	4.7
5	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	8.3	2.0
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	0.034 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1						
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.3
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	.069 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1						
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	8.3	10.0	10.0	9.3	2.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1						
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1						
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	7.0	10.0	9.7	9.3	2.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1						
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	.069 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1						
9	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	10.0	9.3	10.0	10.0	8.7	2.7
10	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	5.3	9.3	9.3	9.0	7.0	2.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PPI						
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1						
11	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	1.3
12	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	7.0	10.0	9.3	7.0	5.0	2.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1						
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1						
13	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	6.0	10.0	9.7	7.0	7.0	7.3
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1						
14	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	4.3	9.7	10.0	8.3	9.7	2.3
	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1						
15	Untreated						1.7	3.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)						3.42	3.23	0.85	2.00	2.98	1.81
	Standard Deviation						2.05	1.93	0.51	1.20	1.78	1.08
	CV						25.51	21.76	5.51	14.03	21.69	45.1

**Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in  
Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005- HTRC**

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code		PUMP	SQUASH	BYGR	COLQ	EBNS	RRPW
Rating Date		6/22/05	6/22/05	6/22/05	6/22/05	6/22/05	6/22/05
Rating Data Type		RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING	RATING
Rating Unit							
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage	
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
2	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25			
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	1.0
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE	
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
5	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	0.034	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1	
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	1.3
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	.069	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1	
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	4.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1	
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1	
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1	
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	.069	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1	
9	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	3.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PPI	
10	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	3.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1	
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1	
11	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	1.7
12	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	3.7
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1	
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1	
13	ethalfluralin sulfentrazone	3 4	EC F	0.75 0.14	lb ai/a	PRE PO1	6.7
14	ethalfluralin imazosulfuron	3 75	EC WG	0.75 0.1	lb ai/a	PRE PO1	2.7
15	Untreated			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	LSD (P=.05)			1.28	3.77	3.11	1.53
	Standard Deviation			0.77	2.26	1.86	0.91
	CV			29.77	53.45	36.9	11.31
							24.84
							6.66

## **Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005- HTRC**

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code	CUKE	CUKE	CUKE	CUKE	CUKE	CUKE
Rating Date	7/18/05	7/18/05	7/18/05	7/18/05	7/18/05	7/18/05
Rating Data Type	YIELD	YIELD	YIELD	YIELD	YIELD	YIELD
Rating Unit	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/PLOT
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate Rate	Growth Unit	Stage
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE
2	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	PRE
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25		
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PRE
5	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	0.034 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	.069 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC L	.069 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1
9	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PPI
10	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1
11	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE
12	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1
	clethodim NIS	2	EC L	0.094 0.25	lb ai/a % v/v	PO1
13	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE
	sulfentrazone	4	F	0.14	lb ai/a	PO1
14	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE
	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PO1
15	Untreated					
	LSD (P=.05)				11.822	17.766
	Standard Deviation				7.070	10.624
	CV				29.79	38.54
					35.33	33.09
					33.09	39.17
					39.17	65.7

**Carryover in Winter Wheat in 2006 following Weed Control in  
Cucumber, Pumpkin and Squash in 2005- HTRC**

Dept. of Horticulture, MSU

Pest Code				PUMP	PUMP	PUMP	PUMP	WHEAT	WHEAT
Rating Date				9/27/05	9/27/05	9/27/05	9/27/05	5/26/06	5/26/06
Rating Data Type				YIELD	YIELD	YIELD	YIELD	FRESH WT	DRY WT
Rating Unit				NO./PLOT	KG/PLOT	NO./PLOT	KG/PLOT	KG/2 m <sup>2</sup>	KG/2 m <sup>2</sup>
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Growth Stage			
1	ethalfluralin	3	EC	1.13	lb ai/a	PRE	13.0	40.15	3.21
2	ethalfluralin	1.6	SE	0.8	lb ai/a	PRE	28.7	96.07	10.90
	clomazone	0.5	SE	0.25					
3	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	23.3	92.33	2.3
	clomazone	3	ME	0.25	lb ai/a	PRE			
4	imazamox	1	AS	0.031	lb ai/a	PRE	13.7	40.75	2.3
5	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	18.3	62.59	3.0
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC	0.034	lb ai/a	PO1 L			
6	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	17.0	56.23	1.0
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC	.069	lb ai/a	PO1 L			
7	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	23.0	78.88	1.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	.023	lb ai/a	PO1			
	clethodim NIS	2	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1 L			
8	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PRE	16.3	52.20	2.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1			
	quizalofop NIS	0.88	EC	.069	lb ai/a	PO1 L			
9	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	20.3	72.18	4.0
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.047	lb ai/a	PPI			
10	bensulide	4	EC	6	lb ai/a	PPI	24.7	105.05	1.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1			
	clethodim NIS	2	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1 L			
11	imazosulfuron	75	WG	0.1	lb ai/a	PRE	20.0	64.43	0.7
12	ethalfluralin	3	EC	0.75	lb ai/a	PRE	31.3	130.69	4.3
	halosulfuron	75	WG	0.035	lb ai/a	PO1			
	clethodim NIS	2	EC	0.094	lb ai/a	PO1 L			
13	ethalfluralin sulfentrazone	3 4	EC F	0.75 0.14	lb ai/a	PRE PO1	17.0	63.47	1.3
14	ethalfluralin imazosulfuron	3 75	EC WG	0.75 0.1	lb ai/a	PRE PO1	23.3	70.25	3.0
15	Untreated						27.0	88.97	0.7
	LSD (P=.05)						12.42	57.995	3.54
	Standard Deviation						7.43	34.682	2.12
	CV						35.15	46.69	98.34
								105.55	18.99
									16.57